Bilaga 5 till rapport

Bilddiagnostik vid misstanke om total hjärninfarkt
– en systematisk litteraturöversikt, rapport 282 (2018)

Bilaga 5 Granskningsmallar

Author: **Year**

Assessor:

Domain 1. PATIENT SELECTION

Describe methods of patient selection:

A. Risk for bias

Item	Yes	No	Unclear
Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?			
Was a case-control design avoided?			
Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?			
Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?			

B. Concerns regarding applicability

Item	Low risk	High risk	Unclear
Was the spectrum of patients representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice?			
Is there concern that the included patients do not match the review question?			

Domain 2. INDEX TEST

Describe the index test and how it was conducted and interpreted:

A. Risk for bias

Item	Low risk	High risk	Unclear
Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?			
Could the conduct of interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?			

B. Concerns regarding applicability

Item	Low risk	High risk	Unclear
Was the expertise of the reporting radiologist adequate?			
Is the method of the index test described in enough detail to make it replicable?			
Är EEG utfört enligt internationella riktlinjer för isoelektrisk EEG/ECS			
Is there concern that the index test, its conduct or interpretation differ from the review question?			

Domain 3. REFERENCE STANDARD

Describe the reference standard:

A. Risk of bias

Item	Low risk	High risk	Unclear
Is the reference standard likely to correctly classify the target condition?			
Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test?			
Could the reference standard, it conduct or its interpretation have introduced bias?			

B. Concerns regarding applicability

Item	Low risk	High risk	Unclear
Was the method of the reference standard described in enough detail to make it replicable?			
Was the expertise of the interpreting clinician/s adequate?			
Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question?			

Domain 4. FLOW AND TIMING

Describe any patients who did not receive the index test or reference standard or who were excluded from the 2x2 table.

A. Risk for bias

Item	**Low risk**	**High risk**	**Unclear**
Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference test?			
Did all patients receive a reference standard?			
Did patients receive the same reference standard?			
Were all patients included in the analysis?			
Could the patient flow have introduced bias?			

B. Concerns regarding applicability

Item	**Low risk**	**High risk**	**Unclear**
Is there concern that flow and timing does not match the review question?			