

# EEG-Based Monitoring of Anesthetic Depth

SBU ALERT REPORT NO 2008-02 • 2008-05-14 • WWW.SBU.SE/ALERT



## Summary and Conclusions

### SBU's appraisal of the evidence

EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth is intended to complement traditional monitoring methods during anesthesia. Its primary aim is to adapt anesthesia to individual needs so patients can recover more quickly and be at lower risk for awareness while under anesthesia.

#### Patients at normal risk of awareness who undergo elective surgery

- EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth reduces by a few minutes the *early phase of recovery* after intravenous anesthesia (Evidence Grade 3)\*. The time saved has not been shown to have any clinical or economic significance. Whether or not monitoring of anesthetic depth affects the *early phase of recovery* after inhalational anesthesia cannot be determined (Contradictory Scientific Evidence)\*.
- Regarding the *later phase of recovery* (eg, time until discharge), scientific evidence on the effects of EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth is contradictory\*.
- Whether or not EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth reduces the risk of *awareness* during anesthesia cannot be assessed (Insufficient Scientific Evidence)\*.
- Whether or not EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth has any effect on *patient satisfaction*, or on the incidence of post-anesthesia *nausea/vomiting*, cannot be determined (Contradictory Scientific Evidence)\*.

#### Patients at high risk of awareness during anesthesia, or who undergo emergency surgery

- Whether or not EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth in risk patients has a positive effect on post-anesthesia *recovery* (Insufficient Scientific Evidence)\*, or reduces the risk of *awareness* during anesthesia (Contradictory Scientific Evidence)\*, cannot be determined.

In summary, as regards general anesthesia, the scientific evidence is inadequate to support routine use of EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth aimed at reducing the incidence of awareness or decisively improving patient recovery.

**TECHNOLOGY AND TARGET GROUP** General anesthesia is used in surgery and is usually administered as a combination of hypnotics, analgesic drugs, and, when necessary, muscle relaxants. Traditional monitoring during anesthesia takes into account various physical reactions (eg, circulation, respiration, eye-reaction, and movement) to assess anesthetic depth and control medication. Excessively deep anesthesia can lead to reduced circulation, thereby impairing the function of life-sustaining organs and delaying recovery. Excessively shallow anesthesia can lead to biological stress and awareness while the patient is under anesthesia.

Various technical devices have been developed to assess the depth of anesthesia both objectively and quantitatively. Current technology for monitoring anesthetic depth is based mainly on analyzing signals that reflect changes in the electrical activity of the brain (electroencephalography, EEG). The expectation is that EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth, as a complement to traditional monitoring, will improve the potential to adapt anesthesia to individual patient needs during the course of surgery.

Post-anesthesia recovery can be divided into an early phase and a late phase. In the early phase, patients regain consciousness, start to breathe on their own, and spontaneously open their eyes. Usually the patient can be extubated, removing the endotracheal tube used to secure the airway. There is no generally accepted signal that would indicate when to extubate. It is done based

*Continues on next page*

#### \*Criteria for Evidence Grading SBU's Conclusions

*Evidence Grade 1 – Strong Scientific Evidence. The conclusion is corroborated by at least two independent studies with high quality, or a good systematic overview.*

*Evidence Grade 2 – Moderately Strong Scientific Evidence. The conclusion is corroborated by one study with high quality, and at least two studies with medium quality.*

*Evidence Grade 3 – Limited Scientific Evidence. The conclusion is corroborated by at least two studies with medium quality.*

*Insufficient Scientific Evidence – No conclusions can be drawn when there are not any studies that meet the criteria for quality.*

*Contradictory Scientific Evidence – No conclusions can be drawn when there are studies with the same quality whose findings contradict each other.*

on the anesthesiologist's experience and on local routines. In the later recovery phase, the patient's condition is sufficiently stable to discontinue special monitoring. The patient can then leave the post-operative recovery unit. To standardize the assessment of a patient's condition following anesthesia, rating scales have been developed to measure various parameters, eg, awareness, ability to move, circulation, and respiration.

Discomfort and, in severe cases, post-traumatic stress disorder can be associated with awareness resulting from insufficient anesthetic depth. During elective surgery awareness is estimated to occur in 1 to 2 in 1000 patients at normal risk of awareness. The risk of awareness during anesthesia is higher in certain situations, eg, emergency surgery, caesarian section, heart surgery, trauma, or if the patient has circulatory problems. Here, the risk is attributed to using relatively low drug doses for purposes of maintaining circulation.

The potential target group for the method includes all patients given general anesthesia in conjunction with surgical interventions.

#### PRIMARY QUESTIONS

- Does EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth allow patients to recover more quickly and better after anesthesia?
- Does EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth reduce the risk of awareness during anesthesia?
- Is EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth cost-effective?

The patient group studied primarily includes adult patients administered general anesthesia in conjunction with different types of elective surgery. In addition, patients at high risk for awareness during anesthesia, or who receive emergency surgical procedures, are studied separately.

#### PATIENT BENEFIT

##### Patients at normal risk of awareness undergoing elective surgery

EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth has a positive effect on early recovery (time until the eyes open and the patient is extubated) after intravenous anesthesia, but scientific evidence on the use of inhalational anesthesia is contradictory. These outcome measures are, however, of limited relevance from the standpoints of both the patient and the health services since the estimated time saved involves a few minutes. Regarding the later phase of recovery (eg, time until discharge), the scientific evidence is contradictory. The results are based on randomized controlled trials (RCT) that compared anesthesia guided by EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth versus anesthesia guided by traditional monitoring alone.

The studies evaluated were too small to assess whether EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth affects the incidence of awareness during anesthesia.

Scientific evidence is contradictory regarding the effect that EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth has on patient satisfaction and on the incidence of nausea/vomiting after anesthesia.

##### Patients at high risk for awareness during anesthesia or undergoing emergency surgery

Three randomized controlled trials were identified that compared anesthesia guided by EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth versus anesthesia guided by traditional monitoring alone. Two of the trials studied patient recovery following anesthesia. No differences between the study groups were observed during either the early or late phases.

Whether or not the use of EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth can reduce the incidence of awareness in risk patients while under anesthesia cannot be determined. Findings from two large studies, together including around 4500 patients, are contradictory. A deficiency in both studies concerns the absence of descriptions of those patients in whom awareness could not be fully assessed. The third study was too small to assess the incidence of awareness during anesthesia.

**ETHICAL ASPECTS** If EEG-based monitoring is used, it should only complement traditional anesthesia monitoring and should not be accorded greater importance than traditional monitoring. The risk for misinterpretation, and the resulting consequences (too deep or too shallow anesthesia), must be considered carefully in each individual case.

**ECONOMIC ASPECTS** Devices for EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth cost between 28 000 and 65 000 Swedish kronor (SEK). In addition, disposable materials, eg, electrodes, cost between SEK 20 and SEK 75 per intervention. It is unclear to what extent the additional costs would be offset by savings generated by the method's potentially positive effects.

Since the scientific evidence offers no solid conclusions regarding patient benefits from EEG-based monitoring of anesthetic depth, it is not possible to assess the method's cost-effectiveness.

#### References

1. Guignard B. Monitoring analgesia. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2006;20(1):161-80.
2. Aldrete JA. The post-anesthesia recovery score revisited. *J Clin Anesth* 1995;7(1):89-91.
3. White PF, Song D. New criteria for fast-tracking after outpatient anesthesia: a comparison with the modified Aldrete's scoring system. *Anesth Analg* 1999;88(5):1069-72.

4. Sandin RH, Enlund G, Samuelsson P, Lennmarken C. Awareness during anaesthesia: a prospective case study. *Lancet* 2000;355(9205):707-11.
5. Goldmann L, Shah MV, Hebden MW. Memory of cardiac anaesthesia. Psychological sequelae in cardiac patients of intra-operative suggestion and operating room conversation. *Anaesthesia* 1987;42(6):596-603.
6. Myles PS, Leslie K, McNeil J, Forbes A, Chan MT. Bispectral index monitoring to prevent awareness during anaesthesia: the B-Aware randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;363(9423):1757-63.
7. Bogetz MS, Katz JA. Recall of surgery for major trauma. *Anesthesiology* 1984;61(1):6-9.
8. Jameson LC, Sloan TB. Using EEG to monitor anesthesia drug effects during surgery. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2006;20(6):445-72.
9. Hälso- och sjukvårdens verksamhet – Statistik om verksamhet inom sjukhusvården (operationer, vårdtillfällen och vårdtid) samt om läkarbesök 2006. Statistik, Hälso- och sjukvård 2007:3. Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen; 2007.
10. Ahmad S, Yilmaz M, Marcus RJ, Glisson S, Kinsella A. Impact of bispectral index monitoring on fast tracking of gynecologic patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2003;98(4):849-52.
11. Aimé I, Verroust N, Masson-Lefoll C, Taylor G, Laloë PA, Liu N et al. Does monitoring bispectral index or spectral entropy reduce sevoflurane use? *Anesth Analg* 2006;103(6):1469-77.
12. Başar H, Ozcan S, Buyukkokac U, Akpınar S, Apan A. Effect of bispectral index monitoring on sevoflurane consumption. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2003;20(5):396-400.
13. Boztuğ N, Bigat Z, Akyuz M, Demir S, Ertok E. Does using the bispectral index (BIS) during craniotomy affect the quality of recovery? *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol* 2006;18(1):1-4.
14. Bruhn J, Kreuer S, Bischoff P, Kessler P, Schmidt GN, Grzesiak A et al. Bispectral index and A-line AAI index as guidance for desflurane-remifentanyl anaesthesia compared with a standard practice group: a multicentre study. *Br J Anaesth* 2005;94(1):63-9.
15. Gan TJ, Glass PS, Windsor A, Payne F, Rosow C, Sebel P et al. Bispectral index monitoring allows faster emergence and improved recovery from propofol, alfentanil, and nitrous oxide anesthesia. BIS Utility Study Group. *Anesthesiology* 1997;87(4):808-15.
16. Gruenewald M, Zhou J, Schloemerker N, Meybohm P, Weiler N, Tonner PH et al. M-Entropy guidance vs standard practice during propofol-remifentanyl anaesthesia: a randomised controlled trial. *Anaesthesia* 2007;62(12):1224-9.
17. Kreuer S, Biedler A, Larsen R, Altmann S, Wilhelm W. Narcotrend monitoring allows faster emergence and a reduction of drug consumption in propofol-remifentanyl anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 2003;99(1):34-41.
18. Kreuer S, Bruhn J, Stracke C, Aniset L, Silomon M, Larsen R et al. Narcotrend or bispectral index monitoring during desflurane-remifentanyl anesthesia: a comparison with a standard practice protocol. *Anesth Analg* 2005;101(2):427-34.
19. Luginbühl M, Wüthrich S, Petersen-Felix S, Zbinden AM, Schnider TW. Different benefit of bispectral index (BIS) in desflurane and propofol anesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2003;47(2):165-73.
20. Mayer J, Boldt J, Schellhaas A, Hiller B, Suttner SW. Bispectral index-guided general anesthesia in combination with thoracic epidural analgesia reduces recovery time in fast-track colon surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2007;104(5):1145-9.
21. Määttänen H, Anderson R, Uusijärvi J, Jakobsson J. Auditory evoked potential monitoring with the AAITM-index during spinal surgery: decreased desflurane consumption. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2002;46(7):882-6.
22. Nelskylä KA, Yli-Hankala AM, Puro PH, Korttila KT. Sevoflurane titration using bispectral index decreases postoperative vomiting in phase II recovery after ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2001;93(5):1165-9.
23. Recart A, Gasanova I, White PF, Thomas T, Ogunnaike B, Hamza M et al. The effect of cerebral monitoring on recovery after general anesthesia: a comparison of the auditory evoked potential and bispectral index devices with standard clinical practice. *Anesth Analg* 2003;97(6):1667-74.
24. Recart A, White PF, Wang A, Gasanova I, Byerly S, Jones SB. Effect of auditory evoked potential index monitoring on anesthetic drug requirements and recovery profile after laparoscopic surgery: a clinical utility study. *Anesthesiology* 2003;99(4):813-8.
25. Song D, Joshi GP, White PF. Titration of volatile anesthetics using bispectral index facilitates recovery after ambulatory anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 1997;87(4):842-8.
26. Vakkuri A, Yli-Hankala A, Sandin R, Mustola S, Høymork S, Nyblom S et al. Spectral entropy monitoring is associated with reduced propofol use and faster emergence in propofol-nitrous oxide-alfentanil anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 2005;103(2):274-9.
27. White PF, Ma H, Tang J, Wender RH, Sloninsky A, Kariger R. Does the use of electroencephalographic bispectral index or auditory evoked potential index monitoring facilitate recovery after desflurane anesthesia in the ambulatory setting? *Anesthesiology* 2004;100(4):811-7.
28. Wong J, Song D, Blanshard H, Grady D, Chung F. Titration of isoflurane using BIS index improves early recovery of elderly patients undergoing orthopedic surgeries. *Can J Anaesth* 2002;49(1):13-8.
29. Zohar E, Luban I, White PF, Ramati E, Shabat S, Fredman B. Bispectral index monitoring does not improve early recovery of geriatric outpatients undergoing brief surgical procedures. *Can J Anaesth* 2006;53(1):20-5.
30. Avidan MS, Zhang L, Burnside BA, Finkel KJ, Searleman AC, Selvidge JA et al. Anesthesia awareness and the bispectral index. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358(11):1097-108.
31. Leslie K, Myles PS, Forbes A, Chan MT, Short TG, Swallow SK. Recovery from bispectral index-guided anaesthesia in a large randomized controlled trial of patients at high risk of awareness. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2005;33(4):443-51.
32. Puri GD, Murthy SS. Bispectral index monitoring in patients undergoing cardiac surgery under cardiopulmonary bypass. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2003;20(6):451-6.
33. Liu SS. Effects of Bispectral Index monitoring on ambulatory anesthesia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and a cost analysis. *Anesthesiology* 2004;101(2):311-5.
34. Punjasawadwong Y, Boonjeungmonkol N, Phongchiewboon A. Bispectral index for improving anaesthetic delivery and postoperative recovery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD003843. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003843.pub2.
35. Ekman A, Lindholm ML, Lennmarken C, Sandin R. Reduction in the incidence of awareness using BIS monitoring. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2004;48(1):20-6.
36. Sebel PS, Bowdle TA, Ghoneim MM, Rampil IJ, Padilla RE, Gan TJ et al. The incidence of awareness during anesthesia: a multicenter United States study. *Anesth Analg* 2004;99(3):833-9.
37. Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations. Preventing and managing the impact of anesthesia awareness. *Jt Comm Perspect* 2004;24(12):10-1.
38. Bispectral Index Monitor. Health Technology Literature Review. Toronto: Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; 2004.
39. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Intraoperative Awareness. Practice advisory for intraoperative awareness and brain function monitoring: a report by the american society of anesthesiologists task force on intraoperative awareness. *Anesthesiology* 2006;104(4):847-64.
40. Mundy L, Merlin T, Parrella A. Bispectral index monitoring (BIS) for measuring the depth of anaesthesia and to facilitate anaesthetic titration. Horizon Scanning Prioritising Summary – Volume 6. Adelaide: Adelaide Health Technology Assessment (AHTA) on behalf of National Horizon Scanning Unit (HealthPACT and MSAC); 2004.
41. Nielsen JS, Thøgersen B, Ørding H. Søvnvdybmonitorering – en medicinsk teknologivurdering. København: Sundhedsstyrelsen, Enhed for medicinsk teknologivurdering; 2007. Medicinsk teknologivurdering 2007;7(2).

42. Bowdle TA. Depth of anesthesia monitoring. *Anesthesiol Clin* 2006;24(4):793-822.
43. Dahaba AA. Different conditions that could result in the bispectral index indicating an incorrect hypnotic state. *Anesth Analg* 2005;101(3):765-73.
44. Paventi S, Santevecchi A, Metta E, Annetta MG, Perilli V, Sollazzi L et al. Bispectral index monitoring in sevoflurane and remifentanyl anesthesia. Analysis of drugs management and immediate recovery. *Minerva Anestesiol* 2001;67(6):435-9.
45. Pavlin DJ, Hong JY, Freund PR, Koerschgen ME, Bower JO, Bowdle TA. The effect of bispectral index monitoring on end-tidal gas concentration and recovery duration after outpatient anesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 2001;93(3):613-9.
46. Pavlin JD, Souter KJ, Hong JY, Freund PR, Bowdle TA, Bower JO. Effects of bispectral index monitoring on recovery from surgical anesthesia in 1,580 inpatients from an academic medical center. *Anesthesiology* 2005;102(3):566-73.
47. Song D, van Vlymen J, White PF. Is the bispectral index useful in predicting fast-track eligibility after ambulatory anesthesia with propofol and desflurane? *Anesth Analg* 1998;87(6):1245-8.
48. Yli-Hankala A, Vakkuri A, Annala P, Korttila K. EEG bispectral index monitoring in sevoflurane or propofol anaesthesia: analysis of direct costs and immediate recovery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1999;43(5):545-9.

### SBU – The Swedish Council on Technology Assessment in Health Care

SBU is an independent public authority which has the mandate of the Swedish Government to comprehensively assess healthcare technology from medical, economic, ethical, and social standpoints. SBU Alert is a system for identification and early assessment of new methods in health care.

---

PO Box 5650, SE-114 86 Stockholm, Sweden • [alert@sbu.se](mailto:alert@sbu.se)

This summary is based on a report prepared at SBU in collaboration with **Lennart Christiansson**, MD, PhD, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, and **Per Gannedahl**, MD, PhD, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm. It has been reviewed by **Gunilla Barr**, CRNA, PhD, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, and **Ola Stenqvist**, MD, Professor, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Göteborg. Project manager: **Johan Wallin**, SBU, [wallin@sbu.se](mailto:wallin@sbu.se).

The complete report is available in Swedish.