Form for assessing study quality

Overall assessment	High qualit			quality	Low quality		
author:							
ublishing year:							
itle:							
xposure measure:							
Outcome measure:							
A1. Potential selection bias		Yes	No	Lack of info	Not applicable		
a) Where the participants recruit in a similar way?	red						
b) Where the groups studied assembled in a sufficiently similar way, a part from the occupational exposure?							
Comments:							
A2. Potential bias in exposure		Yes	No	Lack of info	Not applicable		
a) Where the circumstances (excoccupational exposure) similar participants?				٠	۵		
b) Where potential confounders a handled in the study?	adequately						
Comments:			1				

A3. Potential bias in outcome measures	Yes	No	Lack of info	Not applicable
a) Was the outcome measure objective?				
b) Where those who evaluated the outcome unaware of the participants' occupational exposure?				
c) Was the outcome appropriately defined?				
d) Was the outcome appropriately measured?				0
e) Was the outcome measured with defined methods and technologies?				
f) Where the methods and technologies used in the study validated?				
g) Does the analysis take variations over time in account?				
h) Was the outcome measured at appropriate points in time?				
i) Has the statistical analysis taken correction of imbalances in baseline variables between groups with different exposures in to account in an adequate manner?				
j) If several observers took part - was the intra- observer reliability acceptable?				
k) Has the study applied appropriate statistical methodology?				٠
Comments:				

A4. Potential bias in loss to follow-up	Yes	No	Lack of info	Not applicable
a) Was the drop-out of participants during the time to follow-up described?				
b) Was the number of drop-outs balanced between the groups?				
c) Where relevant variables at baseline comparable for drop-outs among participants with vs without occupational exposure?				
d) Was the statistical handling of drop-outs adequate?				
Comments:				

A5. Potential bias in reporting results	Yes	No	Lack of info	Not applicable
a) Was the outcome measured in some other way than by self-assessment?				
b) Was the outcome reported in an adequate way?				
Comments:				

Overall risk for bias	Unclear	Low	Moderate	High
A1) Selection bias				
A2) Bias in exposure			٠	
A3) Bias in outcome measures				
A4) Bias in loss to follow-up			۵	
A5) Bias in reporting results				
Overall risk for bias	Unclear	Low	Moderate	High
Comments:				

				•
B. Conflict of interests	Yes	No	Lack of info	Not applicable
a) Is it a low risk that the study's results were affected by conflicts of interest, based on the bindings stated by the authors?				
b) Is it a low risk that the results were affected by a sponsor with financial interest in the outcome?				
Comments:				
C. Transferability	Yes	No	Partially	Not applicable
a) Is the study's context consistent with the focus of the present review?				
Comments:			'	
D. Study design and statistical methodology	Yes	No	Partia	Not applicable
a) Is the study design adequate for the investigated research question?				
b) Is the study design and number of participants adequate to obtain statistical power?				
c) Where the associations high (e.g. odds ratio <0.5 or odds ratio >2.0) between occupational exposure and symptoms of depression/burnout?				
d) Where the associations very high (e.g. odds ratio <0.2 or odds ratio >5.0) between occupational exposure and symptoms of depression/burnout?				
e) Is there a correlation between dose and response for occupational exposure and symptoms of depression/burnout?				
Comments:	1	1	<u>, </u>	