

Bilaga till rapport

Åldersbedömning – röntgenundersökning av visdomständer i underkäken / Age estimation by examination with panoramic radiography of lower third molar, rapport 333 (2021)

Bilaga 4. Granskningsmall för risk för bias: Quadas 2/Appendix 2. Adjusted Quadas 2

	Domair	1: Patient sele	ction		Domain 2: Index test(s)				Domain 3: Reference test		Domai	Domain 4 (Flow and timing)			Domain 5 (Data)			
Was the	Was a	Did the study	Eeven age	BIAS:	Were the index	Were the stages for	Was the index test	If both 38 and 48 are	BIAS:	Is the reference	BIAS:	Were all	Were all	BIAS:	Is the data	Age	BIAS:	Samlad bedömning.
selection	consecutive or	avoid	distribution?	Could the	test results	molar development	assessed by more	included, is the data for	Could the	standard likely to	Could the conduct	patients	teeth	Could the	presented	Mimicry:	Could the	
process well	random	inappropriate		selection of	interpreted	well	than one observer?	each tooth shown	conduct or	correctly classify	or interpretation of	included in	included in	patient flow	without	even age	data have	
described?	sample of	exclusions/in		patients	without	described/assessed		separately. If only data for	interpretati	the target	the index text have	the	the	have	any issues?	distribution	introduced	
	patients	clusion?		have	knowledge of the	?		one tooth is shown, is it	on of the	condition?	introduced bias?	analysis?	analysis?	introduced		? If no,	bias?	
	enrolled?			introduced	results of the			the tooth that is the least	index text					bias?		was it		
				bias?	reference			developed?	have							correctly		
					standard?				introduced							analysed in		
									bias?							the results?		