

Bilaga till rapport

Undersökning av kromosomavvikelser i embryot vid assisterad befruktning/Effectiveness, complications and health economic and ethical aspects of preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A) during *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF) report 393 (2025)

Appendix 5 Characteristics of included studies

Effect studies

Munne et al. 2019

Author	Munne et al.
Year	2019
Country	United States, Canada, United Kingdom, Australia
Reference	[1]
Study design	RCT
Setting	Multi centre (34)
Time of randomization	Day 4 to 6 after fertilization
Inclusion criteria	At least 2 blastocysts
Previous failed IVF	Max 2 for inclusion
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	I: 88.8% C: 87%
Day of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with PGT-A
Participants (n)	330
Drop-outs (n)	56 (withdrawal, thaw failure, protocol deviation, no euploid embryo)
Participants that had an ET (n)	274
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 33.7 ±3.6 Median (range): 34.0(25-40)
Embryos per transfer	1 embryo/ET
Day/stage of biopsy	Day 5/6 (blastocyst)
Method of genetic analysis	NGS based assay
ET if mosaic embryo	No
Control	IVF without PGT-A
Participants (n)	331
Drop-outs (n)	18 (withdrawal, thaw failure)
Participants that had an ET (n)	313
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 33.8 ±3.6 Median (range): 34.0 (25-40)

Embryos per transfer	1 embryo/ET
Outcomes included	Deliveries at first planned embryo transfer (ET)
	Deliveries at first ET
	Pregnancies at first planned ET
	Pregnancies at first ET
	Miscarriage at first ET

I = intervention; C = control; ET = embryo transfer; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; NGS = next-generation sequencing; PGT- A = preimplantation genetic testing for an euploidy; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SD = standard deviation

Ozgur et al. 2019

Author	Ozgur et al.
Year	2019
Country	Turkey
Reference	[2]
Study design	RCT
Setting	Single centre
Time of randomization	Day 5 after fertilization
Inclusion criteria	At least 2 blastocysts
Previous failed IVF	Previous embryo transfer I: 14.7% C: 13.5%
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with PGT-A
Participants (n)	109
Drop-outs (n)	6 (no euploid embryo, got two embryos violating inclusion criteria)
Participants that had an ET (n)	103
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 28.5 ±3.7 Median (range): 28.5 (24.4–31.6)
Embryos per transfer	1 embryo/ET
Day/stage of biopsy	Day 5 (blastocyst)
Method of genetic analysis	NGS based assay
ET if mosaic embryo	No
Control	IVF without PGT-A
Participants (n)	220
Drop-outs (n)	0
Participants that had an ET (n)	111
Age of mother	Mean ±SD: 28.3 ±3.2 Median (range): 29.0 (25.9–30.7)
Embryos per transfer	1 embryo/ET
Outcomes included	Deliveries at first planned embryo transfer (ET)
	Deliveries at first ET
	Pregnancies at first planned ET
	Pregnancies at first ET
	Miscarriage at first ET
	hrve transfer: ICSI – introquitanlearnia enerminiaction: IVE – in vitro fortilization:

I = intervention; C = control; ET = embryo transfer; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; NGS = next-generation sequencing; PGT- A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SD = standard deviation

Rubio et al. 2017

Author	Rubio et al.
Year	2017
Country	Spain
Reference	[3]
Study design	RCT
Setting	Multi centre (4)
Time of randomization	Pre-treatment
Inclusion criteria	At least 5 metaphase II (MII) oocytes
Previous failed IVF	l: 0.2±0.4 C: 0.2±0.4
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh at first ET, % frozen of all ET:s: I: 1.4% C:26.9%
Intervention	IVF with PGT-A
Participants (n)	138
Drop-outs (n)	38 (spontaneous pregnancy, not fulfilling inclusion criteria, previous recurrent miscarriages, recurrent implantation failure).
Participants that had an ET (n)	1 st attempt: 68 Total number of ET:s: 69
Age of mother	Mean ±SD: 39.1±1.1
Embryos per transfer	Mean ± SD: 1.3 ±0.5 embryo/ET
Day/stage of biopsy	Day 3
Method of genetic analysis	aCGH
ET if mosaic embryo	Not analysed
Control	IVF without PGT-A
Participants (n)	140
Drop-outs (n)	35 (spontaneous pregnancy, not fulfilling inclusion criteria, recurrent implantation failure)
Participants that had an ET (n)	1 st attempt: 95 Total number of ET:s: 130
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 39.5±1.0
Embryos per transfer	Mean ± SD: 1.8 ±0.4 embryo/ET
Outcomes included	Deliveries per oocyte retrieval (cumulative)
	Deliveries at first planned embryo transfer (ET)
	Deliveries at first ET
	Pregnancies at first planned ET
	Pregnancies at first ET
	Miscarriage at first ET
	Ectopic pregnancy

aCGH = array comparative genomic hybridisation; I = intervention; C = control; ET = embryo transfer; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for an uploidy; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SD = standard deviation

Scott et al. 2013

Author	Scott et al.
Year	2013
Country	USA
Reference	[4]
Study design	RCT
Setting	Single centre
Time of randomization	Day 5 after fertilization
Inclusion criteria	At least 2 blastocysts
Previous failed IVF	l: 0.3±0.03 C: 0.32±0.03
Donated eggs	l: 4.2% C: 6%
ICSI (%)	100%
Day of ET	Blastocyst stage day 5 or 6
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh
Intervention	IVF with PGT-A
Participants (n)	72
Drop-outs (n)	0
Participants that had an ET (n)	72
Age of mother	Mean ± SE: 32.2±0.5
Embryos per transfer	Mean ± SE: 1.9 ±0.0 embryo/ET
Day/stage of biopsy	Day 5
Method of genetic analysis	q-PCR based CCS
ET if mosaic embryo	Not stated
Control	IVF without PGT-A
Participants (n)	83
Drop-outs (n)	0
Participants that had an ET (n)	83
Age of mother	Mean ± SE: 32.4±0.5
Embryos per transfer	Mean ± SE: 2.0 ±0.0 embryo/ET
Outcomes included	Deliveries at first planned embryo transfer (ET)
	Deliveries at first ET
	Pregnancies at first planned ET
	Pregnancies at first ET

I = intervention; C = control; ET = embryo transfer; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; *q-PCR based CCS* = quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction based comprehensive chromosome screening; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SE = standard error

Verpoest et al. 2018

Author	Verpoest et al.
Year	2018
Country	7 countries in Europe
Reference	[5]
Study design	RCT
Setting	Multi centre (9)
Time of randomization	Before biopsy
Inclusion criteria	Max 2 previous failed IVF
Previous failed IVF	% number of no previous IVF: I: 58% C: 58%
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day of ET	Up to day 5 but same in both groups
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh at first ET, % frozen of all ET:s: I: 14% C: 30%
Intervention	IVF with PGT-A
Participants (n)	205
Drop-outs (n)	8 (withdrew, clinical decision)
Participants that had an ET (n)	At least one ET: 149 Total number of ET:s: 177
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 38.6±1.4
Embryos per transfer	% who got 1 embryo per transfer: 59% (the rest got 2 embryos per transfer)
Day/stage of biopsy	Polar body biopsy
Method of genetic analysis	aCGH
ET if mosaic embryo	Not analysed
Control	IVF without PGT-A
Participants (n)	191
Drop-outs (n)	7 (withdrew, clinical decision, spontaneous pregnancy)
Participants that had an ET (n)	At least one ET: 171 Total number of ET:s: 249
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 38.6±1.4
Embryos per transfer	% who got 1 embryo per transfer: 23% (the rest got 2 embryos per transfer)
Outcomes included	Deliveries per oocyte retrieval (cumulative)
	Deliveries at first planned embryo transfer (ET)
	Deliveries at first ET
	Pregnancies at first planned ET
	Pregnancies at first ET
	Miscarriage at first ET
	Time to pregnancy

aCGH = array comparative genomic hybridisation; **I** = intervention; **C** = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT-A** = Preimplantation genetic testing for

aneuploidy; **RCT** = randomized controlled trial; **SD** = standard deviation

Wang et al. 2022

Author	Wang et al.
Year	2022
Country	China
Reference	[6]
Study design	RCT
Setting	Multi center (13)
Time of randomization	After biopsy
Inclusion criteria	Not stated
Previous failed IVF	Not stated
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with PGT-A
Participants (n)	282
Drop-outs (n)	32 (withdrew, no transfer)
Participants that had an ET (n)	Assumed to be 224 (excluding those that had no transfer and those that did not have any euploid embryos) but could also be 250
Age of mother	No mean or median, age was presented in age distribution: <32y: 40% 32-34y: 25% 35-38y: 23% >38y: 12%
Embryos per transfer	Supposed to be 1 embryo per transfer, but 26 patients had 2 embryos transferred
Day/stage of biopsy	Day 5/6 blastocysts, biopsy in both groups
Method of genetic analysis	NGS (Illumina NextSeq 500CN)
ET if mosaic embryo	No
Control	IVF without PGT-A
Participants (n)	238
Drop-outs (n)	22 (withdrew, no transfer)
Participants that had an ET (n)	216
Age of mother	No mean or median, age was presented in age distribution: <32y: 38% 32-34y: 28% 35-38y: 24% >38y: 10%
Embryos per transfer	Supposed to be 1 embryo per transfer, but 19 patients had 2 embryos transferred
Outcomes included	Deliveries at first planned embryo transfer (ET)
	Deliveries at first ET
	Pregnancies at first planned ET
	Pregnancies at first ET
	onlasmic sperm injection: IVE = in vitro fertilization: NGS = payt-generation

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **NGS** = next-generation sequencing; **PGT-A** = Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **RCT** = randomized controlled trial; **y** = years

Yan et al. 2021

Author	Yan et al.
Year	2021
Country	China I China
Reference	[7, 8]
Study design	RCT
Setting	Multi center (14)
Time of randomization	Day 5 after fertilization
Inclusion criteria	At least 3 blastocysts
Previous failed IVF	No previous IVF attempts
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with PGT-A
Participants (n)	606
Drop-outs (n)	29 (withdrawal, spontaneous pregnancy, included by mistake, protocol deviation, mosaic embryos transferred)
Participants that had an ET (n)	1st ET: 576 2nd ET: 119 3rd ET: 5
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 29.1±3.6
Embryos per transfer	Supposed to be 1 embryo per transfer, but 5 patients had 2 embryos transferred
Day/stage of biopsy	Day 5 blastocysts
Method of genetic analysis	NGS (Illumina NextSeq 550 or Ion PGM/Proton)
ET if mosaic embryo	No in general but 6 embryos were transferred anyway
Control	IVF without PGT-A
Participants (n)	606
Drop-outs (n)	41 (withdrawal, spontaneous pregnancy, included by mistake, protocol deviation, lost to follow-up, mosaic embryos transferred)
Participants that had an ET (n)	1st ET: 594 2nd ET: 192 3rd ET: 49
Age of mother	Mean ± SD: 29.2±3.5
Embryos per transfer	Supposed to be 1 embryo per transfer, but 15 patients had 2 embryos transferred
Outcomes included	Deliveries per oocyte retrieval (cumulative)
	Deliveries at first planned embryo transfer (ET)
	Deliveries at first ET
	Pregnancies at first planned ET
	Pregnancies at first ET
	Miscarriage at first ET
	Ectopic pregnancy

Time to pregnancy

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **NGS** = next-generation sequencing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **RCT** = randomized controlled trial; **SD** = standard deviation

Complication studies

Awadalla et al. 2021

Author	Awadalla et al.
Year	2021
Country	USA
Reference	[9]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single centre
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	l: 100% C: 87%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	78 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean± SD: 35.8±3.7
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	67 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean± SD: 35.6±4.3
Parity	Not stated

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Belva et al. 2018

Author	Belva et al.
Year	2018
Country	Belgium
Reference	[10]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Single centre
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	87 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 30.5±3.3
Parity	56% firstborn
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT-M: n=70
Ocurtural	PGT-SR: n=17
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	87 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.3±3.5
Parity	64% firstborn

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorder; **PGT-SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

Belva et al. 2023

Author	Belva et al.
Year	2023
Country	Belgium
Reference	[11]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single centre
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	
Flesh/hozen El	Divided in 5 groups Fresh ET: Intervention group EBD3 FRESH, Control group FRESH Frozen ET: Intervention groups EBD5 FET and EBD3 FET, Control group: FET
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	852 singletons live born with data at birth EBD5 FET: 222 EBD3 FET: 322 EBD3 FRESH: 308
Age of mother (years)	Mean ±SD in each group: EBD5 FET: 34.2 ±4.7 EBD3 FET: 31.7±3.6 EBD3 FRESH: 31.8±3.5
Parity	nulliparous, %: EBD5 FET: 63.9% EBD3 FET:58.4% EBD3 FRESH:63.5%
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage (EBD3) Blastocyst (EBD5)
Types of PGT included	PGT-M, PGT-SR and PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	1 532 singletons live born with data at birth FET: 751 FRESH: 781
Age of mother (years)	Mean ±SD in each group: FET: 32.9±3.9 FRESH: 31.8±3.9
Parity	nulliparous, %: FET: 31.9% FRESH: 23.1%

EBD3=embryo biopsy day 3; EBD5=embryo biopsy day 5; ET = embryo transfer; FET=frozen embryo transfer;
ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = in vitro fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing;
PGT- A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; PGT-M = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; PGT-SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; SD = standard deviation

Cozzolino et al. 2023

Author	Cozzolino et al.
Year	2023
Country	Spain
Reference	[12]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Multi center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	l: Frozen 100%
	C: Both
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	3 850 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 39.13 ± 3.15
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	3 296 Singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 36.12 ± 3.42
Parity	Not stated

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; SD = standard deviation

Desmyttere et al. 2009

Author	Desmyttere et al.
Year	2009
Country	Belgium
Reference	[13]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	70 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 34.2 ±4.6
Parity	Firstborn yes/no (n) 49/21
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGD/PGS
	(28 PGD and 42 PGS)
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	70 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.6 ±4.0
Parity	Firstborn yes/no (n) 49/21

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGD** = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); **PGS** = preimplantation genetic screening (PGT-A); **SD** = standard deviation

Desmyttere et al. 2012

Author	Desmyttere et al.
Year	2012
Country	Belgium
Reference	[14]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	678 singleton births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.2±4.6
Parity	Firstborn yes/no (%) 75/25
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	Not stated but probably all
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	1 078 singleton births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 32.0±4.2
Parity	Parity yes/no (%) 66/34

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

DeVos et al. 2009

Author	DeVos et al.
Year	2009
Country	Belgium
Reference	[15]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	Intervention: Group 1 (1 cell biopsy): 2.4% IVF, Group 2 (2 cell biopsy): 100% ICSI Control: 100% ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	126 live born Group 1: 68 Group 2: 58
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: Group 1: 32.7 ± 5.6 Group 2: 32.6 ± 4.7
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT-M PGT-SR PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	246 live born
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 30.0 ± 3.7
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT-SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

Eldar-Geva et al.2014

Author	Eldar-Geva et al.
Year	2014
Country	Israel
Reference	[16]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	l: Not stated C: ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	l: Frozen: 16.5% C: Frozen: 29.1%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	158 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 30.5 ± 4.1
Parity	Nulliparous 32%
Day/stage of biopsy	Polar body biopsy 25.3% Cleavage stage 75.2% Both 2.5%
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	158 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 30.5 ± 4.7
Parity	Nulliparous 32%

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

El-Toukhy et al. 2009

Author	El-Toukhy et al.
Year	2009
Country	United Kingdom
Reference	[17]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	l: Not stated C: ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Both
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	11 Ongoing pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 30.5 ± 4.1
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT-M PGT-SR
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	65 Ongoing pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 30.5 ± 4.7
Parity	Not stated

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-M = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; PGT- SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; SD = standard deviation

Feldman et al. 2020

Author	Feldman et al.
Year	2020
Country	Israel
Reference	[18]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	I: ICSI: 53% of all pregnancies C: Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Cleavage stage
Fresh/frozen ET	I: Fresh: 56.1% of all pregnancies C: Both
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	345 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Median (IQR): 32 (30, 35)
Parity	Nulliparous 46.6%
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT-M
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	422 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Median (IQR): 35 (32, 40)
Parity	Nulliparous 53.7%

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IQR** = interquartile range; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders

Forman et al. 2012

Author	Forman et al.
Year	2012
Country	USA
Reference	[19]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	I: ICSI C: Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Both
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	49 deliveries (to date of publication)
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 34.2±3.9
Parity	Parity, mean±SD 0.7±0.7
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	63 deliveries (to date of publication)
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 37.3±3.4
Parity	Parity, mean±SD 0.7±0.9

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; SD = standard deviation

Ginstrom Ernstad et al. 2023

Author	Ginstrom Ernstad et al.
Year	2023
Country	Sweden
Reference	[20]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	National register
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	I: 86.4% ICSI C: 46.1% ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Cleavage stage and Blastocyst stage I: Day 2-3= 2.1%, day 4= 47.4%, day 5-6= 50%, missing information= 0.5% C: Day 2-3= 59.1%, day 4= 0.3%, day 5-6= 25.3%, day 7 = 0.3%, missing information= 15.3%
Fresh/frozen ET	I: Fresh: 66.2%, frozen: 33.8% C: Fresh: 70.5%, frozen: 29.5%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	390 singleton births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.2±3.5
Parity	Nulliparous 40.0%
Day/stage of biopsy	Day 3 or Day 5-6
Types of PGT included	PGT-M PGT-SR
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	61 060 singleton births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.8±4.2
Parity	Nulliparous 67.8%

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-M = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; PGT-SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; SD = standard deviation

Gulersen et al. 2021

Author	Gulersen et al
Year	2021
Country	USA
Reference	[21]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	l: 5% C: 13.9%
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	l: Not stated C: 3-5 days after oocyte retrieval
Fresh/frozen ET	l: Fresh: 2.8% C: Fresh: 36.3%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	496 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 36.2 ±4.4
Parity	Nulliparous 56.7%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A PGT-SR PGT-M
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	519 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 36.0 ±4.7
Parity	Nulliparous 57.0%

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy;

PGT- M = pre- implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT-SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

Gulersen et al. 2022

Author	Gulersen et al
Year	2022
Country	USA
Reference	[22]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	l: 4.8 % C: 16.1%
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	l: Blastocyst C: Cleavage stage or blastocyst
Fresh/frozen ET	Both
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	208 singleton pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Oocyte age, mean±SD: 35.9±4.5
Parity	Nulliparous 58.7%
Parity Day/stage of biopsy	Nulliparous 58.7% Blastocyst
-	
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Day/stage of biopsy Types of PGT included	Blastocyst PGT-A
Day/stage of biopsy Types of PGT included Control	Blastocyst PGT-A IVF without biopsy

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; SD = standard deviation

Hao et al. 2022

Author	Hao et al.
Year	2022
Country	China
Reference	[23]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage I: Day 5: 93.9%, day 6 or 7: 6.7% C: Day 5: 93.1%, day 6 or 7: 6.9%
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	989 clinical pregnancies and 835 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.8±4.3
Parity	Nulliparous: 91.1%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M n=76 PGT-SR n=415 PGT-A n=344
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	1 352 clinical pregnancies and 1 063 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 32.7 ±4.5
Parity	Nulliparous: 93.0%

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy;

PGT- M = pre- implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT- SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

Hasson et al. 2017

Author	Hasson et al.
Year	2017
Country	Israel
Reference	[24]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	51 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.7 ± 5
Parity	Parity (mean ±SD): 1.1 ± 1.1
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT-M
	PGT-SR
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	83 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.8± 4.8
Parity	Parity (mean ±SD): 0.4 ± 0.6

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT-SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

He et al. 2019

Author	He et al.
Year	2019
Country	China
Reference	[25]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Both
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	646 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 30.3±4.3
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	612 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.3±4.2
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation;

Ji et al. 2023

Author	Ji et al.
Year	2023
Country	China
Reference	[26]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	I: ICSI C: IVF/ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage I: Day 5: 55.8%, day 6: 44.2% C: Day 5: 61.8%, day 6: 38.2
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	215 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.1 ±4.3
Parity	Nulliparous: 76.7%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A 61.9% PGT-SR 32.6% PGT-M 5.6%
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	385 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.1±3.8
Parity	Nulliparous: 78.2%

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; PGT-M = preimplantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; PGT-SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; SD = standard deviation

Kamath et al. 2020

Author	Kamath et al.
Year	2020
Country	United Kingdom
Reference	[27]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	National register
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	I: IVF: 12.5%, ICSI: 70.6% C: IVF: 38.4%, ICSI: 43.3%
Day/stage of ET	l: Cleavage stage 57.0%, Blastocyst 43.0%, Unknown 0.1% C: Cleavage stage 64.5%, Blastocyst 35.5%, Unknown 0%
Fresh/frozen ET	l: Fresh: 56.1%, Frozen: 43.9% C: Fresh: 71.1%, Frozen: 29.0%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	1 448 live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	18-34 years 46.2% 35–37 years 22.5% 38–39 years 12.9% 40–42 years 13.4% 43–44 years 4.0% ≥45 years 1.0%
Parity	Previous live birth: 14.5%
Day/stage of biopsy	Not stated
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	54 646 live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	18–34 years 51.2% 35–37 years 24.2% 38–39 years 12.7% 40–42 years 9.1% 43–44 years 2.1% ≥45 years 0.7%
Parity	Previous live birth: 14.7%

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SET** = single embryo transfer

Kato et al. 2023

Author	Kato et al.
Year	2023
Country	Japan
Reference	[28]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	l: Frozen: 57.7% C: Frozen: 66.3%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	9 live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 38.6 ± 0.4
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	13 live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 38.5 ± 0.9
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation

genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **SD** = standard deviation; **SET** = single embryo transfer

Kato et al. 2023

Author	Kato et al.
Year	2023
Country	Japan
Reference	[29]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	I: Probably ICSI C: ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	113 RIF and 95 RPL live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	Not stated but comparable between groups
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	82 RIF and 69 RPL live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	Not stated but comparable between groups
Parity	Not stated

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; RPL = reccurent pregnancy loss; SET = single embryo transfer

Lewis et al. 2021

Author	Lewis et al.
Year	2021
Country	Australia
Reference	[30]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Multi center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	l: 84% ICSI C: 87% ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Cleavage stage or blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	l: Fresh: 93%, Frozen: 7% C: Fresh: 94%, Frozen :7%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	100 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ±SD: 36.7±3.8
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	213 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ±SD: 37.0 ±5.4
Parity	Not stated

C = control; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **RIF** = recurrent implantation failure; **RPL** = recurrent pregnancy loss; **SD** = standard **SET** = single embryo transfer

Li et al. 2021

Author	Li et al.
Year	2021
Country	USA
Reference	[31]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	Register
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	6 244 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ±SD: 35.8 ± 4.1
Parity	No prior full-term birth: 67.3%
Day/stage of biopsy	Not stated
Types of PGT included	PGT-M
	PGT-SR PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	1 002 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ±SD: 33.7 ± 3.9
Parity	No prior full-term birth: 60.2%

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT-SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

Li et al. 2022

Author	Li et al.
Year	2022
Country	China
Reference	[32]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	I: ICSI C: Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	1 853 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Median (IQR): 33(30-37)
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M PGT-SR PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	3 006 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Median (IQR): 32(29-35)
Parity	Not stated

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IQR = Interquartile range; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; PGT-M = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; PGT-SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements

Li et al. 2022

Author	Li et al.
Year	2022
Country	China
Reference	[33]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	1 088 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Median (quartile deviation): 31 (3.5)
Parity	Nulliparous 72.2%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	4 324 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Median (quartile deviation): 30 (3)
Parity	Nulliparous 75.5%

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing

Liu et al. 2024

Author	Liu et al.
Year	2024
Country	USA
Reference	[34]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	Register
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	21 584 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 35.3 ± 4.10
Parity	Previous birth: 30.4%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	24 128 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.0 ± 4.20
Parity	Previous birth: 23.8%

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Liu et al. 2024

Author	Liu et al.
Year	2024
Country	China
Reference	[35]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single centre
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Both
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	26 singleton live births for women 38 years or older
Age of mother (years)	<38 years: 33.0 (30.0, 35.0) ≥38 years: 40.0 (39.0, 42.0)
Parity	Previous live birth <38 years: 12.8% ≥38 years: 48.1%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	7 singleton live births for women 38 years or older
Age of mother (years)	<38 years: 33.0 (30.0, 35.0) ≥38 years: 41.0 (39.0, 43.0)
Parity	Previous live birth <38 years: 16.2% ≥38 years: 38.0%

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy

Lu et al. 2020

Author	Lu et al.
Year	2020
Country	China
Reference	[36]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	l: 100 % C: 45 %
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage I: Day 5: 92.4%, Day 6: 7.6% C: Day 5: 85.8%, Day 6: 14.2%
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Intervention Participants (n)	IVF with biopsy 305 singleton live births
Participants (n)	305 singleton live births
Participants (n) Age of mother (years)	305 singleton live births Mean±SD: 31.5± 4.2
Participants (n) Age of mother (years) Parity	305 singleton live births Mean±SD: 31.5± 4.2 Parity (median (IQR)): 0.0(0.0)
Participants (n) Age of mother (years) Parity Day/stage of biopsy	305 singleton live births Mean±SD: 31.5± 4.2 Parity (median (IQR)): 0.0(0.0) Blastocyst
Participants (n) Age of mother (years) Parity Day/stage of biopsy Types of PGT included	305 singleton live births Mean±SD: 31.5± 4.2 Parity (median (IQR)): 0.0(0.0) Blastocyst PGT
Participants (n) Age of mother (years) Parity Day/stage of biopsy Types of PGT included Control	305 singleton live births Mean±SD: 31.5± 4.2 Parity (median (IQR)): 0.0(0.0) Blastocyst PGT IVF without biopsy

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **IQR** = Interquartile range; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Makhijani et al. 2021

Author	Makhijani et al.
Year	2021
Country	USA
Reference	[37]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Both ICSI and IVF
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	241 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 35.9±4.1
Parity	Nulliparous: 91.3%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M 10.0% PGT-SR 2.1%
	PGT-A 88.0%
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	515 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.8±3.6
Parity	Nulliparous: 68.3%

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT- SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

Mastenbroek et al. 2007

Author	Mastenbroek et al.
Year	2007
Country	The Netherlands
Reference	[38-40]
Study design	Randomized controlled trial
Setting	Multi center
Donated eggs	Yes
ICSI (%)	Per embryo
	l: ICSI: 161/434 C: ICSI: 141/402
Day/stage of ET	Cleavage stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Both
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	67 clinical pregnancies and 39 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 38.0±1.7
Parity	Nulliparous: 67%
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	92 clinical pregnancies and 57 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 37.9±1.6
Parity	Nulliparous: 61%

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing, PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; SD = standard deviation

Mejia et al. 2022

Author	Meija et al.
Year	2022
Country	USA
Reference	[41]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	Register
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	l: 93.0% C: 74.4%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Both
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	Not stated
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.5±3.0
Parity	Parity (median (IQR)) 0 (0-0)
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	Not stated
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 31.8±3.3
Parity	Parity (median (IQR)) 0 (0-0)

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IQR = Interquartile range; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; SD = standard deviation

Meyer et al. 2009

Author	Meyer et al.
Year	2009
Country	USA
Reference	[42]
Study design	Randomized controlled trial
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	6 births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 31.6 ± 4.1
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	15 births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 31.1 ± 2.6
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = in vitro fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Nekkebroeck et al. 2008

Author	Nekkebroeck et al.
Year	2008
Country	Belgium
Reference	[43]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	I: Not stated C: ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	41 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 34.5 ±4.8
Parity	Firstborn n=28
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGD n=19 PGS n=22
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	35 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.5 ±3.8
Parity	Firstborn n=23

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGD = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); PGS = preimplantation genetic screening (PGT-A); PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; SD = standard deviation

Nekkebroeck et al. 2008

Author	Nekkebroeck et al.
Year	2008
Country	Belgium
Reference	[44]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	l: Not stated C: ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	70 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 34.2±4.6
Parity	Firstborn n=49
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGD n=28 PGS n=42
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	70 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.6±4.1
Parity	Firstborn n=49

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGD** = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); **PGS** = preimplantation genetic screening (PGT-A); **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Ricciarelli et al. 2013

Author	Ricciarelli et al.
Year	2013
Country	Spain
Reference	[45]
Study design	Prospective cohort study
Setting	Multi center
Donated eggs	Yes
ICSI (%)	I: Not stated C: IVF (n=838),ICSI (n=5 080)
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	188 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Not stated
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Not stated
Types of PGT included	PGD
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	5 092 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Not stated
Parity	Not stated

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGD** = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing

Richardson et al. 2022

Author	Richardson et al.
Year	2022
Country	USA
Reference	[46]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	l: Blastocyst stage: 100% C: Frozen ET: Cleavage stage 16%, Blastocyst stage 84% C: Fresh ET: Cleavage stage 26%, Blastocyst stage 74%
Fresh/frozen ET	I: 100% frozen C: Frozen ET: 100% frozen, Fresh ET: 0% frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	150 singleton live births of which 148 was included in our results
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 37.2±4.1
Parity	Nulliparous: 67%
Day/stage of biopsy	Not stated
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	150 singleton live births of which 147 was included in our results
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: Frozen: 35.9± 4.0 Fresh: 35.3± 3.4
Parity	Frozen ET: Nulliparous: 57% Fresh ET: Nulliparous: 43%

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for an euploidy; SD = standard deviation

Riestenberg et al. 2021

Author	Riestenberg et al.
Year	2021
Country	USA
Reference	[47]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Yes
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	475 singleton pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: Autologous cycles: 36.7±3.8 Oocyte donation cycles: 28.6±5.7
Parity	Parity (mean ±SD): 0.6±0.9
Day/stage of biopsy	Majority blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	237 singleton pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: Autologous cycles: 36.5±3.9 Oocyte donation cycles: 26.7±4.8
Parity	Parity (mean ±SD): 0.6±0.8

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **SD** = standard deviation

Roeca 2020

Author	Roeca et al.
Year	2020
Country	USA
Reference	[48]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	Register
Donated eggs	100%
ICSI (%)	Both ICSI and IVF
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	l: Frozen: 44.8%, Fresh: 55.2% C: Frozen: 38.9%, Fresh: 61.1%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	933 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 41.7 ±5.5
Parity	Parity (mean ±SD): 0.5±1.0
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M PGT-SR PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	8 221 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 41.3 ±5.2
Parity	Parity (mean ±SD): 0.4 ±0.8

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; PGT-M = preimplantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; PGT-SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; SD = standard deviation

Sarkar et al. 2023

Author	Sarkar et al.
Year	2023
Country	USA
Reference	[49]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	Register
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	3 400 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 36.1±3.8
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	2 811 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 33.2±4.3
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for an euploidy; **SD** = standard deviation;

Shi et al. 2023

Author	Shi et al.
Year	2023
Country	China
Reference	[50]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	164 births after SET (26 RIF and 140 RPL)
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD:
	iRPL: 34.9±4.5, iRIF: 35.2±3.9
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	145 births after SET (53 RIF and 92 RPL)
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD:
	iRPL: 35.9±5.2, iRIF: 35.6±4.0
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **iRIF** = idiopathic recurrent implantation failure; **iRPL** = idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **RIF** = recurrent implantation failure; **RPL** = recurrent pregnancy loss; **SD** = standard deviation; **SET** = single embryo transfer

Sites 2021

Author	Sites et al.
Year	2021
Country	USA
Reference	[51]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	Register
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	585 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	% in each group <30 years: 5.5 % 31 - 34 years: 21.7 % 35 - 37 years: 28.9 % 38 - 40 years 28.0% 41 - 42 years: 5.3 % ≥43 years: 2.4%
Parity	number of children after birth 1: 59.6 % 2: 30.4 % ≥3: 10.0 %
Day/stage of biopsy	Majority blastocyst
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	2 191 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	% in each group <30 years:11.8% 31 - 34 years:35.1 % 35 - 37 years:32.1% 38 - 40 years: 15.4 % 41 - 42 years: 4.0 % ≥43 years:1.6 %
Parity	number of children after birth 1: 48.7 % 2: 42.7 % ≥3: 8.6 %

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing

Snelgrove et al. 2024

Author	Snelgrove et al.
Year	2024
Country	Canada
Reference	[52]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Not stated
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	38 pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Median (IQR): 37 (34, 40)
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	61 pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Median (IQR): 37 (35, 40)
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IQR =** Interquartile range; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders

Srebnik et al. 2023

Author	Srebnik et al.
Year	2023
Country	Israel
Reference	[53]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Cleavage stage or blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	l: not stated
Intervention	C: Frozen: 15.9%, Fresh: 83.1% IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	120 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 31.1 ± 4.4
Parity	Parity (median (IQR)): 0 (0–3)
Day/stage of biopsy	Polar body 10.8%,
	Cleavage stage 76.7%,
	Blastocyst 12.5%
Types of PGT included	Not stated
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	779 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 31.7 ± 5.0
Parity	Parity (median (IQR)): 0 (0–1)

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IQR** = Interquartile range; **IVF** = in vitro fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Staessen et al. 2004

Author	Staessen et al.
Year	2004
Country	Belgium
Reference	[54]
Study design	Randomized controlled trial
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage or cleavage stage I: Blastocyst C: Majority blastocyst
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	22 ongoing pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 40.1 ± 2.4
Parity	Parity (mean±SD): 0.5±0.8
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	29 ongoing pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 39.9 ± 2.4
Parity	Parity (mean±SD): 0.5±0.9

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; SD = standard deviation

55 (71)

Staessen et al. 2008

Author	Staessen et al.
Year	2008
Country	Belgium
Reference	[55]
Study design	Randomized controlled trial
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Both ICSI and IVF
Day/stage of ET	Cleavage or Blastocyst stage I: Blastocyst stage C: Majority blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	37 live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 30.0± 4.1
Parity	Parity (mean±SD): 0.2±0.5
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	Not stated (all)
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	37 live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean±SD: 29.7±3.7
Parity	Parity (mean±SD): 0.3±0.6

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Sun et al. 2024

Author	Sun et al.
Year	2024
Country	China
Reference	[56]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Day 5 or day 6 I: Day 5 70.3%, Day 6 29.7% C: Day 5 60.5%, Day 6 39.5%
Fresh/frozen ET	I: Frozen: 20%, Fresh: 80% C: Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	857 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean (range): 30 (27–33)
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M PGT-SR PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	601 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean (range): 30 (28–35)
Parity	Not stated

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; PGT-M = preimplantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; PGT - SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; SD = standard deviation

Sunkara et al. 2017

Author	Sunkara et al.
Year	2017
Country	United Kingdom
Reference	[57]
Study design	Retrospective register study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	l: 79.5% C: 44.8%
Day/stage of ET	I: Cleavage stage 34.5%, Blastocyst stage 65.5% C: Cleavage stage 90.0%, Blastocyst stage 10.0%
Fresh/frozen ET	Fresh
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	439 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	% in each group ≤34years 46.0% 35–37years 27.0% 38–39years 13.0% 40–42years 9.8% 43–44years 3.6% ≥45years 0.6%
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Not stated
Types of PGT included	PGD
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	87 571 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	% in each group ≤34years 46.3% 35–37years 24.5% 38–39years 14.4% 40–42years 11.5% 43–44years 2.6% ≥45years0.7%
Parity	Not stated

C = control; **ET** = embryo transfer; **I** = intervention; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGD** = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing

Verpoest et al. 2009

Author	Verpoest et al.
Year	2009
Country	Belgium
Reference	[58]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	618 pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean: 33.9
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGD
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	947 pregnancies
Age of mother (years)	Mean: 31.9
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGD** = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing

Winter et al. 2014

Author	Winter et al.
Year	2014
Country	Belgium
Reference	[59]
Study design	Prospective case control study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated but same protocol in both groups
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	47 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 30.0 ±3.5
Parity	Nulliparous 57%
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGD
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	49 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 31.3 ±3.9
Parity	Nulliparous 59%

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGD** = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Winter et al. 2015

Author	Winter et al.
Year	2015
Country	Belgium
Reference	[60]
Study design	Prospective case control study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	Not stated
ICSI (%)	100%
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Not stated but same protocol in both groups
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	47 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Probably mean ±SD: 30.0 ±3.5
Parity	Firstborn: 24
Day/stage of biopsy	Cleavage stage
Types of PGT included	PGD
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	50 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Probably mean ±SD: 31.3 ±3.9
Parity	Firstborn: 30

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGD** = preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGT-M or PGT-SR); **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **SD** = standard deviation

Wu 2021

Author	Wu et al.
Year	2021
Country	China
Reference	[61]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	79 live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	Probably mean ±SD: 30.4 ±4.5
Parity	Not stated
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M 45.4% PGT-SR 31.9%
	PGT-A 22.7%
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	184 live births after SET
Age of mother (years)	Probably mean ±SD: 30.5 ±4.1
Parity	Not stated

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT- R** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation; **SET** = single embryo transfer

Zhang et al. 2019

Author	Zhang et al.
Year	2019
Country	USA
Reference	[62]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	l: 75.7% C: 68.9%
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	155 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 36.9 ±3.9
Parity	Parity (mean ± SD): 0.5 ± 0.7
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M 15.3% PGT-A 84.7%
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	150 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 36.5 ± 4.1
Parity	Parity (mean ± SD): 0.4 ± 0.6

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-A = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; PGT-M = pre-

implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; SD = standard deviation

Zheng et al. 2022

Author	Zheng et al.
Year	2022
Country	China
Reference	[63]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	l: Not stated C: ICSI
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage I: Day 5: 58.9%, Day 6 or 7: 41.1% C: Day 5: 53.2%, day 6 or 7: 46.8%
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	107 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 29.6 ±4.2
Parity	Nulliparous 86.9%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-SR
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	585 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 30.0 ±4.4
Parity	Nulliparous 74.5%

C = control; ET = embryo transfer; I = intervention; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF = *in vitro* fertilization; PGT = preimplantation genetic testing; PGT-SR = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; SD = standard deviation

Zheng et al. 2022

Author	Zheng et al.
Year	2022
Country	China
Reference	[64]
Study design	Retrospective cohort study
Setting	Single center
Donated eggs	No
ICSI (%)	Not stated
Day/stage of ET	Blastocyst stage
Fresh/frozen ET	Frozen
Intervention	IVF with biopsy
Participants (n)	236 ongoing singleton pregnancies and 232 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 30.9 ± 4.4
Parity	Nulliparous 77.6%
Day/stage of biopsy	Blastocyst
Types of PGT included	PGT-M
	PGT-SR PGT-A
Control	IVF without biopsy
Participants (n)	2 914 ongoing singleton pregnancies and 2 829 singleton live births
Age of mother (years)	Mean ± SD: 30.6 ± 4.2
Parity	Nulliparous 74.1%

ET = embryo transfer; **ICSI** = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; **IVF** = *in vitro* fertilization; **PGT** = preimplantation genetic testing; **PGT-A** = preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy; **PGT-M** = pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic disorders; **PGT-SR** = preimplantation genetic testing for structural rearrangements; **SD** = standard deviation

References

- 1. Munne S, Kaplan B, Frattarelli JL, Child T, Nakhuda G, Shamma FN, et al. Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy versus morphology as selection criteria for single frozen-thawed embryo transfer in goodprognosis patients: a multicenter randomized clinical trial. Fertility and sterility. 2019;112(6):1071-9.e7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.07.1346
- 2. Ozgur K, Berkkanoglu M, Bulut H, Yoruk GDA, Candurmaz NN, Coetzee K. Single best euploid versus single best unknown-ploidy blastocyst frozen embryo transfers: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics. 2019;36(4):629-36. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-018-01399-1
- 3. Rubio C, Bellver J, Rodrigo L, Castillon G, Guillen A, Vidal C, et al. In vitro fertilization with preimplantation genetic diagnosis for aneuploidies in advanced maternal age: a randomized, controlled study. Fertility and sterility. 2017;107(5):1122-9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.03.011
- 4. Scott Jr RT, Upham KM, Forman EJ, Hong KH, Scott KL, Taylor D, et al. Blastocyst biopsy with comprehensive chromosome screening and fresh embryo transfer significantly increases in vitro fertilization implantation and delivery rates: A randomized controlled trial. Fertility and Sterility. 2013;100(3):697-703. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.04.035
- 5. Verpoest W, Staessen C, Bossuyt PM, Goossens V, Altarescu G, Bonduelle M, et al. Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy by microarray analysis of polar bodies in advanced maternal age: a randomized clinical trial. Human reproduction (Oxford, England). 2018;33(9):1767-76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dey262
- Wang L, Wang X, Li M, Liu Y, Ou X, Chen L, et al. PGT-A: The biology and hidden failures of randomized control trials. Prenatal Diagnosis. 2022;42(9):1211-21. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pd.6199</u>
- 7. Guo L, Li X, Guo A, Wang Y, Liang Y, Li Y, et al. Comparative study on pregnancy complications: PGT-A vs. IVF-ET with gender-specific outcomes. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2024;15:1453083. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2024.1453083</u>
- 8. Yan J, Qin Y, Zhao H, Sun Y, Gong F, Li R, et al. Live Birth with or without Preimplantation Genetic Testing for Aneuploidy. The New England journal of medicine. 2021;385(22):2047-58. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2103613
- 9. Awadalla MS, Park KE, Latack KR, McGinnis LK, Ahmady A, Paulson RJ. Influence of Trophectoderm Biopsy Prior to Frozen Blastocyst Transfer on Obstetrical Outcomes. Reproductive Sciences. 2021;28(12):3459-65. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s43032-021-00552-z</u>
- 10. Belva F, Roelants M, Kluijfhout S, Winter C, De Schrijver F, Desmyttere S, et al. Body composition and blood pressure in 6-year-old singletons born after pre-implantation genetic testing for monogenic and structural chromosomal aberrations: a matched cohort study. Human Reproduction

Open. 2018;2018(4). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/hropen/hoy013

- 11. Belva F, Kondowe F, De Vos A, Keymolen K, Buysse A, Hes F, et al. Cleavage-stage or blastocyst-stage embryo biopsy has no impact on growth and health in children up to 2 years of age. Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology. 2023;21(1):87. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-023-01140-3
- 12. Cozzolino M, Cecchino GN, Garcia Velasco JA, Pellicer N, Galliano D, Pellicer A. Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy is not related to adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes in singleton pregnancies. Human Reproduction. 2023;38(8):1621-7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dead123
- Desmyttere S, De Schepper J, Nekkebroeck J, De Vos A, De Rycke M, Staessen C, et al. Two-year auxological and medical outcome of singletons born after embryo biopsy applied in preimplantation genetic diagnosis or preimplantation genetic screening. Human Reproduction. 2009;24(2):470-6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/den402
- 14. Desmyttere S, De Rycke M, Staessen C, Liebaers I, De Schrijver F, Verpoest W, et al. Neonatal follow-up of 995 consecutively born children after embryo biopsy for PGD. Hum Reprod. 2012;27(1):288-93. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/der360
- 15. De Vos A, Staessen C, De Rycke M, Verpoest W, Haentjens P, Devroey P, et al. Impact of cleavage-stage embryo biopsy in view of PGD on human blastocyst implantation: a prospective cohort of single embryo transfers. Human Reproduction. 2009;24(12):2988-96. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep251
- 16. Eldar-Geva T, Srebnik N, Altarescu G, Varshaver I, Brooks B, Levy-Lahad E, et al. Neonatal outcome after preimplantation genetic diagnosis. Fertility and sterility. 2014;102(4):1016-21. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.06.023
- El-Toukhy T, Kamal A, Wharf E, Grace J, Bolton V, Khalaf Y, et al. Reduction of the multiple pregnancy rate in a preimplantation genetic diagnosis programme after introduction of single blastocyst transfer and cryopreservation of blastocysts biopsied on Day 3. Human Reproduction. 2009;24(10):2642-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep172
- Feldman B, Orvieto R, Weisel M, Aizer A, Meyer R, Haas J, et al. Obstetric and Perinatal Outcomes in Pregnancies Conceived After Preimplantation Genetic Testing for Monogenetic Diseases. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2020;136(4):782-91. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1097/aog.00000000004062
- 19. Forman EJ, Tao X, Ferry KM, Taylor D, Treff NR, Scott RT, Jr. Single embryo transfer with comprehensive chromosome screening results in improved ongoing pregnancy rates and decreased miscarriage rates. Human Reproduction. 2012;27(4):1217-22. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/des020
- 20. Ginstrom Ernstad E, Hanson C, Wanggren K, Thurin-Kjellberg A, Hulthe Soderberg C, Syk Lundberg E, et al. Preimplantation genetic testing and child health: a national register-based study. Human reproduction (Oxford, England). 2023;38(4):739-50. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dead021

- 21. Gulersen M, Peyser A, Ferraro A, Goldman R, Mullin C, Li X, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in pregnancies conceived after preimplantation genetic testing. Prenatal Diagnosis. 2021;41(7):835-42. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/pd.5937
- 22. Gulersen M, Peyser A, Kim J, Ferraro A, Goldman R, Mullin C, et al. The impact of preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy on prenatal screening. 2022;50(3):300-4. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2021-0495
- 23. Hao Y, Long X, Kong F, Chen L, Chi H, Zhu X, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes following blastocyst biopsy for PGT in single vitrified–warmed embryo transfer cycles. Reproductive BioMedicine Online. 2022;44(1):151-62. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2021.07.016
- 24. Hasson J, Limoni D, Malcov M, Frumkin T, Amir H, Shavit T, et al. Obstetric and neonatal outcomes of pregnancies conceived after preimplantation genetic diagnosis: cohort study and meta-analysis. Reproductive BioMedicine Online. 2017;35(2):208-18. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2017.05.003
- 25. He H, Jing S, Lu CF, Tan YQ, Luo KL, Zhang SP, et al. Neonatal outcomes of live births after blastocyst biopsy in preimplantation genetic testing cycles: a follow-up of 1,721 children. Fertility and sterility. 2019;112(1):82-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.03.006
- 26. Ji H, Zhang MQ, Zhou Q, Zhang S, Dong L, Li XL, et al. Trophectoderm biopsy is associated with adverse obstetric outcomes rather than neonatal outcomes. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2023;23(1):141. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-05466-z</u>
- 27. Kamath M, Antonisamy B, Sunkara S. Zygotic splitting following embryo biopsy: a cohort study of 207 697 single-embryo transfers following IVF treatment. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2020;127(5):562-9. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16045</u>
- 28. Kato K, Kuroda T, Yamadera-Egawa R, Ezoe K, Aoyama N, Usami A, et al. Preimplantation Genetic Testing for Aneuploidy for Recurrent Pregnancy Loss and Recurrent Implantation Failure in Minimal Ovarian Stimulation Cycle for Women Aged 35–42 Years: Live Birth Rate, Developmental Follow-up of Children, and Embryo Ranking. Reproductive Sciences. 2023;30(3):974-83. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s43032-022-01073-z
- 29. Kato K, Ezoe K, Onogi S, Ito S, Egawa R, Aoyama N, et al. Comparison of 1year cumulative live birth and perinatal outcomes following single blastocyst transfer with or without preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy: a propensity score-matched study. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics. 2023;40(11):2669-80. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-023-02926-5
- Lewis S, Amor DJ, Glynn A, Wilton L, Halliday J. Child health after preimplantation genetic testing. Reproductive BioMedicine Online. 2021;42(3):609-19. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2020.11.014</u>
- 31. Li M, Kort J, Baker VL. Embryo biopsy and perinatal outcomes of singleton pregnancies: an analysis of 16,246 frozen embryo transfer cycles reported in the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology Clinical Outcomes

- Li Y, Wen Q, Liao J, Ma S, Zhang S, Gu Y, et al. Trophectoderm Biopsy Differentially Influences the Level of Serum β-Human Chorionic Gonadotropin With Different Embryonic Trophectoderm Scores in Early Pregnancy From 7847 Single-Blastocyst Transfer Cycles. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2022;13. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.794720
- Li S, Ma S, Zhao J, Hu J, Li H, Zhu Y, et al. Non-Assisted Hatching Trophectoderm Biopsy Does Not Increase The Risks of Most Adverse Maternal and Neonatal Outcome and May Be More Practical for Busy Clinics: Evidence From China. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:819963. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.819963
- 34. Liu AH-C, Shah T, Wu H, Lieman HJ, Singh M, Pollack SE, et al. Trophectoderm biopsy is associated with lower risks of moderate to extreme prematurity and low birthweights: a national registry cohort study of singleton livebirths from frozen-thawed blastocyst transfers. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2024.07.007
- 35. Liu D, Chen C, Huang Q, Dong Y, Xu L, Dong M, et al. Preimplantation genetic testing for complex chromosomal rearrangements: clinical outcomes and potential risk factors. Frontiers in Genetics. 2024;15. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2024.1401549
- 36. Lu M-m, Wen Y-x, Liu Y-l, Ding C-h, Zhou C-q, Xu Y-w. Trophectoderm biopsy reduces the level of serum β-human chorionic gonadotropin in early pregnancy. Fertility and Sterility. 2020;114(4):801-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2020.05.015
- Makhijani R, Bartels CB, Godiwala P, Bartolucci A, DiLuigi A, Nulsen J, et al. Impact of trophectoderm biopsy on obstetric and perinatal outcomes following frozen-thawed embryo transfer cycles. Human Reproduction. 2021;36(2):340-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deaa316
- Mastenbroek S, Twisk M, van Echten-Arends J, Sikkema-Raddatz B, Korevaar JC, Verhoeve HR, et al. In Vitro Fertilization with Preimplantation Genetic Screening. New England Journal of Medicine. 2007;357(1):9-17. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa067744</u>
- 39. Middelburg KJ, Heineman MJ, Haadsma ML, Bos AF, Kok JH, Hadders-Algra M. Neurological Condition of Infants Born After In Vitro Fertilization With Preimplantation Genetic Screening. Pediatric Research. 2010;67(4):430-4. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1203/PDR.0b013e3181d2273e</u>
- 40. Schendelaar P, Middelburg KJ, Bos AF, Heineman MJ, Kok JH, La Bastide-Van Gemert S, et al. The effect of preimplantation genetic screening on neurological, cognitive and behavioural development in 4-year-old children: follow-up of a RCT. Hum Reprod. 2013;28(6):1508-18. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/det073
- 41. Mejia RB, Capper EA, Summers KM, Mancuso AC, Sparks AE, Van Voorhis BJ. Cumulative live birth rate in women aged ≤37 years after in vitro fertilization with or without preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy: a Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology Clinic

Outcome Reporting System retrospective analysis. F&S Reports. 2022;3(3):184-91. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xfre.2022.05.004

- 42. Meyer LR, Klipstein S, Hazlett WD, Nasta T, Mangan P, Karande VC. A prospective randomized controlled trial of preimplantation genetic screening in the "good prognosis" patient. Fertility and sterility. 2009;91(5):1731-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.02.162
- 43. Nekkebroeck J, Bonduelle M, Desmyttere S, Van den Broeck W, Ponjaert-Kristoffersen I. Socio-emotional and language development of 2-year-old children born after PGD/PGS, and parental well-being. Human Reproduction. 2008;23(8):1849-57. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/den179
- 44. Nekkebroeck J, Bonduelle M, Desmyttere S, Van den Broeck W, Ponjaert-Kristoffersen I. Mental and psychomotor development of 2-year-old children born after preimplantation genetic diagnosis/screening. Human Reproduction. 2008;23(7):1560-6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/den033
- 45. Ricciarelli E, Bruna I, Verdú V, Torrelló MJ, Herrer R, Gris JM, et al. Impact of assisted reproduction treatments on Spanish newborns: report of 14,119 pregnancies. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics.
 2013;30(7):897-905. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-013-0023-0
- 46. Richardson H, Kalliora C, Mainigi M, Coutifaris C, Sammel MD, Senapati S. Impact of mode of conception on early pregnancy human chorionic gonadotropin rise and birth weight. F&S reports. 2022;3(1):13-9. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xfre.2021.12.006</u>
- 47. Riestenberg CK, Mok T, Ong JR, Platt LD, Han CS, Quinn MM. Sonographic abnormalities in pregnancies conceived following IVF with and without preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A). Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics. 2021;38(4):865-71. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-021-02069-5
- 48. Roeca C, Johnson R, Carlson N, Polotsky AJ. Preimplantation genetic testing and chances of a healthy live birth amongst recipients of fresh donor oocytes in the United States. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics. 2020;37(9):2283-92. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-020-01874-8
- 49. Sarkar P, New EP, Jindal S, Tanner JP, Imudia AN. The effect of trophectoderm biopsy for preimplantation genetic testing on fetal birth weight and preterm delivery. Minerva Obstet Gynecol. 2023. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.23736/s2724-606x.22.05196-x</u>
- Shi X, Tang Y, Liu C, Li W, Lin H, Mao W, et al. Effects of NGS-based PGT-a for idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss and implantation failure: a retrospective cohort study. Systems Biology in Reproductive Medicine. 2023;69(5):354-65. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/19396368.2023.2225679
- 51. Sites CK, Bachilova S, Gopal D, Cabral HJ, Coddington CC, Stern JE. Embryo biopsy and maternal and neonatal outcomes following cryopreserved-thawed single embryo transfer. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2021;225(3):285.e1-.e7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.04.235

- 52. Snelgrove JW, Lee R, Jeyakumar Y, Greenblatt EM, Kingdom JC, Zwingerman R, et al. Maternal Placental Growth Factor (PlGF) levels, sonographic placental parameters, and outcomes of IVF pregnancies with and without embryo trophectoderm biopsy. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics. 2024;41(10):2721-6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-024-03193-8
- 53. Srebnik N, Sverdlik Kislasi Y, Amosi-Victor D, Rotshenker-Olshinka K, Eldar-Geva T, Ben-Ami I, et al. PGT pregnancies have a similar risk for postpartum complications as naturally conceived pregnancies. Reproductive BioMedicine Online. 2023;46(1):189-95. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2022.09.009
- 54. Staessen C, Platteau P, Van Assche E, Michiels A, Tournaye H, Camus M, et al. Comparison of blastocyst transfer with or without preimplantation genetic diagnosis for aneuploidy screening in couples with advanced maternal age: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Hum Reprod. 2004;19(12):2849-58. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deh536
- 55. Staessen C, Verpoest W, Donoso P, Haentjens P, Van der Elst J, Liebaers I, et al. Preimplantation genetic screening does not improve delivery rate in women under the age of 36 following single-embryo transfer. Human Reproduction. 2008;23(12):2818-25. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/den367
- 56. Sun N, Fang X, Jiao Y, Wang Y, Wan Y, Wu Z, et al. Adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes of preimplantation genetic testing with trophectoderm biopsy: a retrospective cohort study of 3373 intracytoplasmic sperm injection single frozen-thawed blastocyst transfer cycles. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2024;309(6):2427-37. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-023-07120-7
- 57. Sunkara SK, Antonisamy B, Selliah HY, Kamath MS. Pre-term birth and low birth weight following preimplantation genetic diagnosis: analysis of 88 010 singleton live births following PGD and IVF cycles. Human Reproduction. 2017;32(2):432-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dew317
- 58. Verpoest W, Van Landuyt L, Desmyttere S, Cremers A, Devroey P, Liebaers
 I. The incidence of monozygotic twinning following PGD is not increased.
 Human Reproduction. 2009;24(11):2945-50. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep280
- 59. Winter C, Van Acker F, Bonduelle M, Desmyttere S, De Schrijver F, Nekkebroeck J. Cognitive and psychomotor development of 5- to 6-yearold singletons born after PGD: a prospective case–controlled matched study. Human Reproduction. 2014;29(9):1968-77. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deu165
- 60. Winter C, Van Acker F, Bonduelle M, Desmyttere S, Nekkebroeck J. Psychosocial development of full term singletons, born after preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) at preschool age and family functioning: a prospective case-controlled study and multi-informant approach. Human Reproduction. 2015;30(5):1122-36. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dev036
- 61. Wu Y, Ying Y, Cao M, Liu J, Liu H. Trophectoderm biopsy of blastocysts for a preimplantation genetic test does not affect serum β-hCG levels in early pregnancy: a study using propensity score matching. Journal of Ovarian

Research. 2021;14(1):78. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13048-021-00824-x</u>

- 62. Zhang WY, von Versen-Höynck F, Kapphahn KI, Fleischmann RR, Zhao Q, Baker VL. Maternal and neonatal outcomes associated with trophectoderm biopsy. Fertility and Sterility. 2019;112(2):283-90.e2. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.03.033
- Zheng W, Ren B, Mu M, Liu Y, Liu X, Yang C, et al. Perinatal Outcomes of Singleton Live Births Following Preimplantation Genetic Testing for Chromosomal Structural Rearrangements in Single Frozen-Thawed Blastocyst Transfer Cycles: a Retrospective Cohort Study. Reprod Sci. 2022;29(10):3039-46. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s43032-021-00732-x</u>
- 64. Zheng W, Yang SH, Yang C, Ren BN, Sun SM, Liu YL, et al. Perinatal outcomes of singleton live births after preimplantation genetic testing during single frozen-thawed blastocyst transfer cycles: a propensity score-matched study. Fertility and sterility. 2022;117(3):562-70. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2021.12.020