

Bilaga till rapport

Psykologisk behandling av postpartumdepression/Psychological treatment of postpartum depression, rapport 358 (2022)

Bilaga 6 Tabell över inkluderade studier, hälsoekonomi/Appendix 6 Table of included health economic studies

Innehåll

Table 1 Economic evaluation comparing health visitor training in psychologically informed	
approaches to health visitors providing usual care for depression in postnatal women	2
Table 2 Economic evaluation comparing group cognitive behavioural therapy with routine	
primary care for women with postnatal depression	4
Referenser/References	5

Table 1 Economic evaluation comparing health visitor training in psychologically informed approaches to health visitors providing usual care for depression in postnatal women.

Author	Morrell et al
Year	2009
Reference	[99]
Country	UK
Study design	RCT-based CUA
	Time period: 6 months in base case (12 months in sensitivity analysis)
Population	Women with EPDS score ≥12 at 6 weeks postpartum (n=418), mean age 30.9 years
Setting	Primary care
Perspective	Health care and social services
Intervention	Health visitors trained to identify depressive symptoms using the EPDS and to use
	clinical assessment skills to assess a mother's mood, as well as to deliver
	psychologically informed sessions based on either cognitive behavioural approach
	(CBA) or person-centred approach (PCA) (n=271). Intervention consisted of up to 8
	weekly 1-hour sessions focusing on the woman's needs, starting around 8 weeks
	postnatally. N in economic analyses = 195 (CBA=116; PCA=79)
vs	VS
control	Health visitor usual care, representing variability in clinical practice regarding training
	on identification of women at risk of PND (n=147). N in economic analyses = 78
Incremental cost	Intervention: 339 GBP (CBA 329 GBP; PCA 353 GBP)
incremental cost	Control: 374 GBP
	Mean difference 35 GBP (95% CI, –137 to 68 GBP)
	Controllation and the similar deal to the similar controllation and the similar controllations are similar to the similar controllation and the similar controllations are similar to the similar controllations and the similar controllations are similar to the similar controllations are similar controllations.
	Costs of the mother included health visitor contacts, GP contacts, prescriptions, social
	worker contacts, and other NHS contacts. Resource use up to 6 months based on trial
	resource log.
	Cost for health visitor training estimated to 1398 GBP per health visitor (converted to
	annual equivalent cost).
	Costs reported in GBP year 2003/4.
Incremental effect	Intervention: 0.026 (CBA 0.027; PCA 0.025)
	Control: 0.023
	Mean difference 0.003 (95% CI, -0.004 to 0.010)
	SF-6D derived from SF-12, a secondary endpoint in the RCT [86] using UK tariff.
ICER	No ICERs presented, as base case indicated lower costs and higher QALYs for
	intervention.
Study quality and	Moderate-high quality
transferability*	Moderate transferability to Sweden
,	
Further information	ITT analysis based on Morrell et al, 2009 [86]
Comments	Loss to follow-up at 6 months was 35% for economic analysis (71% and 86% at 12 and
	18 months, respectively). Sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation suggested no
	change in overall results.
	Although aggregate differences in mean costs and mean QALYs were not statistically
	significant, probabilistic sensitivity analyses suggested that there was just over 80%
	probability of the intervention being cost-effective using a willingness-to-pay
	threshold of 20 000–30 000 GBP per QALY.
	threshold of 20 000 30 000 GBF per QALT.

CBA = Cognitive behavioural approach; **CUA** = Cost-utility analysis; **EPDS** = Edinburgh postnatal depression scale; **GBP** = British pounds; **GP** = General practitioner; **ICER** = Incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; **ITT** = Intention-to-treat; **NHS** = National Health Service; **PCA** = Person-centred approach; **PND** = Post-natal depression; **RCT** = Randomised controlled trial; **QALY** = Quality-adjusted life-year; **SF-6D** = Short-Form Six-Dimension

* Assessed using SBU's checklist for trial-based health economic studies [112].

Table 2 Economic evaluation comparing group cognitive behavioural therapy with routine primary care for women with postnatal depression.

Toutine primary car	e for women with postnatal depression.
Author	Stevenson et al
Year	2010
Reference	[101]
Country	UK
Study design	Model-based CUA
	Time period: 6 months treatment effect, 12 months follow-up
Population	Women with postpartum depression (scoring above 12 on EPDS)
Setting	Primary care
Perspective	Health care and social services
Intervention	Group cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) consisting of 8 weekly, 2-hour sessions run
	by two female health visitors
vs	VS
control	Routine primary care provided by health visitors.
Incremental cost	Estimated cost per woman completing group CBT course: 1500 GBP
	Only the cost of intervention was included
	Costs reported in GBP year 2007/8
Incremental	Mean QALY gain: 0.032
effect	Utilities based on mapping between EPDS and SF-6D using primary data from Morrel
	2009 [1].
ICER	46 462 GBP/QALY (95% CI, 37 008 to 60 728 GBP/QALY)
Study quality and	Moderate quality
transferability*	Moderate transferability to Sweden
Further information	Effectiveness data based on systematic review, identifying Honey et al [5] as relevant,
	with n=45. Assumptions of duration and pattern of comparative effect based on
	clinical input.
Comments	Probabilistic sensitivity analyses indicated that in the base case, group CBT was
	unlikely to be cost-effective using recommended NICE willingness-to-pay thresholds
	of 20 000–30 000 GBP per QALY. However, several univariate sensitivity analyses with
	plausible inputs resulted in ICERs that could be considered cost-effective. The authors
	concluded that a definitive answer could only be given once there was more certainty
	in the costs of conducting group CBT, the efficacy of pure group CBT and in the
	duration of residual benefit.

CBT = Cognitive behavioural therapy; **CUA** = Cost-utility analysis; **EPDS** = Edinburgh postnatal depression scale; **GBP** = British pounds; **ICER** = Incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; **NICE** = National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; **QALY** = Quality-adjusted life-year; **SF-6D** = Short-Form Six-Dimension

^{*} Assessed using SBU's checklist for model-based health economic studies [113].

Referenser/References

- 99. Morrell CJ, Warner R, Slade P, Dixon S, Walters S, Paley G, et al. Psychological interventions for postnatal depression: cluster randomised trial and economic evaluation. The PoNDER trial. Health Technol Assess. 2009;13(30):iii-iv, xi-xiii, 1-153. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3310/hta13300.
- 86. Morrell CJ, Slade P, Warner R, Paley G, Dixon S, Walters SJ, et al. Clinical effectiveness of health visitor training in psychologically informed approaches for depression in postnatal women: pragmatic cluster randomised trial in primary care. BMJ. 2009;338:a3045. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a3045.
- 112. SBU. Bilaga 7. Mall för kvalitets granskning av empiriska hälsoekonomiska studier. Stockholm: Statens beredning för medicinsk och social utvärdering (SBU); 2017. [accessed Aug 16 2022]. Available from: https://www.sbu.se/globalassets/ebm/mall_empirisk_halsoekonomi.pdf.
- 101. Stevenson MD, Scope A, Sutcliffe PA, Booth A, Slade P, Parry G, et al. Group cognitive behavioural therapy for postnatal depression: a systematic review of clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and value of information analyses. Health Technol Assess. 2010;14(44):1-107, iii-iv. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3310/hta14440.
- 113. SBU. Bilaga 8. Mall för kvalitetsgranskning av hälsoekonomiska modellstudier. Stockholm: Statens beredning för medicinsk och social utvärdering (SBU); 2017. [accessed Aug 16 2022]. Available from: https://www.sbu.se/globalassets/ebm/mall-modell-halsoekonomi.pdf.