



**Bilaga 4 Tabeller över inkluderade studier/Table over included studies**  
**SBU Utvärderar: Diagnostik och behandling av provocerad vulvodyni/Diagnostics and treatment of provoked vestibulodynia**  
**Rapport nr: 326**

Table 1 Pharmacological treatment.

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
Brown et al. 2018 [1] USA	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, multicentre with crossover design	<u>Intervention:</u> Oral gabapentin, 1200 – 3000 mg/day for 6-8 weeks (4 weeks titration +2 weeks maintenance +2 weeks dose-taper in each crossover phase) n=45.	<u>Pain during intercourse:</u> VAS (range 0-10), total crossover data, MD (95% CI): 0.0 (-0.9 to 0.8), n=27 (ns).	<u>FSFI, total crossover data, adjusted MD (95% CI): 1.3 (0.4 to 2.2) n=63, p=0.008.</u>	<u>Serious adverse events:</u> I: 0/45 (0%) C: 0/44 (0%)	<u>Risk of bias Low</u>
Bachmann et al. 2019 [2] USA	<u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked localized vulvodynia. n=89.  <u>Mean age:</u> 37 (SD 12).	<u>Control:</u> Placebo tablets n=44.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> 6 weeks post-allocation and 6 weeks after cross-over (data pooled).  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 12/45 (20%) C: 14/44 (32%).	<u>Pain during tampon test:</u> VAS (range 0-10), total crossover data, MD (95% CI): -0.3 (-0.7 to 0.1), n=83 (ns).		<u>Mild adverse events, %:</u> <u>Rhinitis:</u> I: 11.2 C: 4.5 <u>Dizziness:</u> I: 10.1 C: 3.4 <u>Nausea:</u> I: 8.9 C: 3.4 <u>Headache:</u> I: 7.9 C: 5.6 <u>Somnolence:</u> I: 7.9 C: 4.5 <u>Bacterial vaginosis:</u> I: 7.9 C: 4.5 <u>Fatigue:</u> I: 5.6 C: 1.1 (all ns).	<u>Blinding:</u> Patients and treatment providers blinded.

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
Bornstein et al. 2010 [3] Israel	<p><u>Study design:</u> NRSI with prospective allocation, single-centre, 3-arm.</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked localized vulvodinia. n=50.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 24.7 (SD 2.9)</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Nifedipine cream, 2% or 4%, topical self-administration 4 times daily for 6 weeks I-A (2%) n=10* I-B (4%) n=10*.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Placebo cream n=10*.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment and 3 months post-treatment.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> Total: 20/50 (not reported in relation to groups).</p>	<p><u>Pain during intercourse, VAS (range 0-100), mean (SD):</u></p> <p><u>Post-treatment:</u> I-A: 61.9 (34.2) I-B: 72.5 (27.6) C: 48.1 (42.8) (ns).</p> <p><u>3 months post-treatment:</u> I-A: 51.5 (36.1) I-B: 69.7 (36.6) C: 57.6 (40.4) (ns).</p> <p><u>Vulvar pain assessed with q-tip test (range 0-100), mean (SD):</u></p> <p><u>Post-treatment:</u> I-A: 56.2 (35.5) I-B: 63.5 (34.2) C: 53.4 (35.4) (ns).</p> <p><u>3 months post-treatment:</u> I-A: 47.0 (37.7) I-B: 73.5 (29.4) C: 52.7 (46.6) (ns).</p>		<p><u>Serious adverse events:</u> I-A: 0/10 (0%) I-B: 0/10 (0%) C: 0/10 (0%).</p> <p><u>Mild adverse events:</u> "mild irritation felt by some of the participants in the intervention groups".</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> Patients, treatment providers and assessors blinded.</p> <p><u>Comments:</u> *Number of participants after drop-outs.</p>
Diomande et al. 2019	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre, with a blinded phase</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> I: Botulinum toxin A, 50 units (I-A) or 100 units (I-B)</p>	<p><u>Marinoff dyspareunia scale (range 0–3), median (IQR)</u> I-A: 1.5 (0–2)</p>		<p><u>Serious adverse events:</u> I-A: 0/12 (0%)</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias</u> Low</p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
[4] Switzerland	of 3 months and an unblinded exploratory phase (data not extracted).  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia, according to Friedrich criteria. n=33.  <u>Median age:</u> 27 (IQR 24 to 30).	injected subcutaneously into the dorsal vulvar vestibulum at one occasion. n=12 (I-A) and 9 (I-B).  <u>Control:</u> Placebo injection (saline) n=12.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> 3 months post-allocation.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I-A: 0/12 (0%) I-B: 1/9 (11%) C: 1/12 (8%).	I-B: 1.5 (0–3) C: 2 (1-2) (p=0.927).  <u>Vulvar pain assessed with cotton swab test, VAS (0-10), mean (SD):</u> I-A: 6.2 (2.60) I-B: 6 (1.77) C: 6.5 (1.31). (p=0.857).		I-B: 0/8 (0%) C: 0/11 (0%).	<u>Blinding:</u> Patients, treatment providers and assessors blinded.
Donders et al. 2012 [5] Belgium	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre with crossover design (12+12 weeks separated by a 1-week washout period).  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked localized vulvodynia. n=30.	<u>Intervention:</u> Cutaneous fibroblast lysate cream, topical self-administration of 0.2 mL twice daily for 12 weeks n=15.  <u>Control:</u> Placebo cream n=15.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> 4-, 12-, 17- and 25-weeks	<u>Pain during sexual activity:</u> VAS (range 0-10), patients with >1 point reduction: <i>4 weeks post-treatment (before cross-over):</i> I: 42% C: 15%.  <i>12 weeks post-treatment (before cross-over):</i> I: 31% C: 0%.  Total cross-over data*, VAS change from baseline,		<u>Serious adverse events:</u> I: 0/15 (0%) C: 0/15 (0%).  Mild adverse events: I: 3/15 (33%) C: 1/15 (7%) (ns).	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Low  <u>Blinding:</u> Patients, treatment providers and assessors blinded.  <u>Comment:</u> *There was evidence for a second-order carryover

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
	Mean age: 27 (range 20 to 54)	post-allocation.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 2/15 (13%) C: 2/15 (13%).	MD (95% CI): <i>4 weeks post-treatment:</i> 1.1 (-0.6 to 2.8), n=26, p=0.20.  <i>12 weeks post-treatment:</i> 1.3 (0.1 to 2.5), n=26 p=0.037.  <u>Vulvar pain assessed with q-tip test</u> , VAS (range 1- 10), patients with >1 point reduction:  <i>4 weeks post-treatment (before cross-over):</i> I: 64% C: 69%.  <i>12 weeks post-treatment (before cross-over):</i> I: 55%) C: 50%.  Total cross-over data*, VAS change from baseline: <i>4 weeks post-treatment:</i> p=0.91.  <i>12 weeks post-treatment:</i>			effect (p = 0.024).

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
			p=0.96.			
Farajun et al. 2012 [6] Israel	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre.</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=40.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> Not reported (range 19 to 39).</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Enoxaparin, 40 mg self-administered injections subcutaneously in the abdominal region once daily for 90 days n=20.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Placebo injection (saline) n=20.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment and 3 months post-treatment.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> I: 1/20 (5%) C: 1/20 (5%).</p>	<p><u>Pain during sexual intercourse</u>, % reduction*: <i>3 months post-treatment:</i> I: 28.9 C: 4.4 (p=0.057).</p> <p><u>Vulvar pain assessed with q-tip test</u>, % reduction in NRS (0-10):</p> <p><i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 24.0 C: 13.1 (p=0.018).</p> <p><i>3 months post-treatment:</i> I: 29.6% C: 11.2% (p=0.004).</p>		<p><u>Serious adverse events:</u> "there were no significant side effects".</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> Patients, treatment providers and assessors blinded.</p> <p><u>Comment:</u> *Data was derived from one of the following questionnaires used in the study: Brief Pain Inventory, short form McGill Pain Questionnaire or the International Society for the Study of Vulvovaginal Disease vulvodysnia questionnaire</p>
Foster et al. 2010 [7] USA	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT, multicentre with four treatment arms.</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> 3 treatment arms for 12 weeks: I-A: Topical lidocaine cream</p>	<p><u>Pain during intercourse:</u> VAS (range 0-10) change from baseline, mean (SD): I-A: -1.92 (1.82) n=21</p>	<p><u>Index of sexual satisfaction</u> (range 0-100), change from baseline, mean (SD):</p>	<p><u>Serious adverse events:</u> I-A: 0/33 (0%) I-B: 0/33 (0%)</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Low</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u></p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
	<p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked localized vulvodynia. n=133.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 33 (inclusion criteria 18 to 50).</p>	<p>5%, administered 4 times/day + placebo tablets, n=33.</p> <p>I-B: Oral desipramine 150 mg/day + placebo cream, n=33.</p> <p>I-C: Oral desipramine 150 mg/day + topical lidocaine cream 5% 4 times/day, n=34</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Placebo tablets + placebo cream, n=33.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> I: 5/33 (15%) I-B: 6/33 (18%) I-C: 8/34 (24%) C: 2/33 (6%).</p>	<p>I-B: -2.07 (2.31) n=21 I-C: -1.72 (1.99) n=21 C: -1.97 (2.47) n=26 (all comparisons ns).</p> <p><u>Pain during cotton swab test</u>, VAS (range 0-3), change from baseline, mean (SD). I-A: -6.42 (7.90) n=32 I-B: -8.07 (10.23) n=32 I-C: -11.37 (8.00) n=34 C: -8.65 (6.59) n=33 (all comparisons ns).</p>	<p>I-A: 0.43 (11.71) n=24 I-B: -6.86 (10.30) n=28 I-C: -6.32 (10.43) n=30 C: 0.69 (9.28) n=28 (all comparisons ns).</p> <p><u>Becks Depression Inventory</u> (range 0-63), change from baseline, mean (SD): I-A: -0.86 (5.90) n=30 I-B: -3.33 (5.26) n=27 I-C: -1.77 (7.58) n=32 C: -1.92 (5.44) n=29 (all comparisons ns).</p>	<p>I-C: 0/34 (0%) C: 0/33 (0%).</p> <p><u>Mild adverse events</u>, %: I-A: 0/33 (0%) I-B: 1/33 (3%) I-C: 1/34 (3%) C: 0/0 (0%).</p>	<p>Patients and treatment providers blinded.</p>
Haraldsson et al. 2020 [8] Sweden	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre.</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Botulinum toxin A, 50 units injected bilaterally in the bulbocavernosus muscles, 2 treatments with 3 months interval.</p>	<p><u>Pain during sexual intercourse or tampon use</u>, VAS (0-100), mean (SD):</p> <p><u>Average during posttreatment period (from assessment at 3 and 6</u></p>	<p>FSFI, mean (SD): <u>Average from assessment at 3 and 6 months:</u> I: 20.9 (6.8) C: 19.5 (5.9) MD: 1.37 (95% CI -0.90</p>	<p><u>Serious adverse events:</u> I: 0/41 C: 0/42.</p> <p><u>Mild adverse events:</u> ns (data not</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Low</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> Patients, treatment providers and</p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
	<p>vestibulodynia. n=88.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> Not reported, (inclusion criteria 18 to 40).</p>	<p>n=44.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Placebo injection (saline) n=44.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> 1.5-, 3-, 4.5- and 6-months post-allocation.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> I: 3/44 (7%) C: 2/44 (5%).</p>	<p><u>months:</u> I: 51.7 (25.7) C: 59.0 (25.0) MD: -7.27 (95% CI -14.97 to 0.44).</p> <p><u>3 months post-allocation:</u> I: 50.3 (25.1) C: 61.8 (23.6) MD -11.49 (95% CI 21.82 to -1.16), (p&lt;0.05).</p> <p><u>6 months post-allocation:</u> I: 53.3 (26.5) C: 55.9 (26.4) MD -2.66 (-14.37 to 9.05), (ns).</p>	to 3.67), (ns).	reported).	assessors blinded.
Langlais et al. 2017 [9] Canada	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Secondary provoked vestibulodynia. n=20.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 22 (range 18 to 27).</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Estrogen cream, topical self- administration of cream containing 0.3 mg of conjugated equine estrogen every night and after intercourse, for 8 weeks n=10.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Placebo cream n=10.</p>	<p><u>Pain during sexual intercourse, VAS (range 0- 10), % reduction (95% CI)</u> I: 27 (-1 to 55) C: 3 (-8 to 14) (p=0.29).</p> <p><u>Unadjusted RR for 10% improvement (95% CI):</u> 1.40 (0.67 to 2.94).</p>	<u>FSFI, unadjusted RR for 10% improvement (95% CI):</u> 1.33 (0.74 to 2.41).	<u>Mild adverse events (pruritus):</u> I: 0/10 (0%) C: 3/10 (30%).	<p><u>Risk of bias</u> Low</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> Patients and treatment providers blinded.</p>



Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
		<u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 0/10 (0%) C: 0/10 (0%).				
Murina et al. 2013 [10] Italy	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked localized vulvodynia. n=20.  <u>Mean age:</u> 28 (range 18 to 48).	<u>Intervention:</u> Oral palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) 400 mg+ transpodydatine 40 mg, twice daily for 60 days. n=10.  <u>Control:</u> Placebo tablets n=10.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 0/10 (0%) C: 0/10 (0%).	<u>Pain during intercourse,</u> Marinoff dyspareunia scale (0-3) post treatment, mean (SD): I: 1.0 (0.9) C: 1.1 (0.9) (ns)		<u>Serious adverse events:</u> I: 0/10 (0%) C: 0/10 (0%).  <u>Mild adverse events</u> (transient gastrointestinal symptoms): I: 2/10 (20%) C: 1/10 (10%).	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate  <u>Blinding:</u> Patients and treatment providers blinded.  <u>Comments:</u> All patients received vaginal TENS therapy in a self-administered protocol 3 times each week.
Murina et al. 2018 [11] Italy	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked localized	<u>Intervention:</u> Diazepam, 5 mg, self-administration of one vaginal tablet every day for 60 days n=21.	Pain during intercourse, Marinoff dyspareunia scale (0-3) change from baseline. I: 0.9 C: 0.7 (p<0.01).		<u>Serious adverse events:</u> I: 0/21 (0%) C: 0/21 (0%).  <u>Mild adverse events.</u>	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Low  <u>Blinding:</u> Patients and treatment providers

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
	vulvodynia. n=42.  Mean age: 29.0 (SD 7.8)	<u>Control:</u> Placebo tablet. n=21.  <u>Follow-up:</u> Immediately post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 0/21 (0%) C: 0/21 (0%).			(drowsiness): I: 2/21 (10%) C: 0/21 (0%).	blinded.  <u>Comments:</u> All patients had vaginal TENS therapy in a self- administered protocol 3 times each week.
Nyiresy et al. 2001 [12] USA	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, two centres.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked localized vulvodynia. n=34.  Mean age: 27 (range 24 to 49).	<u>Intervention:</u> Cromolyn cream 4%, topical self-administration 3 times daily for 3 months n=16.  <u>Control:</u> Placebo cream n=18.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 3/16 (%) C: 5/18 (%).	<u>50% self-rated overall improvement:</u> I: 5/13 (38%) C: 6/13 (46%) (ns).  <u>Decrease in symptoms of irritation, burning, and dyspareunia</u> (range 0-3), mean (IQR): I: 0 (0-1) C: 1 (1-2) (ns).		<u>Serious adverse events:</u> I: 0/13 (0%) C: 0/13 (0%).  <u>Mild adverse events (stinging at application):</u> I: 2/13 (17%) C: 0/13 (0%).	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate  <u>Blinding:</u> Patients, treatment providers and assessors blinded.
Petersen et al.	<u>Study design:</u>	<u>Intervention:</u>	<u>Pain during sexual activity,</u>	<u>FSFI, mean (SD):</u>	<u>Serious adverse</u>	<u>Risk of bias:</u>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
2009 [13] Denmark	RCT, single-centre.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=65.  <u>Mean age:</u> 30 (SD 6).	Botulinum toxin A, 20 units injected in the Bulbospongiosus muscle at one occasion. n=33.  <u>Control:</u> Placebo injection (saline). n=32.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> 6 months post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 4/33 (12%) C: 1/32 (3%).	patients with $\geq 2$ VAS point reduction: I: 14/29 (44%) C: 16/31 (50%) ( $p=0.893$ ).  VAS (0–10), mean (SD): I: 5.14 (1.53)* C: 5.13 (1.53)*.  VAS change from baseline, difference between groups: Cohen's $d=0$ ( $p=0.98$ ).	I: 18.46 (9.27), $n=24$ C: 20.34 (6.69), $n=21$ (ns).  <u>SF-36:</u> (ns, data not reported in numbers).	<u>events:</u> I: 0/29 (0%) C: 0/31 (0%).  <u>Mild adverse events:</u> I: 4/29 (14%) C: 2/31 (6%).	Low  <u>Blinding:</u> Patients and treatment providers blinded.  Comments: *SD pooled from I+C group.

BDI = Beck depression inventory (range 0-63, higher=worse); C = Control; CI = Confidence interval; FSFI = Female sexual function index (range 2-36, higher=better); I = Intervention; Index of sexual satisfaction (range 0-100, higher=better); IQR = Interquartile range; MD = Mean difference; MDS = Marinoff dyspareunia scale (range 0-3, higher=worse); MPQ = McGill Pain Questionnaire (range 0-78, higher=worse); OR = Odds ratio; PROMIS = Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; RCT = Randomized controlled study; RR = Risk ratio; NRS = Numeric rating scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse); NRSI = Non-randomized controlled study; State-Trait Anxiety Inventory of Spielberger (range 20-80, higher=worse); Standard deviation; TENS = Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; VAS = Visual analogue scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse).

All data have been extracted from the original studies unless otherwise stated. P-values represent comparisons between groups, as reported in the original study.

Table 2 Physiotherapy treatment.

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
Danielsson et al. 2006 [14] Sweden	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT, two centres.</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=46.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 25 (range 18 to 36).</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Electromyographic biofeedback, 3 professional-administered sessions + 3 daily 10-min self-administered sessions for 4 months n=23.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Lidocaine treatment, topical application of 2% or 5% ointment gel 5-7 times daily for 4 months n=23.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment, 6- and 12-months post-treatment.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> I: 5/23 (22%) C: 4/23 (17%).</p>	<p><u>Pain during sexual intercourse</u>, VAS (range 0-100), median (IQR): <i>12 months post-treatment:</i> I: 65 (28-74) C: 42 (21-72) (ns).</p> <p><u>Pain at pressure assessed with vulvar-algesiometer</u>, increase in pressure threshold (range 3-1000g).  I: site A 45 g, site B 20 g C: site A 20 g, site B 10g (ns).</p>	<p><u>Sexual satisfaction</u>, VAS 0-100 (higher=better), median (IQR):  <i>12 months post-treatment:</i> I: 47 (25-55) C: 63 (25-77) (ns).</p> <p><u>Quality of life, joy of living</u> VAS 0-100 (higher=better), median (IQR).  <i>12 months post-treatment:</i> I: 69 (57-80) C: 64 (42-80) (ns).</p>	<p><u>Mild adverse events:</u></p> <p>I: Pain on insertion of the vaginal probe (numbers not reported), and one case of candida infection</p> <p>C: Stinging pain at application (numbers not reported).</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> No blinding.</p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
Hullender Rubin et al. 2019 [15] USA	<u>Study design</u> RCT, single centre.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=19  <u>Mean age:</u> 29 (range 19 to 45).	<u>Intervention:</u> Traditional acupuncture, manually needling on 5 points followed by manual and electrical stimulation, 18 sessions over 12 weeks. n=10.  <u>Control:</u> Non-traditional acupuncture, 4 needles on nonspecific points and sham stimulation, 18 sessions over 12 weeks. n=9.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> Post-treatment and 12 weeks post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 3/10 (30%) C: 2/9 (22%).	<u>Pain during sexual intercourse</u> , VAS (range 0-100), mean during study period (SD): I: 30.5 (2.3) C: 39.3 (3.0) (p=0.53).  <u>Vulvar pain assessed with cotton swab test</u> , VAS (range 0-100), mean change from baseline (SD):  <u>Post-treatment:</u> I: -23.9 (28.7) C: -25.9 (14.3) (ns).  <u>12 weeks post-treatment</u> I: -18.5 (31.7) C: -31.4 (18.3) (ns).		<u>Mild adverse events</u> , number of events: I: 32 C: 36.  <u>Serious adverse events</u> , number of events: I: 0 C: 0.	<u>Risk of bias</u> Moderate  <u>Blinding:</u> Patients and data analysts blinded.  <u>Comments:</u> Both groups were instructed to apply lidocaine cream 4 times daily.
Morin et al. 2020 [16] Canada	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, multicentre.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked	<u>Intervention:</u> Physical therapy treatment, 10 weeks of individual 1- hour sessions including education, pelvic floor muscle exercises with	<u>Pain during sexual intercourse</u> , NRS (range 0-10), MD between groups (95% CI):  <u>Post-treatment</u> 1.8 (1.2 to 2.3).	FSFI (range 2-36), MD between groups (95% CI):  <u>Post-treatment</u> -4.4 (-6.1 to -2.7) n=201, p<0.001.	<u>Serious adverse events:</u> I: 0/99 (0%) C: 0/103 (0%).  <u>Mild adverse events:</u>	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate  <u>Blinding:</u> Assessors blinded.

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
	<p>vestibulodynia. n=212.</p> <p><u>Median age:</u> 22 (IQR 21 to 26).</p>	<p>biofeedback, manual therapy, and dilation. n=105.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Lidocaine treatment, topical overnight application of 5% ointment cream every night for 10 weeks. n=107.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment and 6 months post- treatment.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> I: 11/105 (10%) C: 6/107 (6%).</p>	<p>n=201, p&lt;0.001.</p> <p><i>At 6 months:</i> 1.8 (1.2 to 2.5), n=195, p&lt;0.001.</p>	<p><i>At 6 months:</i> -3.3 (-5.0 to -1.6), n=195, p&lt;0.001.</p>	<p>I: 0/99 (0%) C: 16/103 (16%).</p>	
<p>Murina et al. 2008 [17] Italy</p>	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre.</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=20.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u></p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, 20 sessions of 30 min over 10 weeks. n=20.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Sham treatment (nonactive electrical stimulation), 20</p>	<p><u>Pain during sexual intercourse.</u> MDS (range 0-3), mean (SD): <i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 1.1 (0.9) C: 2.4 (0.8).</p> <p><i>3 months post-treatment:</i> I: 1.1 (0.9) C: 2.4 (0.8).</p>	<p>FSFI (range 2-36), mean (SD):</p> <p><i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 25.3 (7.5) C: 17.8 (5.9).</p> <p><i>3 months post-treatment:</i> I: 20.3 (7.5) C: 16.8 (5.9).</p>	<p><u>Adverse events:</u> Not reported.</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Low</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> Patients blinded.</p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
	28 (range 21 to 44).	<p>sessions of 30 min over 10 weeks. n=20.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment and 3 months post-treatment.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> I: 0/20 (0%) C: 0/20 (0%).</p>				

BDI= Beck depression inventory (range 0-63, higher=worse); C=Control; CI=Confidence interval; FSFI=Female sexual function index (range 2-36, higher=better); I=Intervention; Index of sexual satisfaction (range 0-100, higher=better); IQR = Interquartile range; MD=Mean difference; MDS= Marinoff dyspareunia scale (range 0-3, higher=worse); MPQ=McGill Pain Questionnaire (range 0-78, higher=worse); OR=Odds ratio; PROMIS= Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; RCT= Randomized controlled study; RR=Risk ratio; NRS=Numeric rating scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse); NRSI= Non-randomized controlled study; Standard deviation; TENS=transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; VAS=Visual analogue scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse);

All data have been extracted from the original studies unless otherwise stated. P-values represent comparisons between groups, as reported from analyses in the original study.

Table 3 Psychological treatment.

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
Bergeron et al. 2001 [18] Canada	<u>Study design:</u> RCT comparing three interventions.	<u>Intervention 1:</u> Group cognitive-behavioural therapy, (GCBT). Eight 2- hour groups sessions over a 12-week period.	<u>Vestibular pain index</u> assessed with cotton swab test, NRS 0- 10.  <i>Posttreatment, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 5.26 (2.00) Biofeedback 4.55 (2.36) Vestibulectomy 1.89 (1.68).	<u>Global sexual functioning</u> (sexual history form, range 0-1).  <i>Posttreatment, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 0.49 (0.12) Biofeedback 0.51 (0.08) Vestibulectomy 0.49 (0.14).  <i>6 months, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 0.48 (0.11) Biofeedback 0.48(0.08) Vestibulectomy 0.45 (0.15).  <i>2.5 years, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 0.46 (0.12) Biofeedback 0.48 (0.10) Vestibulectomy 0.43 (0.11).	<u>Adverse events</u> Not reported.	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate for both studies.  <u>Blinding:</u> No blinding.  <u>Comments:</u> Drop out at 2.5 year follow up calculated on ITT population.
Bergeron et al. 2008 [19] Canada	<u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=87.  <u>Mean age:</u> 26.8 years (SD 5.4).	<u>Intervention 2:</u> Biofeedback, eight 45- minute sessions over a 12- week period. Biofeedback training involved self- insertion of a small sEMG sensor in the vagina.  <u>Intervention 3:</u> <u>Vestibulectomy</u> (excision of the vestibular area to a depth of 2 mm and a width of 1 cm, all the way up to the urethra).  <u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment and 6 months post- treatment (Bergeron 2001, [18]).  2.5 years post-treatment (Bergeron 2008, [19]).	Vestibulectomy had significantly lower posttreatment pain compared to GCBT (p<0.01) and biofeedback (p<0.01).  <i>6 months, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 3.89 (2.09) Biofeedback 4.42 (2.63) Vestibulectomy 1.90 (2.24).  Vestibulectomy had significantly lower pain compared to biofeedback (p<0.05) at 6 months.  <i>2.5 years, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 3.66 (2.33) Biofeedback 4.22 (2.54) Vestibulectomy 1.58 (1.91) Vestibulectomy had significantly lower pain compared to GCBT (p<0.01) and biofeedback (p<0.01) at			



Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
		<p><u>Drop-out at 6 months:</u> I 1: 1/29 (3%) I 2: 1+2+8/29 (38%) I 3: 7+3/29 (35%).</p> <p><u>Drop-out 2.5 years:</u> I 1: 10/29 (35%) I 2: 12/29 (41%) I 3: 14/29 (48%).</p>	<p>2.5 years.</p> <p><i>Self-reported pain</i> (intensity of painful intercourse, NRS 0-10).</p> <p><i>Posttreatment, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 6.00 (2.13) Biofeedback 5.43 (2.36) Vestibulectomy 3.93 (3.25).</p> <p><i>6 months, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 4.46 (2.47) Biofeedback 4.50 (2.63) Vestibulectomy 3.41 (3.17).</p> <p><i>2.5 years, mean (SD)</i> GCBT 3.30 (2.73) Biofeedback 4.29 (2.66) Vestibulectomy 2.05 (1.87).</p>			
Bergeron et al. 2016 [20] Canada	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT comparing two interventions.</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=97.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 26.7 years (SD 6.1).</p>	<p><u>Intervention 1:</u> Group cognitive-behavioural therapy (GCBT), 10 two-hour sessions over a 13-week period. n=52.</p> <p><u>Intervention 2 (Control):</u> Topical steroid (twice daily application of 1% hydrocortisone cream) + written education materials about provoked vestibulodynia.</p>	<p><u>Pain during intercourse.</u> (NRS 0-10), mean (SD).</p> <p><i>Post treatment:</i> GCBT 5.46 (2.75) Topical steroid 5.67 (3.32) (p=0.55).</p> <p><i>6 months:</i> GCBT 5.21 (2.87) Topical steroid 5.87 (3.07) (p=0.70).</p>	<p><u>FSFI (2-36, higher better functioning), mean (SD):</u></p> <p><i>Post treatment:</i> GCBT 23.03 (7.59) Topical steroid 22.53 (7.63). (p=0.26).</p> <p><i>6 months:</i> GCBT 22.33 (7.75) Topical steroid 23.30 (7.20) (p=0.63).</p>	<p><u>Adverse events:</u> Not reported.</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate</p> <p><u>Comments:</u> Pain during intercourse was assessed only in those (n=92 at baseline) who were sexually active.</p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
		n=45.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post treatment, 6 months post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 17/52 (33%) C: 16/45 (33%).				
Brotto et al 2019 [21] Canada  Brotto et al. 2020 [22] Canada	<u>Study design:</u> Quasi-randomized clinical trial (47 participants were randomized and 83 were assigned non- randomly according to scheduling logistics).  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=130.  <u>Mean age:</u> 32 (SD 8).	<u>Intervention:</u> Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT), 8 weekly group sessions of 2.25 h+ mindfulness home exercises n=67.  <u>Control:</u> Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), 8 weekly group sessions of 2.25 h+ home exercises. n=63.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> Post-treatment, 6- and 12- months post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> At 6 months (Brotto 2019,	<u>Pain during intercourse, NRS</u> (range 0-10), mean (SD): <i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 4.34 (2.22) C: 4.65 (2.21) (p=0.03).  <i>6 months post-treatment:</i> I: 3.39 (1.89) C: 4.03 (2.11) (p=0.02).  <i>12 months post-treatment:</i> I: 3.62 (3.09) C: 3.97 (2.51) (p=0.53).  <u>Vulvar pain assessed with</u> <u>vulvalgesiometer</u> (range 0-10), mean (SD): <i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 3.21 (1.96) C: 3.60 (2.14)	<u>FSFI</u> (range 2-36), mean (SD): <i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 21.79 (6.83) C: 23.41 (5.72) (p=0.72).  <i>6 months post-treatment:</i> I: 24.75 (5.62) C: 23.20 (5.45) (p=0.09).	<u>Adverse events:</u> Not reported.	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate for both studies.  <u>Blinding:</u> No blinding.  <u>Comments:</u> Pain during intercourse was assessed only in those (n=98) who were sexually active.

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
		<p>[21]): I: 8/67 (12%) C: 14/63 (22%).</p> <p>At 12 months (Brotto 2020 [22]): I: 23/67 (34%) C: 18/63 (29%).</p>	<p>(p=0.34).</p> <p>6 months post-treatment: I: 2.92 (2.31) C: 2.86 (1.89) (p=1.00).</p> <p>12 months post-treatment: I: 2.52 (1.78) C: 2.00 (1.66) (p=0.27).</p>			
Goldfinger et al. 2016 [23] Canada	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia n=20</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 26 (range 10 to 56).</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> CBT program including education and home exercises, 8 individual sessions of 1.5 h for 8-24 weeks. n=10.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Physical therapy program including education and home exercises, 8 individual sessions of 1.5 h for 8-24 weeks. n=10.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment</p>	<p><u>Pain during sexual intercourse, VAS (range 0-10), mean reduction (SD):</u> <u>Post-treatment:</u> I: 2.60 (1.43) C: 2.70 (2.36) (ns).</p> <p><u>6 months post-treatment:</u> I: 2.10 (1.37) C: 2.40 (2.63) (ns).</p> <p><u>Vulvar pain assessed with cotton swab test VAS (range 0-10)</u> <u>Post-treatment:</u> I: 3.26 (2.69) C: 1.28 (1.05) (p=0.03).</p>	<p><u>FSFI (range 2-36), mean (SD):</u> <u>Post-treatment:</u> I: 27.37 (4.61) C: 27.06 (4.25) (ns).</p> <p><u>6 months post-treatment:</u> I: 29.69 (5.12) C: 24.29 (7.18) (ns).</p>	<p><u>Adverse events:</u> Not reported.</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias</u> Moderate</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> No blinding.</p> <p><u>Comments:</u> Outcomes on questionnaire data was based on 19 participants.</p> <p>P-values represent between-group effects from mixed-model analyses of variance (ANOVAs).</p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
		and 6 months post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 0/10 (0%) C: 0/10 (0%).	<i>6 months post-treatment:</i> I: 2.62 (2.88) C: 1.86 (2.22) (ns).			
Guillet et al. 2019 [24] USA	<u>Study design:</u> RCT comparing two interventions.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=31.  <u>Mean age:</u> 32 (SD 7).	<u>Intervention 1:</u> Mindfulness-based group cognitive behavioural therapy (M-gCBT), weekly sessions à 2.5 h for 8 weeks. n=14.  <u>Intervention 2 (Control):</u> Education support, 8 weeks of online education with 3 in-person group visits. n=17.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post treatment, at 3 and at 6 months post-treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 0/14 C: 0/17.	<u>Tampon test (NRS range 0-10)</u> Between group change, MD (95% CI).  <i>Post intervention:</i> 0.022 (-1.27 to 1.32), p=0.97 <i>3 months:</i> -0.67 (-2.04 to 0.70), p=0.34.  <i>6 months:</i> -0.56 (-1.95 to 0.83), p=0.43.	<u>FSFI, between group change, MD (95% CI)</u> <i>Post intervention:</i> 12.5 (0.66 to 24.34), p=0.039 <i>3 months:</i> 15.12 (3.38 to 26.87), p=0.012 <i>6 months:</i> 12.10 (-0.041 to 24.24), p=0.051.  <u>Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7 (GAD-7; range 0–21), MD (95% CI)</u>  <i>Post intervention:</i> -3.39 (-5.78 to -1.00), p=0.006 <i>3 months:</i> -2.31 (-4.75 to 0.12) p=0.063. <i>6 months:</i> -2.83 (-5.27 to -0.40) p=0.023.  Depression Beck Depression Inventory: (BDI-PC; range 0–63).  <i>Post intervention:</i> -2.04	<u>Adverse events:</u> Not reported.	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
				(-6.64 to 2.55) p=0.38 3 months: -3.52 (-8.25 to 1.20), p=0.14  6 months: -5.01 (-9.84 to -0.18), p=0.042.		

BDI = Beck depression inventory (range 0-63, higher=worse); C = Control; CI = Confidence interval; FSFI = Female sexual function index (range 2-36, higher=better); GCBT = Group cognitive-behavioural therapy; I = Intervention; Index of sexual satisfaction (range 0-100, higher=better); MD = Mean difference; MDS = Marinoff dyspareunia scale (range 0-3, higher=worse); M-gCBT = Mindfulness-based group cognitive behavioural therapy; MPQ = McGill Pain Questionnaire (range 0-78, higher=worse); OR = Odds ratio; PROMIS = Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; RR =Risk ratio; NRS = Numeric rating scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse); SD = Standard deviation; sEMG = Surface Electromyography; TENS = Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; VAS = Visual analogue scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse);

All data including p-values have been extracted from the original studies. P-values represent comparisons between groups, as reported from analyses in the original study.

Table 4 Other treatments.

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
Gruenwald et al. 2021 [25] Israel	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre.  <u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=34.  <u>Mean age:</u> I: 27 years (SD 8) C: 25 years (SD 9).	<u>Intervention:</u> Low intensity shock wave therapy twice a week for 6 weeks. Each treatment consisted of 500 pulses of shockwaves (0.09 mJmm <sup>2</sup> ) n=23.  <u>Control:</u> Sham treatment given at same treatment protocol n=9.  <u>Follow-up time:</u> 1- and 3-month post treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 1/24 (4%) C: 1/10 (10%).	<u>Pain during sexual intercourse</u> , VAS range 0-10, mean (SD).  <u>1-month post-treatment:</u> I: 5.70 (2.3) C: 8.30 (1.6).  <u>3 months post-treatment:</u> I: 4.4 (2.5) C: 7.90 (2.2).  <u>Pain threshold</u> , assessed with algometer test as applied radial pressure (mmHg) for first pain sensation, mean (SD).  <u>1-month post-treatment:</u> I: 34.7 (18.8) C: 26.9 (10.3).  <u>3 months post-treatment:</u> I: 69.8 (11.8) C: 34.9 (35.1).	FSFI (range 2-36), mean (SD).  <u>1-month post-treatment:</u> I: 20.9 (6.2) C: 21.9 (4.7).  <u>3 months post-treatment:</u> I: 22.5 (8.0) C: 21.1 (5.1).	<u>Mild adverse events:</u> I: 1/23 (4%) (low abdominal pain) C: 0/9 (0%).	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate
Lev-Sagie et al. 2017 [26]	<u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre, with a blinded phase of 6 weeks and an unblinded	<u>Intervention:</u> Low-level laser therapy, non-thermal pulsed light irradiation at the vestibule,	<u>Pain during sexual intercourse</u> according to diary, NRS (range 0-10), change from baseline, mean (SD):	<u>Satisfaction with overall sexual life</u> , any inference, n/N, post-treatment: I: 59%	<u>Adverse events:</u> I: 0/18 C: 0/17.	<u>Risk of bias:</u> Moderate  <u>Blinding:</u> Patients and

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
Israel	<p>phase (data only extracted from blinded phase).</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=34.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 26 (range 19-46).</p>	<p>2 weekly sessions for 6 weeks. n=18.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Sham treatment given at same treatment protocol. n=17.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment.</p> <p><u>Drop-out:</u> I: 0/18 (0%) C: 1/17 (6%).</p>	<p>I: 0.9 (1.94) C: 0.10 (1.99) (p=0.245).</p> <p><u>Vulvar pain assessed with cotton swab test, NRS (range 0-100), change from baseline, mean (SD):</u> I: 6.3 (2.8) C: 7.0 (9.1) (p=0.954).</p>	<p>C: 87% (p=0.507).</p>		<p>treatment providers blinded.</p>
Morin et al. 2017 [27] Canada	<p><u>Study design:</u> RCT, single centre.</p> <p><u>Patient characteristics:</u> Provoked vestibulodynia. n=40.</p> <p><u>Mean age:</u> 22 (IQR 20 to 24).</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Transcranial direct-current stimulation, 10 sessions of 20 minutes over 2 weeks. n=20.</p> <p><u>Control:</u> Sham treatment at same treatment protocol. n=20.</p> <p><u>Follow-up time:</u> Immediately post-treatment, and 3 months post-</p>	<p><u>Pain during sexual intercourse, VAS (range 0-10), change from baseline, mean (95% CI):</u></p> <p><u>Post-treatment:</u> I: 1.2 (0.4 to 2.1) C: 1.8 (0.8 to 2.8) (p=0.84).</p> <p><u>3 months post-treatment, difference between groups:</u> P=0.09.</p>	<p><u>FSFI (range, 2-36), mean (95% CI):</u></p> <p><u>Post-treatment:</u> I: 23.9 (21.3 to 26.5) C: 22.2 (19.7 to 24.7) (p=0.35).</p> <p><u>3 months post-treatment:</u> I: 23.4 (20.8 to 26.0) C: 23.9 (21.3 to 26.4) (p=0.79).</p> <p><u>STAI, state domain, mean (95% CI):</u></p>	<p><u>Adverse events,</u> total number of events: I: 94 C: 73.</p>	<p><u>Risk of bias:</u> Low</p> <p><u>Blinding:</u> Patients, treatment providers and assessors blinded.</p>

Author Year Reference Country	Study design Patient characteristics	Intervention Control Follow-up time Drop-outs	Results: • Pain during intercourse • Pain at pressure	Results: • Sexual function/satisfaction • Quality of life • Anxiety • Depression	Results: • Adverse events	Risk of bias Blinding Comments
		treatment.  <u>Drop-out:</u> I: 1/20 (5%) C: 0/20 (0%).		<i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 35.2 (31.3 to 39.7) C: 32.8 (29.2 to 36.8) (p=0.39).  <i>3 months post-treatment:</i> I: 34.0 (30.2 to 38.3) C: 30.0 (26.7 to 33.7) (p=0.14).  <u>BDI</u> (range, 0-63), mean (95% CI): <i>Post-treatment:</i> I: 5.3 (3.5-7.9) C: 5.5 (3.7-8.1) (p=0.92).  <i>3 months post-treatment:</i> I: 5.0 (3.4 to 7.5) C: 4.1 (2.8 to 6.1) (p=0.48).		

BDI= Beck depression inventory (range 0-63, higher=worse); C=Control; CI=Confidence interval; FSFI=Female sexual function index (range 2-36, higher=better); I=Intervention; Index of sexual satisfaction (range 0-100, higher=better); IQR = Interquartile range; MD=Mean difference; MDS= Marinoff dyspareunia scale (range 0-3, higher=worse); MPQ=McGill Pain Questionnaire (range 0-78, higher=worse); OR=Odds ratio; PROMIS= Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; RCT= Randomized controlled study; RR=Risk ratio; NRS=Numeric rating scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse); NRSI= Non-randomized controlled study; SD=Standard deviation; STAI=State-Trait Anxiety Inventory of Spielberger (range 20-80, higher=worse), TENS=transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; VAS=Visual analogue scale (range 0-10 or 0-100, higher=worse);



All data have been extracted from the original studies unless otherwise stated. P-values represent comparisons between groups, as reported from analyses in the original study.

## References

1. Brown CS, Bachmann GA, Wan J, Foster DC, Gabapentin Study G. Gabapentin for the Treatment of Vulvodynia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;131(6):1000-07. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000002617>.
2. Bachmann GA, Brown CS, Phillips NA, Rawlinson LA, Yu X, Wood R, et al. Effect of gabapentin on sexual function in Vulvodynia: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 2019;74(2):82-83. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.10.021>.
3. Bornstein J, Tuma R, Farajun Y, Azran A, Zarfati D. Topical nifedipine for the treatment of localized provoked vulvodynia: a placebo-controlled study. *J Pain.* 2010;11(12):1403-09. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2010.03.016>.
4. Diomande I, Gabriel N, Kashiwagi M, Ghisu GP, Welter J, Fink D, et al. Subcutaneous botulinum toxin type A injections for provoked vestibulodynia: a randomized placebo-controlled trial and exploratory subanalysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2019;299(4):993-1000. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-019-05043-w>.
5. Donders GG, Bellen G. Cream with cutaneous fibroblast lysate for the treatment of provoked vestibulodynia: a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled crossover study. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* 2012;16(4):427-36. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/LGT.0b013e31825a2274>.
6. Farajun Y, Zarfati D, Abramov L, Livoff A, Bornstein J. Enoxaparin treatment for vulvodynia: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;120(3):565-72. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182657de6>.
7. Foster DC, Kotok MB, Huang LS, Watts A, Oakes D, Howard FM, et al. Oral desipramine and topical lidocaine for vulvodynia: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;116(3):583-93. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181e9e0ab>.
8. Haraldson P, Muhlrads H, Heddini U, Nilsson K, Bohm-Starke N. Botulinum Toxin A as a Treatment for Provoked Vestibulodynia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;136(3):524-32. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000004008>.
9. Langlais EL, Lefebvre J, Maheux-Lacroix S, Bujold E, Fortier M, Bouchard C. Treatment of Secondary Vestibulodynia with Conjugated Estrogen Cream: A Pilot, Double-Blind, Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada: JOGC.* 2017;39(6):453-58. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogc.2016.10.011>.
10. Murina F, Graziottin A, Felice R, Radici G, Tognocchi C. Vestibulodynia: synergy between palmitoylethanolamide + transpolydatin and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* 2013;17(2):111-16. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/LGT.0b013e3182652316>.
11. Murina F, Felice R, Di Francesco S, Oneda S. Vaginal diazepam plus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to treat vestibulodynia: A randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2018;228:148-53. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2018.06.026>.
12. Nyirjesy P, Sobel JD, Weitz MV, Leaman DJ, Small MJ, Gelone SP. Cromolyn cream for recalcitrant idiopathic vulvar vestibulitis: results of a placebo controlled study. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2001;77(1):53-57. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.77.1.53>.
13. Petersen CD, Giraldi A, Lundvall L, Kristensen E. Botulinum toxin type A—a novel treatment for provoked vestibulodynia? Results from a randomized, placebo

- controlled, double blinded study. *J Sex Med.* 2009;6(9):2523-37. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01378.x>.
14. Danielsson I, Torstensson T, Brodda-Jansen G, Bohm-Starke N. EMG biofeedback versus topical lidocaine gel: a randomized study for the treatment of women with vulvar vestibulitis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2006;85(11):1360-67. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00016340600883401>.
  15. Hullender Rubin LE, Mist SD, Schnyer RN, Chao MT, Leclair CM. Acupuncture Augmentation of Lidocaine for Provoked, Localized Vulvodynia: A Feasibility and Acceptability Study. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* 2019;23(4):279-86. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/LGT.0000000000000489>.
  16. Morin M, Dumoulin C, Bergeron S, Mayrand MH, Khalife S, Waddell G, et al. Multimodal physical therapy versus topical lidocaine for provoked vestibulodynia: a prospective, multicenter, randomized trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;18:18. Available from: <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.08.038>.
  17. Murina F, Bianco V, Radici G, Felice R, Di Martino M, Nicolini U. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to treat vestibulodynia: a randomised controlled trial. *BJOG.* 2008;115(9):1165-70. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2008.01803.x>.
  18. Bergeron S, Binik YM, Khalife S, Pagidas K, Glazer HI, Meana M, et al. A randomized comparison of group cognitive--behavioral therapy, surface electromyographic biofeedback, and vestibulectomy in the treatment of dyspareunia resulting from vulvar vestibulitis. *Pain.* 2001;91(3):297-306.
  19. Bergeron S, Khalife S, Glazer HI, Binik YM. Surgical and behavioral treatments for vestibulodynia: two-and-one-half year follow-up and predictors of outcome. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;111(1):159-66. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000295864.76032.a7>.
  20. Bergeron S, Khalife S, Dupuis MJ, McDuff P. A randomized clinical trial comparing group cognitive-behavioral therapy and a topical steroid for women with dyspareunia. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2016;84(3):259-68. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000072>.
  21. Brotto LA, Bergeron S, Zdaniuk B, Driscoll M, Grabovac A, Sadownik LA, et al. A Comparison of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy Vs Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for the Treatment of Provoked Vestibulodynia in a Hospital Clinic Setting. *J Sex Med.* 2019;16(6):909-23. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2019.04.002>.
  22. Brotto LA, Bergeron S, Zdaniuk B, Basson R. Mindfulness and cognitive behavior therapy for provoked vestibulodynia: Mediators of treatment outcome and long-term effects. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2020;88(1):48-64.
  23. Goldfinger C, Pukall CF, Thibault-Gagnon S, McLean L, Chamberlain S. Effectiveness of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy and Physical Therapy for Provoked Vestibulodynia: A Randomized Pilot Study. *J Sex Med.* 2016;13(1):88-94. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2015.12.003>.
  24. Guillet AD, Cirino NH, Hart KD, Leclair CM. Mindfulness-Based Group Cognitive Behavior Therapy for Provoked Localized Vulvodynia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* 2019;23(2):170-75. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/LGT.0000000000000456>.
  25. Gruenwald I, Gutzeit O, Petrusseva A, Gartman I, Lowenstein L. Low-Intensity Shockwave for Treatment of Vestibulodynia: A Randomized Controlled Therapy Trial. *J Sex Med.* 2021;05:05. Available from: <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.11.006>.
  26. Lev-Sagie A, Kopitman A, Brzezinski A. Low-Level Laser Therapy for the Treatment of Provoked Vestibulodynia-A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Pilot Trial. *J Sex*

- Med. 2017;14(11):1403-11. Available from:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.09.004>.
27. Morin M, Binik YM, Bourbonnais D, Khalife S, Ouellet S, Bergeron S. Heightened Pelvic Floor Muscle Tone and Altered Contractility in Women With Provoked Vestibulodynia. *J Sex Med.* 2017;14(4):592-600. Available from:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.02.012>.