

Bilaga till rapport

Hormonbehandling vid könsdysfori – vuxna Hormone treatment of adults with gender dysphoria rapport 348, 2022

Bilaga 3. Inkluderade studier Appendix 3. Characteristics of included studies: Extracted data

Innehåll/ contents

Psychosocial functioning1
Mortality8
Tumours10
Benign brain tumours10
Breast cancer11
Prostate cancer14
Other tumors17
Bone health19
Cardiovascular events and metabolism
Acute cardiovascular events25
Blood pressure
Thromboembolism
Diabetes and insulin sensitivity
Liver enzymes
Studies investigating regret, detransition and discontinuation of treatment
References

Terminology*

Male to Female:	Female to Male:
MtF	FtM
MF	FM
Natal male	Natal female
Natal boys	Natal girls
Birth assigned boys	Birth assigned girls
Designated male at birth (DMAB)	Designated female at birth (DFAB)
Assigned male at birth (AMAB)	Assigned female at birth (AFAB)
Transfemale	Transmale
Transfeminine (TF)	Transmasculine (TM)
Transgirls	Transboys
Transwomen (TW)	Transmen (TM)
Transgender women	Transgender men
Transgender female	Transgender male
Affirmed female	Affirmed male

* terminology according to the authors of the included studies

Psychosocial functioning

Author, reference	White Hughto et al 2016 (White Hughto and Reisner 2016)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Psychological functioning and quality of life
End of search	November 2014
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	 Three uncontrolled prospective cohort studies, enrolling 247 transgender adults (180 male-to-female [MTF], 67 female-to-male [FTM]) initiating hormone therapy for the treatment of gender identity disorder (prior diagnostic term for gender dysphoria), were identified. The studies measured exposure to hormone therapy and subsequent changes in mental health (e.g., depression, anxiety) and quality of life outcomes at follow-up. Two studies showed a significant improvement in psychological functioning at 3–6 months and 12 months compared with baseline after initiating hormone therapy. The third study showed improvements in quality-of-life outcomes 12 months after initiating hormone therapy for FTM and MTF participants; however, only MTF participants showed a statistically significant increase in general quality of life after initiating hormone therapy. Conclusions: Hormone therapy interventions to improve the mental health and quality of life in transgender people with gender dysphoria have not been evaluated in controlled trials. Low quality evidence suggests that hormone therapy may lead to improvements in psychological functioning

Author, reference	Rowniak et al 2019 (Rowniak, Bolt et al. 2019)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Quality of life, depression, anxiety
End of search	September 2017
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	Seven observational studies met the inclusion criteria for this review. The total number of transgender participants in all the included studies was 552. Population sizes in the studies ranged from 14 to 163. In general, the certainty of the findings was low to very low due to issues with imprecision and indirectness. The use of cross-sex hormones was associated with improved quality of life, depression, and anxiety scores, although no causation can be inferred. Conclusions: Transgender participants who were prescribed cross-sex hormones had statistically significant scores demonstrating improvement on the validated scales that measured quality of life, anxiety and depression when compared to transgender people who had enrolled in a sex-reassignment clinic but had not yet begun taking cross sex hormones. However, because the certainty of this evidence was very low to low, recommendations for hormone use to improve quality of life, depression and anxiety could not be made. High-quality research on this issue is needed, as is the development of a quality-of-life tool specific to the transgender population

Author, reference	Nobili et al 2018 (Nobili, Glazebrook et al. 2018)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Quality of life (QOL)
End of search	July 2017
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative 29 studies
	Meta analysis of mental related QOL compared with general population (14 studies)
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors'	From 94 potentially relevant articles, 29 studies were included within the review and data extraction for
conclusions	meta-analysis was available in 14 studies. The majority of the studies were cross-sectional, lacked controls and displayed moderate risk of bias.
	Findings from the systematic review suggested that transgender people display poor QoL, independent of the domain investigated. Pooling across studies showed that transgender people report poorer mental health QoL compared to the general population (-0.78 , 95% CI = -1.08 to -0.48 , 14 studies). However, meta-analysis in a subgroup of studies looking at QoL in participants who were exclusively post-CHT found no difference in mental health QoL between groups (-0.42 , 95% CI = -1.15 to 0.31 ; 7 studies). There was insufficient data for a pre-treatment subgroup. Evidence suggests that transgender people have lower QoL than the general population. Some evidence suggests that QoL improves post-treatment. Better quality studies that include clearly defined transgender populations, divided by stage of gender affirming treatment and with appropriate matched control groups are needed to draw firmer conclusions.

Author, reference	Baker et al 2021 (Baker, Wilson et al. 2021)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Quality of life, depression, anxiety, suicide
End of search	June 2020
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	We included 20 studies reported in 22 publications. Fifteen were trials or prospective cohorts, one was a retrospective cohort, and 4 were cross-sectional. Seven assessed QOL, 12 assessed depression, 8 assessed anxiety, and 1 assessed death by suicide. Three studies included trans-feminine people only; 7 included trans-masculine people only, and 10 included both. Three studies focused on adolescents. Hormone therapy was associated with increased QOL, decreased depression, and decreased anxiety. Associations were similar across gender identity and age. Certainty in this conclusion is limited by high risk of bias in study designs, small sample sizes, and confounding with other interventions. We could not draw any conclusions about death by suicide. Future studies should investigate the psychological benefits of hormone therapy among larger and more diverse groups of transgender people using study designs that more effectively isolate the effects of hormone treatment.

Author, reference	Kristensen et al 2021 (Kristensen, Christensen et al. 2021)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Aggressiveness from testosterone
End of search	November 2019
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	FtM
Results/authors'	Seven prospective cohort studies investigating aggression-dimensions pre- and post-testosterone
conclusions	therapy, reporting on data from 664 transgender men, were eligible. The studies had moderate to high risk of bias due to non-randomization, lack of appropriate control groups, and reliance on self-report. The behavioural tendency to react aggressively increased in three studies out of four (at three months follow- up), whereas only one study out of five found angry emotions to increase (at seven months follow-up). In contrast, one out of three studies reported a decrease in hostility after initiation of testosterone therapy. The remaining studies found no change in aggressive behaviour, anger or hostility during hormone therapy. Discussion and conclusion: Four out of seven studies reported an increase in aggression-related constructs, while one study reported a decrease. In all studies reporting changes, the follow-up period was less than 12 months, indicating that gender-affirming testosterone therapy could have a short-term impact on aggression-related constructs. However, the available studies carried a risk of bias, which indicates a need for further research.

Author, reference	Karalexi et al 2020 (Karalexi, Georgakis et al. 2020)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Cognition
End of search	June 2019
Methodology	Moose guidelines, search only in Medline.
Synthesis	Metaanalysis
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors'	Ten studies (7 cohort and 3 cross-sectional) were eligible representing 234 birth-assigned males
conclusions	(aM)(MtF) and 150 birth-assigned females (aF)(FtM). The synthesis of cohort studies (n = 5) for
	visuospatial ability following hormone treatment showed a statistically significant enhancement among
	aF (FtM) (g = 0.55, 95% confidence intervals [CI]: 0.29, 0.82) and an improvement with a trend towards
	statistical significance among aM (MtF) (g = 0.28, 95%CI: -0.01, 0.58). By contrast, no adverse effects of
	hormone administration were shown. No heterogeneity was evident in most meta-analyses.
	Interpretation: Current evidence does not support an adverse impact of hormone therapy on cognitive
	function, whereas a statistically significant enhancing effect on visuospatial ability was shown in aF. (FtM)

Authon Veen	Fisher at al 2016 (Fisher Costallini at al 2016)
Author, Year Title	Fisher et al 2016 (Fisher, Castellini et al. 2016) Cross-Sex Hormone Treatment and Psychobiological Changes in Transsexual Persons
Country	Italy
•	Cross-sectional 2008-2015 Longitudinal 2012-2015
Study design	Age in cohort: years (mean, SD)
POPULATION (ages)	Cross-sectional sample:
Age at start Age in cohort	33.90 (9.19) CHT group
Age In conort	29.11 (9.28) no CHT group
	23.11 (3.28) no criti group
	Prospective sample:
	Age at baseline:
	32.52 (11.06) MtF
	26.32 (7.29) FtM
POPULATION (n)	537 initial sample (calc. by SBU)
n patients	178 excluded
natal male (M-t-F)	359 cross-sectional study:
natal female (F-t-M)	140 female to male (FtM)
	219 male to female (MtF) 167 CHT group
	192 no-CHT group
	54 prospective study: (before CHT start)
	28 MtF
	26 FtM
	In excluded population (n=178):
	23 dropouts during assessment
	3 disorders of sexual development
	3 personality disorder
	Estradiol valerate, oral
(type) Cross-sex hormone	ethinyl estradiol, oral
treatment (CSHT)	estradiol hemihydrate, transdermal estradiol gel
treatment (CSTT)	Anti-androgens: finasteride, dutasteride, cyproterone acetate, spironolactone
	Testosterone enanthate
	Testosterone undecanoate 1000 mg i.m. (repeated after 6 w, after 12 w, then between 10–14 weeks).
	Testosterone transdermal
	Mixed CHT profile: more than one type of hormone formulation at the same time.
	Mental health support every 3 months (details not provided)
INTERVENTION	Hormone treatment duration:
(time)	Cross sectional study: CHT group (n=167):
HT duration	Days of hormone therapy (mean, range):
Follow-up times	1331 (31; 13445) MtF (n= 125) [note range 1 month – 36 years]
	323 (33; 1095) FtM (n= 42) [note range 1 month – 3 years]
	Longitudinal study:
	Follow-up times: 3, 6, 12, 24 months
OUTCOMES -	Psychometric measures:
All reported	Global severity index (GSI)
outcomes	Body Uneasiness Test (BUT): higher scores indicate greater body uneasiness (max score not indicated)
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) II
	Gender Identity/ Gender Dysphoria questionnaire (GIDYQ-AA): low score associated with higher dysphoria
	Symptom Checklist 90 revised (SCL-90-R)
	Anthropometric: height, weight, waist, BMI
	Testis volume, Breast development, hair growth, genital features
	Glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase, glutamic-pyruvate transaminase

RESULTS –	Psychological well-being: (mean ± SE)
Extracted outcomes	
(95% Cl if not	Cross sectional study:
indicated otherwise)	
	Psychological (BUT-global severity index [GSI]) change ratios:
	Female-to-male:
	2.34 ± 0.09 no-CHT
	1.80 ± 0.14 CHT
	0.53 ± 0.17 Adjusted Difference Value
	Male-to-female:
	2.42 ± 0.91 no-CHT
	1.69 ±1.01 CHT
	0.53 ± 0.17 Adjusted Difference Value
	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II): Female-to-male:
	7.17 ± 6.97 no-CHT
	3.08 ± 3.32 CHT
	4.03 ± 2.06 Adjusted Difference Value
	Allos 1 2.00 Adjusted Difference Valde
	9.41 ± 7.91 no-CHT
	7.31 ± 8.55 CHT
	1.86 ± 1.67 Adjusted Difference Value
	Gender Identity/Dysphoria Questionnaire (GIDYQ-AA)
	Female-to-male:
	2.19 ± 0.36 no-CHT
	2.10 ± 0.27 CHT
	0.11 ± 0.13 Adjusted Difference Value
	Male-to-female:
	2.28 ± 0.34 no-CHT
	2.26 ± 0.49 CHT
	0.01 ± 0.093 Adjusted Difference Value

Author, YearRistori et al 2020 (Ristori, Cocchetti et al. 2020)TitleHormonal Treatment Effect on Sexual Distress in Transgender PersonsCountryItalyStudy designCross-sectional + longitudinal substudy, 2008-2017POPULATION (ages)Cross-sectional study (mean)Age at start31.56 ± 11.24 transwomenAge in cohort28.32 ± 8.19 transmenLongitudinal study: 29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen27.57 ± 10.89 transmenTransmen reported an earlier GD onset (before age of 12) than transwomen (78.1% and 64.9 %)POPULATION (n) n patientsnatal male (M-t-F)natal female (F-t-M)54 excluded Cross-sectional: HT group:55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
Country Study designItalyCountry Study designItalyPOPULATION (ages)Cross-sectional study (mean)Age at start31.56 ± 11.24 transwomenAge in cohort28.32 ± 8.19 transmenLongitudinal study: 29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen29.57 ± 10.89 transwomenTransmen reported an earlier GD onset (before age of 12) than transwomen (78.1% and 64.9 %)POPULATION (n) n patients301 transgender personsnatal male (M-t-F)141 transmennatal female (F-t-M)54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group:Transmen (MtF)13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:55 transwomen (MtF)	
Study designCross-sectional + longitudinal substudy, 2008-2017POPULATION (ages)Cross-sectional study (mean)Age at start31.56 ± 11.24 transwomenAge in cohort28.32 ± 8.19 transmenLongitudinal study: 29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen27.57 ± 10.89 transmenTransmen reported an earlier GD onset (before age of 12) than transwomen (78.1% and 64.9 %)POPULATION (n)301 transgender personsnatal male (M-t-F)141 transmennatal female (F-t-M)54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:55 transwomen (MtF)	
POPULATION (ages) Age at startCross-sectional study (mean)Age at start31.56 ± 11.24 transwomenAge in cohort28.32 ± 8.19 transmenLongitudinal study: 29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen27.57 ± 10.89 transmenTransmen reported an earlier GD onset (before age of 12) than transwomen (78.1% and 64.9 %)POPULATION (n) n patients301 transgender personsnatal male (M-t-F)141 transmennatal female (F-t-M)54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
Age at start Age in cohort31.56 ± 11.24 transwomen 28.32 ± 8.19 transmen Longitudinal study: 29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen 27.57 ± 10.89 transmenPOPULATION (n) n patients natal male (M-t-F) natal female (F-t-M)301 transgender persons 160 transwomen 160 transwomen 141 transmen 54 excluded Cross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:301 transgender persons 160 transwomen 17000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Age in cohort28.32 ± 8.19 transmen Longitudinal study: 29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen 27.57 ± 10.89 transmenPOPULATION (n) n patients301 transgender persons 160 transwomen 160 transwomennatal male (M-t-F) natal female (F-t-M)34 excluded Cross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
Longitudinal study: 29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen 27.57 ± 10.89 transmen7.57 ± 10.89 transmenTransmen reported an earlier GD onset (before age of 12) than transwomen (78.1% and 64.9 %)POPULATION (n) n patients301 transgender persons160 transwomen natal male (M-t-F)141 transmen141 transmen 54 excluded54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
29.57 ± 10.89 transwomen 27.57 ± 10.89 transmen7.57 ± 10.89 transmenTransmen reported an earlier GD onset (before age of 12) than transwomen (78.1% and 64.9 %)POPULATION (n) n patients301 transgender persons 160 transwomennatal male (M-t-F) natal female (F-t-M)141 transmen 54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
27.57 ± 10.89 transmen Transmen reported an earlier GD onset (before age of 12) than transwomen (78.1% and 64.9 %) POPULATION (n) 301 transgender persons n patients 160 transwomen natal male (M-t-F) 141 transmen natal female (F-t-M) 54 excluded Cross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group: 13 transmen (FtM)	
POPULATION (n) n patients301 transgender personsnatal male (M-t-F) natal female (F-t-M)140 transmen54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group:55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:54 excluded	
POPULATION (n) 301 transgender persons n patients 160 transwomen natal male (M-t-F) 141 transmen natal female (F-t-M) 54 excluded Cross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group: 13 transmen (FtM)	
n patients160 transwomennatal male (M-t-F)141 transmennatal female (F-t-M)54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
n patients160 transwomennatal male (M-t-F)141 transmennatal female (F-t-M)54 excludedCross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
natal male (M-t-F) 141 transmen natal female (F-t-M) 54 excluded Cross-sectional:	
natal female (F-t-M) 54 excluded Cross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 55 transmen (FtM) No HT group: No HT group:	
Cross-sectional: HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
HT group: 55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
55 transwomen (MtF) 13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
13 transmen (FtM) No HT group:	
No HT group:	
105 transwomen (MtF)	
128 transmen (FtM)	
Longitudinal:	
Before HT start:	
38 transwomen (MtF)	
40 transmen (FtM)	
at 2 year FU:	
36 transwomen (MtF)	
36 transmen (FtM)	
INTERVENTION Transwomen:	
(type) estradiol valerate, oral (2-6 mg/day)	
Cross-sex hormone estradiol gel, transdermal (1 mg/3 times day)	
treatment (CSHT) cyproterone acetate, oral (50 mg)	
Transmen:	
testosterone undecanoate, i.m. (1000 mg), first injection being repeated after 6 weeks and 12 week	s.
Psychological support: Standardized mental health support every 3 months (not further specified).	
INTERVENTION Cross sectional study:	
(time) Cumulative days of HT: (mean, range)	
HT duration 1825 (range 60 - 11284) days transwomen [note range 2 months – 31 years]	
Follow-up times 590 (range 300 - 1800) days transmen [note range 1 – 5 years]	
Longitudinal study:	
HT duration: 2 years	
Follow-up times: 3, 6, 12, 24 months	
OUTCOMES - Psychometric measures:	
All reported Sexual distress (Female Sexual Distress Scale-Revised)	
outcomes Body uneasiness test (BUT)	
Beck depression inventory (BDI-II)	
General psychopathology (Symptom checklist-90 revised, SCL-90)	
Utrecht Gender Dysphoria Scale (UGDS)	
Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20)	
Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ)	
Leibowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS)	
Humiliation Inventory (HI)	
Discrimination and Stigma Scale (DISC-12)	
Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI)	
Anthropometric measures: height, weight waist, breast development, hair growth	
cortisol	
RESULTS – Sexual distress:	
Extracted outcomes Reduced across time in both transwomen and transmen.	
(95% CL if not Transmen showed a significant reduction in sexual distress at all time points (3, 6, 12 and 24 months)).
indicated otherwise) Transwomen showed a significant reduction only at time points later than 3 months.	,

Authon Veen	Ven De Criffe et al 2017 / ven de Criffe Elevet et al 2017
Author, Year Title	Van De Grift et al 2017 (van de Grift, Elaut et al. 2017)
	Effects of Medical Interventions on Gender Dysphoria and Body Image: A Follow-Up Study The Netherlands
Country Study decign	
Study design	Survey and medical records, applicants for medical interventions 2007 and 2009
POPULATION (ages)	Minimum age: 17 years or older at clinical entry
Age at start	Age in cohort: (mean SD)
Age in cohort	39.2 (SD 12.8) natal male
	30.6 (SD 11.3) natal female
POPULATION (n)	201
n patients	135 natal males
natal male (M-t-F)	66 natal females
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION	Cross-sex hormone therapy (type and dose not specified)
(type)	
Cross-sex hormone	Surgery (epilation, vaginoplasty, breast augmentation, Adam's apple reduction, facial feminization surgery;
treatment (CSHT)	mastectomy, oophorectomy/hysterectomy, penis construction)
INTERVENTION	<u>Years since medical intervention</u> (mean (SD))
(time)	Cross-sex hormone therapy:
HT duration	4.6 (2.3) natal males
Follow-up times	4.9 (1.6) natal females
	Years since last surgery:
	2.4 (1.4) natal males
0.1700	2.6 (1.4) natal females
OUTCOMES -	Medical Interventions received
All reported	Utrecht Gender Dysphoria Scale (UGDS)
outcomes	Body Image Scale (BIS)
	Physical Appearance Scale
	Body satisfaction
	Psychological burden:
	Symptom Checklist 90 (SCL-90),
DECLUITC	Global Severity Index (GSI)
RESULTS –	Health care pathway:
Extracted outcomes	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%)
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention
Extracted outcomes	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135):
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgery
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgeryAssigned Female at Birth: (n = 66):
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgeryAssigned Female at Birth: (n = 66):59 (91%) hormone therapy
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgeryAssigned Female at Birth: (n = 66):59 (91%) hormone therapy53 (79%) mastectomy
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgeryAssigned Female at Birth: (n = 66):59 (91%) hormone therapy53 (79%) mastectomy52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgeryAssigned Female at Birth: (n = 66):59 (91%) hormone therapy53 (79%) mastectomy
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway:Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgeryAssigned Female at Birth: (n = 66):59 (91%) hormone therapy53 (79%) mastectomy52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy18 (27%) penis construction
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%)29 (14%) no intervention36 (18%) hormone therapy only136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgeryAssigned Male at Birth (n = 135):110 (83%) hormone therapy79 (61%) vaginoplasty38 (30%) mamma augmentation80 (86%) epilation9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction8 (6%) facial feminization surgeryAssigned Female at Birth: (n = 66):59 (91%) hormone therapy53 (79%) mastectomy52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy18 (27%) penis construction
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD:
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS admission: 53.1 (SD 6.7)
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS admission: 53.1 (SD 6.7) UGDS no intervention: 20.2 (SD 12.8)
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS admission: 53.1 (SD 6.7) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8)
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS no intervention: 20.2 (SD 12.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones & surgery: 15.5 (SD 4.3)
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS admission: 53.1 (SD 6.7) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8)
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) ophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.3) "The scores were significantly lower in all of the follow-up groups when compared with clinical admission,
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.3) UGDS hormones & surgery: 15.5 (SD 4.3) "The scores were significantly lower in all of the follow-up groups when compared with clinical admission, showing a decrease in GD". Body Image: Overall Body Satisfaction:
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones & surgery: 15.5 (SD 4.3) "The scores were significantly lower in all of the follow-up groups when compared with clinical admission, showing a decrease in GD". Body Image: Overall Body Satisfaction: Higher overall body dissatisfaction at admission (BIS admission: 3.34 [SD 0.52]) compared with follow-up:
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (13%) hormone therapy only 136 (63%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS no intervention: 20.2 (SD 12.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.3) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.4)
Extracted outcomes (95% Cl if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS admission: 53.1 (SD 6.7) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 4.3) "The scores were significantly lower in all of the follow-up groups when compared with clinical admission, showing a decrease in GD". Body Image: Overall Body Satisfaction: Higher overall Body disatisfaction at admission (BIS admission: 3.34 [SD 0.52]) compared with follow-up: People without medical interventions (BIS no intervention: 3.24
Extracted outcomes (95% CI if not	Health care pathway: Medical interventions received n (%) 29 (14%) no intervention 36 (18%) hormone therapy only 136 (68%) hormone therapy & surgery Assigned Male at Birth (n = 135): 110 (83%) hormone therapy 79 (61%) vaginoplasty 38 (30%) mamma augmentation 80 (86%) epilation 9 (8%) Adam's apple reduction 8 (6%) facial feminization surgery Assigned Female at Birth: (n = 66): 59 (91%) hormone therapy 53 (79%) mastectomy 52 (78%) oophorectomy/hysterectomy 18 (27%) penis construction Gender Dysphoria: The average sum scores of GD: UGDS no intervention: 20.2 (SD 12.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.8) UGDS hormones: 20.1 (SD 8.3) "The scores were significantly lower in all of the follow-up groups when compared with clinical admission, showing a decrease in GD". Body Image: Overall Body Satisfaction: Higher overall body dissatisfaction at admission (BIS admission: 3.34 [SD 0.52]) compared with follow-up: People without medical interventions (BIS no intervention: 3.24 [SD 0.64]) were significantly more

Author, Year	Van Heesewijk et al 2021 (van Heesewijk, Dreijerink et al. 2021)
Title	Long-Term Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy and Cognitive Functioning in Older Transgender Women
Country	Compared with Cisgender Women and Men
Study design	The Netherlands
Study design	Comparative cross sectional
POPULATION (ages)	Age range
Age at start	55 - 69 years
Age in cohort	
Age in conort	
POPULATION (n)	37 transgender women
n patients	222 cisgender women and men
natal male (M-t-F)	
natal female (F-t-M)	
. ,	
INTERVENTION (type)	CSHT not specified
Cross-sex hormone	
treatment (CSHT)	
INTERVENTION (time)	At least 10 years
HT duration	range 10.2 to 41.6 years
Follow-up times	
OUTCOMES -	Cognitive function
All reported outcomes	Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)
	Category Fluency animals
	Letter Fluency D
	15-Word test (15WT) immediate and delayed recall
RESULTS –	Cognitive function:
Extracted outcomes	
(95% CI if not	Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score:
indicated otherwise)	+0.9 (95% CI 0.4 - 1.5) transgender women vs cisgender women
	+1.1 (95% CI 0.4 - 1.8) transgender women vs cisgender men
	15-Word test (15WT)
	15WT immediate recall:
	-5.5(95% CI -7.6 to -3.4) transgender women vs cisgender women
	15WT delayed recall:
	-2.7 (95% CI -3.7 to -1.7) transgender women vs cisgender women
	Fluency animals and Fluency D
	Equal to cisgender women
	All other tests:
	Transgender women performed similar to cisgender men.

Mortality

Authon Veen	de Diek 2004 (de Diek, Wieries et el 2004)
Author, Year	de Blok 2021 (de Blok, Wiepjes et al. 2021)
Country	Mortality trends over five decades in adult transgender people receiving hormone treatment:
Title	a report from the Amsterdam cohort of gender dysphoria.
Study design	The Netherlands
	Retrospective cohort study, register, 1972 - 2018
POPULATION (ages)	At start of hormone treatment (median, IQR):
Age at Tx start	30 years (24–42) transgender women
Age in cohort	23 years (20–32) transgender men
POPULATION (n)	8831 at intake
n patients	4568 included:
natal male (M-t-F)	2927 transgender women
natal female (F-t-M)	1641 transgender men
	4263 excluded
INTERVENTION (type)	Transgender women:
Cross-sex hormone	Oestrogen:
treatment (CSHT)	ethinyl oestradiol (25 - 100 μg /day),
	conjugated oestrogens (0.625 - 1.25 mg /day),
	oestradiol patches (50 - 150 μ g /day twice weekly),
	implants (20 mg / 3–6 months),
	injections (10 - 100 mg / 2–4 weeks),
	valerate (2 - 6 mg day)
	gel (0.75 - 3 mg /day)
	[From 2001: mainly oestradiol valerate, patches, or gel].
	Anti-androgens:
	cyproterone acetate (10 - 100 mg /day)
	spironolactone (100 - 200 mg /day)
	Transgender men:
	testosterone gel (20 - 100 mg daily),
	intramuscular testosterone esters (125 - 250 mg every 2–3 weeks), or
	testosterone undecanoate oral [40 - 160 mg /day] or
	testosterone undecanoate intramuscular [1000 mg / 10–14 weeks]).
	Progestogens:
	lynestrenol (5 - 10 mg daily).
	Surgery: orchiectomy
	Previous gonadectomy
	1891 (64.6%)
	1006 (61·3%)
INTERVENTION (time)	The median follow-up time:
HT duration	11 years (IQR 4–22) transgender women
Follow-up times	5 years (IQR 2–17) transgender men
	Total follow-up time:
	40 232 person-years for transgender women
	17 285 person-years for transgender men
	[Person-time: defined as number of years from start date of hormone treatment to first terminating
	event].
	Terminating events: either
	date of death,
	end of study period (Dec 31, 2018)
	last visit at our clinic for the people who could not be linked to CBS.

OUTCOMES -	Standardised mortality ratios (SMRs): calculated using general population mortality rates
All reported outcomes	stratified by age, calendar period, and sex.
•	Cause-specific mortality calculated.
	Data were linked to Statistics Netherlands (CBS).
	Cause of death determined from death certificates, filled out by the medical doctor at time of death.
	If the cause of death was not known, it was registered on these forms as unknown.
	Each deceased person is registered with a single death cause (primary cause of death).
	Non-natural causes of death (only for deaths between 1996 and 2018): suicide
	other non-natural cause (not further specified)
RESULTS –	Mortality:
Extracted outcomes	
	Standardised mortality ratios (SMRs):
(95% confidence	Transgender women:
interval if not	317 (10.8%) of 2927 died, which was higher than expected
indicated otherwise)	compared with general population men (SMR 1.8, 95% Cl 1.6–2.0) and
	compared with general population women (SMR 2.8, 2.5–3.1).
	Transgender men:
	44 (2.7%) of 1641 died, which was higher than expected
	compared with general population women (SMR 1.8, 95% CI 1.3–2.4)
	but not compared with general population men (SMR 1.2, 95% CI 0.9–1.6).
	Cause-specific mortality:
	Transgender women: high for cardiovascular disease, lung cancer, HIV-related disease, and suicide.
	Transgender men: high for non-natural causes of death.
	<u>Suicide:</u>
	Transwomen:
	18 individuals;
	SMR 3.1 (1.8–4.7) compared with general population men
	SMR 6·8 (4.1–10.3) compared with general population women
	Transmen:
	<10 individuals;
	SMR 2.8 (0.6–6.8) compared with general population women
	SMR 1·2 (0.3–3.0) compared with general population men
	No decreasing trend in martality risk was absorved over the five decades studied
	No decreasing trend in mortality risk was observed over the five decades studied. "Increased mortality risk in transgender people using hormone treatment, regardless of treatment type.
	This increased mortality risk did not decrease over time.
	The cause-specific mortality risk because of lung cancer, cardiovascular disease, HIV-related disease, and
	suicide gives no indication to a specific effect of hormone treatment".
Comments	Exclusions:
	4263 people excluded:
	3022 had never used hormone treatment
	574 started hormone treatment younger than age 17 years
	294 previously used puberty blockers before gender-affirming hormone treatment
	335 had no follow-up visit data available after the start of hormone treatment
	38 alternated between testosterone and oestradiol use

Tumours

Benign brain tumours

Author, Year	Nota et al. 2018 (Nota, Wiepjes et al. 2018)
Title	The occurrence of benign brain tumours in transgender individuals during cross-sex hormone treatment
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective chart study, 1972 - 2015
POPULATION (ages)	At start of CSHT (median, IQR):
Age at start	31 years (IQR 23–41) transwomen
Age in cohort	23 years (IQR 18–31) transmen
POPULATION (n)	3928
n patients	2555 transwomen
natal male (M-t-F)	1373 transmen
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	<18 years:
Cross-sex hormone	triptorelin
treatment (CSHT)	cyproterone acetate, lynestrenol
	From age 16:
	oestrogens: oestradiol valerate, ethinylestradiol, or oestradiol hemihydrate)
	testosterone esters
	Adults:
	oestrogens (ethinylestradiol, conjugated oestrogens, oestradiol patches, oestradiol implants, oestradiol
	injections, oestradiol valerate, oestradiol gel)
	cyproterone acetate (CPA)
	spironolactone
	testosterone gel, testosterone esters i.m., testosterone undecanoate im or oral)
	lynesterol (if uterine bleeding)
	· /···································
	Surgery:
	Most transwomen had received orchiectomy but still cyproterone acetate at time of diagnosis.
	wost transwomen nau received orenicetomy but still cyproterone acctate at time or diagnosis.
INTERVENTION (time)	Follow up time: (median)
HT duration	6.22 years transwomen
Follow-up times	range 0.01–54.77 years [Note range 3 days – 54 years]
	4.16 years transmen
	range 0.02–41.66 years [Note range 7 days – 41 years]
	23 935 person-years transwomen
	11 212 person-years transmen
OUTCOMES -	Benign brain tumors:
All reported outcomes	meningiomas
	pituitary adenomas
	vestibular schwannomas
RESULTS –	Benign brain tumors. Standardized incidence ratio (SIR, 95% CI):
Extracted outcomes	
(95% CI if not	Transwomen:
indicated otherwise)	8 meningiomas
	Incidence higher than in:
	female population (SIR 4.1, 1.9–7.7)
	male population (SIR 11.9, 5.5–22.7)
	9 prolactinomas
	Incidence higher than in:
	female population (SIR 4.3, 2.1–7.9)
	male population (SIR 26.5, 12.9–48.6)
	Transmen:
	2 somatotrophinomas
	Incidence higher than in:
	a general European population (incidence rate females = incidence rate males; SIR 22.2, 3.7–73.4)

Breast cancer

Author, Year	Gooren et al 2013 (Gooren, van Trotsenburg et al. 2013)
Title	Breast Cancer Development in Transsexual Subjects Receiving Cross-Sex Hormone Treatment
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective register, cohort 1975 - 2011
POPULATION (ages)	16–83 years
Age at start	Age at start: (mean ± SD)
Age in cohort	29.3 ± 12.7 (16 - 83 years) MtF
Age in conort	23.2 ± 6.5 (16 - 66 years) FtM
POPULATION (n)	3102
n patients	2307 male-to-female (MtF)
natal male (M-t-F)	795 female-to-male (FtM)
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	estrogen
Cross-sex hormone	anti-androgen
treatment (CSHT)	testosterone
	Note:
	Not indicated how many FtM individuals that underwent mastectomy
	Not indicated how many FtM individuals that underwent ovariectomy
INTERVENTION (time)	HT duration:
HT duration	5 to >30 years
Follow-up times	
	Follow-up time:
	21.4 ± 8.7 years (range 6-43 years) MtF
	20.1 ± 7.3 years (range 6-36 years) FtM
	52,370 person-years of exposure MtF
	15,974 total years of exposure FtM
OUTCOMES -	Number of people with breast cancer
All reported outcomes	
RESULTS –	Incidence of breast cancer (per 100 000 patient-years of follow-up):
Extracted outcomes	
(95% CI if not	MtF:
indicated otherwise)	1 observed case
	1 probable case
	Estimated rate:
	4.1 per 100,000 person-years (95% CI 0.8–13.0) lower than expected for female breast cancer,
	within expectations if viewed as male breast cancer.
	FtM:
	1 observed case
	Estimated rate:
	5.9 per 100,000 person-years (95% CI 0.5–27.4) lower than expected for female breast cancer,

Author, Year	Brown et al 2015 (Brown and Jones 2015)
Title	Incidence of breast cancer in a cohort of 5,135 transgender veterans
Country	USA
Study design	Veterans Health Administration data chart review (encounter and prescription data), 1996 - 2013
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort, years (mean ± SD)
Age at start	55.76 ± 13.48 overall
Age in cohort	55.65 ± 12.90 female (Note: not specified if natal female or transfemale)
	55.80 ± 13.73 male (Note: not specified if natal male or transmale)
POPULATION (n)	5135 transgender veterans
n patients	1579 female (not specified if natal female or transfemale)
natal male (M-t-F)	3556 male (not specified if natal male or transmale)
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	52 % ≥1 dose of CSH treatment
Cross-sex hormone	" ≥1 dose " not specified further
treatment (CSHT)	
	CSH use:
	Estrogen:
	1116 (70.68%) female
	1112 (31.27%) male
	Testosterone:
	218 (13.81%) female
	361 (10.15%) male
INTERVENTION (time)	Exposure CSH treatment:
HT duration	Patient-years: (mean ± SD)
Follow-up times	9.73 ± 4.62 overall
	9.72 ± 4.61 female
	9.73 ± 4.62 male
OUTCOMES -	Incidence of breast cancer
All reported outcomes	
RESULTS –	Incidence of breast cancer:
Extracted outcomes	
(95% CI if not	10 observed cases:
indicated otherwise)	7 in FtM
	2 in MtF
	1 in natal male transvestic fetishism* (*unclear hormonal treatment)
	Overall incidence rate:
	20/ 100 000 (95 % CI 9.6–36.8) patient-years of CSH treatment.
	Average age at diagnosis:
	63.8 years (SD 8.2)

Author, Year	De Blok et al 2019 (De Blok, Wiepjes et al. 2019)
Title	Breast cancer risk in transgender people receiving hormone treatment The Netherlands
Country Study design	
POPULATION (ages)	Retrospective, nationwide cohort study, 1991-2016 Age at start: median (IQR)
Age at start	28 years (21-38) overall
Age in cohort	31 years (23-41) transwomen
Age in conorc	23 years (19-31) transmen
	Age in cohort: median (IQR)
	47 years (31-57) overall
	51 years (38-60) transwomen
	39 years (26-51) transmen
POPULATION (n)	3489
n patients	2260 male at birth (MtF)
natal male (M-t-F)	1229 female at birth (FtM)
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	Estrogen (mainly estradiol valerate, estradiol patches, or estradiol gel):
Cross-sex hormone	ethinyl-estradiol (25 to 100 μg /day),
treatment (CSHT)	conjugated oestrogens (0.625 to 1.25 mg/ daily),
treatment (corri)	estradiol patches (50 to 150 μg/24 hours twice weekly),
	estradiol implants (20 mg every 3 to 6 months),
	estradiol injections (10 to 100 mg every 2 to 4 weeks),
	estradiol-valerate (2 to 6 mg daily), or estradiol gel (0.75 to 3.0 mg daily).
	Anti-androgen (cyproterone acetate or spironolactone)
	Testosterone gel (20 to 100 mg daily),
	intramuscular testosterone esters (150 to 250 mg every 2 to 3 weeks),
	or oral or intramuscular testosterone undecanoate
	(orally: 40 to 160 mg daily, intramuscularly: 1000 mg every 10 to 14 weeks)
	Progestogens (lynestrenol (5 to 10 mg daily) if continued menstruation
	Surgery: Gonadectomy: 68%
	Not indicated how many FtM individuals that underwent mastectomy.
	Not indicated how many FtM individuals that underwent ovariectomy.
INTERVENTION (time)	HT duration: (median, range)
HT duration	range 2-37 years:
Follow-up times	18 years (2-37) transwomen
	15 years (2-17) transmen
	Follow-up time:
	Transwomen: 33 991 years total person time
	Transmen: 14 883 years total person time
OUTCOMES -	Incidence of breast cancer
All reported outcomes	Hormone levels, Hormone receptor status
	BMI
RESULTS –	Incidence of breast cancer
Extracted outcomes	Transwomen:
(95% CI if not	18 observed cases of breast cancer
indicated otherwise)	15 invasive breast cancer
	3 noninvasive breast cancer
	Invasive breast cancer: diagnosis at median 50 years (IQR 43-55) after 18 years (range 7-37) of HT
	67% ductal type
	83 % estrogen receptor positive, 67% progesterone receptor positive
	SIR (standardized incidence ratio):
	46.7 (27.2 - 75.4) Ref: incidence ratio cisgender men
	0.3 (0.2-0.4) Ref: incidence ratio cisgender women
	Transmen:
	4 observed cases invasive breast cancer
	SIR (standardized incidence ratio):
	58.9 (18.7-142.2) Ref: incidence ratio cisgender men
	0.2 (0.1-0.5) Ref: incidence ratio cisgender women
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Prostate cancer

Author, Year	Gooren & Morgentaler 2014 (Gooren and Morgentaler 2014)
Title	Prostate cancer incidence in orchidectomised M-t-F transsexual persons treated with oestrogens
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Review of Medical records, 1975 and 2006
POPULATION (ages)	At start of treatment:
Age at start	range 15–83 years
Age in cohort	29.3 ± 12.7 years
POPULATION (n)	2306 MtF
n patients	orchidectomised
natal male (M-t-F)	
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	Oestrogens:
Cross-sex hormone	up to 1993: ethinyl oestradiol (100 μg /day)
treatment (CSHT)	after 1993: oestradiol valerate (4 mg /day) or transdermal 17beta-oestradiol (100 μg /day).
	Anti-androgens: usually cyproterone acetate 100 mg /day)
	Surgery: Orchiectomy (all individuals)
INTERVENTION (time)	Follow-up time:
HT duration	min 6 years
Follow-up times	mean 21.4 years
	range <5 years - >30 years
	51 173 person-years of exposure and follow-up
OUTCOMES -	Prostate cancer incidence.
All reported outcomes	
RESULTS –	Prostate cancer incidence:
Extracted outcomes	1 case of prostate cancer
(95% CI if not	
indicated otherwise)	Overall incidence of PCa:
	0.04% (0.13% for individuals who had initiated hormonal treatment after at 40 years or later)
	Only a limited number of transwomen (MtF) had reached older age.

A sthese Mana	
Author, Year	de Nie et al 2020 (de Nie, de Blok et al. 2020)
Title	Prostate Cancer Incidence under Androgen Deprivation:
	Nationwide Cohort Study in Trans Women Receiving Hormone Treatment
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective cohort study of medical files, 1972 - 2016
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of hormonal treatment: (median (IQR)
Age at start	31 (23–41) years
Age in cohort	Age at time of study: (median (IQR)
	50 (37–59) years
POPULATION (n)	2281 transwomen
n patients	
natal male (M-t-F)	
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	Cyproterone acetate
Cross-sex hormone	Spironolactone (sporadically)
treatment (CSHT)	Antiandrogenic treatment discontinued after bilateral orchiectomy.
	estradiol valerate
	estradiol patches
	estradiol gel
	ethinyl estradiol
	conjugated estrogens
	estradiol implants
	estradiol injections
	From 2001 onward:
	mainly estradiol valerate, estradiol patches, or estradiol gel.
	People <18 years when started on hormone treatment: triptorelin
INTERVENTION (time)	Follow-up time (median):
HT duration	14 years (IQR 7-24)
Follow-up times	37 117 years total follow-up time
OUTCOMES -	Hormone use
All reported outcomes	Data on gender-affirming surgery
	Database was linked to the Nationwide Network and Registry of Histopathology and Cytopathology in the Netherlands (PALGA) to obtain data regarding prostate cancer histology and the date of prostate cancer diagnosis
RESULTS –	Prostate cancer diagnosis:
Extracted outcomes	6 transwomen diagnosed after a median 17 years (range 10-24 years),
(95% CI if not indicated	hormone treatment at median age 47 years (range 38-58)
otherwise)	inominine treatment at median age +7 years (range 55 56)
other wisey	4 had undergone orchiectomy, median 11 years (range 2-14), prior to the prostate cancer diagnosis.
	Median age at time of prostate cancer diagnosis: 64 years (range 53-77). Incidence rate: 16.2 cases per 100 000 years.
	30 expected prostate cancer cases, based on age-specific incidence rates.
	A lower prostate cancer risk in transwomen than in Dutch reference males
	(SIR 0.20, 95% confidence interval 0.08-0.42). Androgen deprivation had a preventive effect on the initiation and development of prostate cancer.

A sale and M	Charles and J 2047 (Charles and Market J 2047)
Author, Year	Silverberg et al 2017 (Silverberg, Nash et al. 2017)
Title	Cohort study of cancer risk among insured transgender people
Country	USA
Study design	Cohort medical record review, 2006 - 2014
POPULATION (ages)	At index date:
Age at start	39 years TF
Age in cohort	32 years TM
-	
POPULATION (n)	2791 transfeminine
n patients	2098 transmasculine
natal male (M-t-F)	
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	Not reported
Cross-sex hormone	Notreported
treatment (CSHT)	
INTERVENTION (time)	
INTERVENTION (time) HT duration	Follow-up time (mean):
	4 years TF
Follow-up times	3.5 years TM
	Follow-up from index date until first occurrence of a cancer diagnosis,
	disenrollment from the plan for more than 90 days, death, or end of the follow-up.
	Index date: defined as date of first recorded evidence of transgender status
OUTCOMES -	Incident primary cancer cases ascertained via linkages to each health plan's
All reported outcomes	Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) affiliated cancer registry
	All cancers combined
	Individual cancer sites with at least five cases
	Grouped categories of cancers with shared risk factors:
	including smoking-related cancers, viral infection-induced cancers, screening-detectable cancers)
RESULTS –	Cancer incidence rates and adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI)
Extracted outcomes	compared with matched males and females.
(95% CI if not indicated	Reference males and females matched on year of birth, enrollment at index date, race, and site.
otherwise)	
ounce wise,	Transfeminine (MtF):
	Any incident cancer (54 cases)
	495 (379, 646) incidence rate
	1.0 (0.7, 1.3) aHR vs reference males
	1.0 (0.7, 1.3) aHR vs reference female
	Prostate cancer (8 cases)
	72 (36, 145)
	0.4 (0.2, 0.9) reference males
	Transmasculine (FtM):
	Any incident cancer (25 cases)
	337 (228, 499) incidence rate
	1.3 (0.8, 1.9) aHR vs reference males
	1.0 (0.6, 1.4) aHR vs reference females
	Breast cancer (7 cases)
	82 (10, 673) aHR vs reference males
	82 (10, 673) aHR vs reference males 0.9 (0.4, 1.9) aHR vs reference females
	82 (10, 673) aHR vs reference males 0.9 (0.4, 1.9) aHR vs reference females Lymphatic and hematopoietic cancers:
	82 (10, 673) aHR vs reference males 0.9 (0.4, 1.9) aHR vs reference females Lymphatic and hematopoietic cancers: included leukemias, myelomas, and Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphomas.
	82 (10, 673) aHR vs reference males 0.9 (0.4, 1.9) aHR vs reference females Lymphatic and hematopoietic cancers: included leukemias, myelomas, and Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphomas. Endocrine gland cancers:
	82 (10, 673) aHR vs reference males 0.9 (0.4, 1.9) aHR vs reference females Lymphatic and hematopoietic cancers: included leukemias, myelomas, and Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphomas.

Other tumors

Author	McFarlane et al 2018 (McFarlane, Zajac et al. 2018)
Pulblication year	Systematic review
Question	Cancer mortality,
End of search	April 2018
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	The search strategy identified 307 studies. Excluding those that did not meet inclusion criteria, 43 studies (7 cohort studies, 2 cross-sectional studies and 34 case reports) were reviewed. Retrospective cohort studies suggest no increase in risk of tumour development in transgender individuals receiving GAHT compared to the general population. Notably, the mean ages of cohorts were young and were treated with GAHT for insufficient durations to assess tumour risk. Case reports raise potential associations between high-dose oestradiol and anti-androgen therapy with prolactinoma and meningioma, respectively.

Author Voor	de Nie et al 2022 (de Nie Wienies et al. 2022)
Author, Year Title	de Nie et al 2022 (de Nie, Wiepjes et al. 2022) Incidence of testicular cancer in trans women using gender-affirming hormonal treatment
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Nationwide retrospective cohort study, 1972 - 2017
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start (median, IQR)
Age at start	29 years (22–41)
Age in cohort	
POPULATION (n)	3026 transwomen (MtF)
n patients	1112 no bilateral orchidectomy
natal male (M-t-F)	1914 bilateral orchidectomy:
natal female (F-t-M)	722 histopathological analysis of resected specimens
INTERVENTION (type)	Oestrogens:
Cross-sex hormone	transdermal, oral, or intramuscular:
treatment (CSHT)	oestradiol patches (50–150 μ g/24 h twice weekly)
	oestradiol gel (0.75–3.0 mg daily)
	oestradiol valerate (2–6 mg daily)
	ethinyl oestradiol (25–100 µg daily)
	conjugated oestrogens (0.625–1.25 mg daily)
	oestradiol implants (20 mg every 3–6 months)
	oestradiol injections (10–100 mg every 2–4 weeks)
	[from 2001: mainly oestradiol patches, oestradiol gel, or oestradiol valerate]
	Anti-androgens:
	cyproterone acetate (10–100 mg daily)
	spironolactone (100–200 mg daily) sporadically.
	GnRH: people who started hormonal treatment when they were aged <18 years:
	often GnRHa (triptorelin), prior to the start with oestrogens and continued until orchidectomy.
	Orchidectomy: bilateral orchidectomy at median 2.3 years (IQR 1.7–3.4) after commencing CSHT.
	Data linked to national pathology database to obtain testicular cancer diagnoses.
	Subgroup analyses performed in testicular tissues sent for histopathological analysis at the time of
	bilateral orchidectomy, and when follow-up exceeded 5 years.
INTERVENTION (time)	Follow-up time (median, IQR):
HT duration	2.3 (1.6–3.7) years
Follow-up times	Follow-up > 5 years subgroup (n= 523):
	8.9 years (6.4–13.9)
OUTCOMES -	Testicular cancer
All reported outcomes	Standardised incidence ratio (SIR) calculated using number of observed testicular cancer cases and
	number of expected cases based on age-specific Dutch incidence rates.
RESULTS –	Testicular cancer:
Extracted outcomes	In transwomen with no bilateral orchidectomy (n=1112):
(95% CI if not indicated	2 cases identified (2.4 cases expected), SIR 0.8 (95% CI 0.1–2.8)
otherwise)	
	In transwomen with bilateral orchidectomy (n=1914):
	1 testicular cancer case encountered in an orchidectomy specimen (0.1%)
	In trans women with a follow-up time of >5 years (n=523):
	no testicular cancer was observed (median follow-up 8.9 years [IQR 6.4–13.9] years).
	Excluded:
	people who never used GAHT
	those who underwent bilateral orchidectomy prior to the start of GAHT
	those of whom the start date of GAHT was unknown.
	aged <18 years at the time of the study (2020)
	having used female and male hormones alternatingly during the follow-up period
	<u> </u>

Bone health

Author, reference	Delgado-Ruiz et al 2019 (Delgado-Ruiz, Swanson et al. 2019)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Bone mineral density, bone metabolism, bone turnover.
End of search	December 2018
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	The initial search returned 564 articles. After reading the titles and abstracts, 471 articles were excluded. The remaining 93 articles were read in full, and 84 articles were excluded. Nine manuscripts that fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included for this review. The considerable variability between studies did not allow a meta-analysis. All the studies were completed' Calcium, phosphate, alkaline phosphatase, and osteocalcin levels remained stable. PINP increased in transwomen and transmen meanwhile, CTX showed contradictory values in transwomen and transmen. Finally, reduced BMD was observed in transwomen patients receiving long-term cross-sex pharmacotherapy Considering the limitations of this systematic review, it was concluded that long-term cross-sex pharmacotherapy for transwomen and transmen transgender patients does not alter the calcium, phosphate, alkaline phosphatase, and osteocalcin levels, and will slightly increase the bone formation in both transwomen and transmen patients.

Author, reference	Sing-Ospina et al 2017 (Singh-Ospina, Maraka et al. 2017)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Bone mineral density
End of search	April 2015
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	Thirteen studies evaluating 639 transgender individuals were identified [392 male-to female (MTF), 247 female-to-male (FTM)] In FTM individuals and compared with baseline values before initiation of masculinizing hormone therapy, there was no statistically significant difference in the lumbar spine, femoral neck, or total hip bone mineral density (BMD) when assessed at 12 and 24 months. In MTF individuals and compared with baseline values before initiation of feminizing hormone therapy, there was a statistically significant increase in lumbar spine BMD at 12 months (0.04 g/cm2; 95% CI, 0.03 to 0.06 g/cm2) and 24 months (0.06 g/cm2; 95% CI, 0.04 to 0.08 g/cm2). Fracture rates were evaluated in a single cohort of 53 MTF and 53 FTM individuals, with no events at 12 months. The body of evidence is derived mostly from observational studies at moderate risk of bias.

Author, Year	Vlot et al 2019 (Vlot, Wiepjes et al. 2019)
Title	Gender-Affirming Hormone Treatment Decreases Bone Turnover in Transwomen and Older Transmen
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Part of the European Network for Investigation of Gender Incongruence (ENIGI) study, 2012 – 2016
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort (median, IQR):
Age at start	30 years (IQR 24 - 41) transwomen
Age in cohort	24 years (IQR 21 - 33) transmen
POPULATION (n)	253
n patients	121 transwomen
natal male (M-t-F) natal female (F-t-M)	132 transmen
. ,	
	Estrogen:
(type) Cross-sex hormone	estradiol valerate, oral (2 - 4mg/ day) or
treatment (CSHT)	estradiol patches, transdermal (50 - 100 μg/24 h/twice a week) Cyproterone acetate (50 to 100 mg daily, oral)
	Testosterone gel, transdermal (50 mg/day),
	testosterone esters, i.m. (250 mg/2 to 3 weeks)
	testosterone undecanoate, i.m. (1000 mg/12 weeks)
	lynestrenol for a short period if menses persisted while using testosterone
INTERVENTION	Duration of CSHT:
(time)	1 year
HT duration	
Follow-up times	
OUTCOMES -	Bone turnover markers (BTMs):
All reported	P1NP
outcomes	Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)
	Sclerostin
	CTx RMD of the total bin, the femeral neck, and the lumbar spine
	BMD of the total hip, the femoral neck, and the lumbar spine Hormone levels
	250HD
	creatinine
	AST = aspartate transaminase; ALT = alanine
	transaminase; γGT = gamma-glutamyltransferase.
RESULTS –	Bone turnover markers: % change (95% CI)
Extracted outcomes	Transuement
(95% CI if not	Transwomen:
indicated otherwise)	ALP decreased in 19% (-21 to -16) CTx decereased in 11% (-18 to -4)
	Sclerostin decreased in 8% (–13 to –4)
	Transmen:
	P1NP increased in 33% (24 to 42)
	ALP increased in 16% (12 to 20)
	Sclerostin increased in 15% (10 to 20)
	Opposite effect on bone turnover in transmen aged ≥50 years after 1 year of HT compared with
	younger transmen:
	In transmen aged ≥50 years:
	P1NP decrease –19% (–35 to -4)
	CTx decease -32% (-50 to -13)
	Sclerostin decrease –10% (–19 to 0)

Author Vocr	Debrolińska et al 2010 (Debrolinska, van der Tuuk et al. 2010)
Author, Year Title	Dobrolińska et al 2019 (Dobrolinska, van der Tuuk et al. 2019)
Intie	Bone Mineral Density in Transgender Individuals After Gonadectomy and Long-Term Gender-Affirming
Country	Hormonal Treatment
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective, 1979 - 2014
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of CSHT (mean ± SD)
Age at start	36 ± 12 transwomen
Age in cohort	30 ± 8 transmen
	Age at gonadectomy:
	38 ± 12 transwomen
	32 ± 9 transmen
	Age at first DXA scan:
	44 ± 11 transwomen
	39 ± 10 transmen
POPULATION (n)	111
n patients	68 transwomen
natal male (M-t-F)	43 transmen
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	estradiol, oral or subcutaneous
Cross-sex hormone	anti-androgens
treatment (CSHT)	testosterone, intramuscular or transdermal
	Surgery: gonadectomy
	Study intervention: Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA)
	Standard reference databases used to calculate T- and Z-scores:
	Data for the lumbar spine from a study on healthy American men and women
	[Kelly 1990 J Bone Min Res 5 (Suppl 1): S249].
	Data for total hip from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III study
	[Looker 1998 Osteoporos Int 8: 468-490].
	Osteoporosis: defined as having a T-score \leq -2.5 SD compared to normal values for young adults.
	Low bone density for age: defined as a Z-score < -2.0 SD compared to normal values for young adults.
INTERVENTION (time)	First DXA scan:
HT duration	within 5 years after gonadectomy, repeated every 5 years thereafter,
Follow-up times	up to > 20 years after gonadectomy.
	Time (months, median (1st, 3rd quartile)
	Interval of HT to gonadectomy:
	22.5 months (16.0, 30.5)
	20.0 months (16.0, 24.0)
	Interval of HT to first DXA:
	83.5 months (66.5, 111.5)
	87.0 months (73.0, 150.0)
	Interval of gonadectomy to first DXA:
	60.0 months (41.0, 87.5)
	62.0 months (49.0, 129.0)
OUTCOMES -	BMD at the lumbar spine and total hip.
All reported outcomes	Sex hormone levels

RESULTS –	In transwomen:
Extracted outcomes	
	BMD (mean) at first DXA scan:
(95% CI if not	0.99 ± 0.15 g/cm ² lumbar spine
indicated otherwise)	$0.94 \pm 0.28 \text{ g/cm}^2$ total hip
	In transmen:
	BMD (mean) at first DXA scan:
	1.08 ± 0.16 g/cm2 lumbar spine
	1.01 ± 0.18 g/cm2 total hip
	A significant decrease in total hip BMD was found in both transwomen and transmen after
	15 years of HT compared with 10 years of HT.
	Osteoporosis based on male scores:
	18 % transwomen
	33 % transmen
	Osteoporosis based on female scores
	5 % transwomen
	4 % transmen
	Low bone density based on male scores
	5 % transwomen
	20 % transmen
	Low bone density based on female scores
	5 % transwomen
	0 % transmen

Australia Vera	
Author, Year	Motta et al 2010 (Motta, Marinelli et al. 2020)
Title	Fracture risk assessment in an Italian group of transgender women after gender-confirming surgery
Country	Italy
Study design	Retrospective cross-sectional study 2012 - 2018
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort:
Age at start	45.3 ± 11.3 years
Age in cohort	
POPULATION (n)	57 transwomen (MtF)
n patients	
natal male (M-t-F)	
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION	Estrogens:
(type)	oral estradiol valerate 2–6 mg/day
Cross-sex hormone	transdermal estradiol hemihydrate 1.5–3 mg/day
treatment (CSHT)	cyproterone acetate 25–100 mg/day
· · · ·	spironolactone 100–200 mg/day
	Surgery: orchiectomy and phallectomy plus vaginoplasty
INTERVENTION	CSHT duration:
(time)	11 years [7.00–11.0] before and after surgery
HT duration	3 years [2.00–6.25] before surgery
Follow-up times	5 years [3.00–12.0] after surgery
	Frequency of low compliance: 51 % (38–64)
OUTCOMES -	Fracture incidence
All reported	Prevalence of low bone mass (Z-score \leq -2)
outcomes	Lumbar spine BMD
	vitamin D (25OHD) levels
	anthropometric parameters
	compliance to estrogen treatment
	biochemical and hormonal levels
RESULTS –	Ten-year fracture risk:
Extracted outcomes	
(95% Cl if not	7% (3–31) according to natal gender
indicated otherwise)	Intermediate-high fracture risk found in 14% of subjects
	Ten-year fracture risk according to natal gender:
	4.5 % ± 0.89 normal bone mass (n = 34)
	10.6 % ± 5.80 low bone mass (n = 23)
	Prevalence of low bone mass (Z-score ≤ -2):
	40% (28–53) according to natal gender
	30% (18–42) according to affirmed gender
	BMD at lumbar spine L1-L4:
	0.91 ± 0.13 (g/cm ²)
	Z-score:
	- 0.68 ± 1.19 according to affirmed gender
	-1.4 ± 1.18 according to natal gender
	Hypovitaminosis D: 93%

Bretherton et al 2022 (Bretherton, Ghasem-Zadeh et al. 2022)
Bone Microarchitecture in Transgender Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study
Australia
Cross-sectional study 2017-2018
Age in cohort (median, IQR):
28.6 years (24.6, 30.9) transmen
37.6 years (26.3, 52.7) transwomen
28.2 years (24.2, 31.7) ciswomen
41.6 years (32.4, 54.4) cismen
81
41 transmen
40 transwomen
71 cisfemale controls
51 cismale controls
Testosterone: testesterone undeceneste i m. (1000 mg. 8 to 14 useklu, $n = 20$)
testosterone undecanoate i.m. (1000 mg 8 to 14 weekly, n = 30) testosterone enanthate i.m. (250mg/ 2weeks, n=9)
transdermal testosterone gel (1%, 5 g/d, n = 2)
Estradiol:
oral estradiol valerate, dose range 1–6 mg daily, n = 33)
transdermal estradiol (100 mcg/24 hours, n = 4)
oral ethinyl estradiol (dose range 30–100mcg daily, n=3)
Androgen-blocking therapy(n=31): 78% of the feminizing hormone therapy group:
cyproterone acetate (n = 21)
spironolactone (n = 4)
progesterone (n = 5)
GnRH (n = 1)
Surgery:
orchidectomy: 28% (n = 11)
oophorectomy: n=0
Study intervention: Imaging of the nondominant distal radius and distal tibia using high-resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography (HR-pQCT, XtremeCT)
Duration of hormone therapy (median, IQR):
42.5 months (21.4, 65) transmen
39.1 months (21.8, 60) transwomen
Bone health:
Total CSA, vBMD (mg/cc)
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%)
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%)
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen:
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD).
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01).
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different.
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen:
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01)
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01)
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p = 0.04)
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p = 0.04) cortical porosity was 0.70 SD higher (p < 0.01)
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p = 0.04) cortical porosity was 0.70 SD higher (p < 0.01) Trabecular bone volume (BV/TV) was 0.77 SD lower (p < 0.01),
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p = 0.04) cortical porosity was 0.70 SD higher (p < 0.01) Trabecular bone volume (BV/TV) was 0.77 SD lower (p < 0.01), with 0.57 SD fewer (p < 0.01) and 0.30 SD thicker trabeculae (p = 0.02).
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p = 0.04) cortical porosity was 0.70 SD higher (p < 0.01) Trabecular bone volume (BV/TV) was 0.77 SD lower (p < 0.01),
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p < 0.04) cortical porosity was 0.70 SD higher (p < 0.01) Trabecular bone volume (BV/TV) was 0.77 SD lower (p < 0.01), with 0.57 SD fewer (p < 0.01) and 0.30 SD thicker trabeculae (p = 0.02). 0.56 SD greater trabecular separation (p = 0.01). Findings at the distal radius were similar.
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p < 0.04) cortical porosity was 0.70 SD higher (p < 0.01) Trabecular bone volume (BV/TV) was 0.77 SD lower (p < 0.01), with 0.57 SD fewer (p < 0.01) and 0.30 SD thicker trabeculae (p = 0.02). 0.56 SD greater trabecular separation (p = 0.01). Findings at the distal radius were similar.
thickness (mm), separation (mm), porosity (%) BV/TV (%) hormone levels, SHBG, vitamin D, eGFR Transmen: Relative to cis women, transmen had 0.63 SD higher total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD). Cortical vBMD and cortical porosity did not differ, but cortices were 1.11 SD thicker (p < 0.01). Trabeculae were 0.38 SD thicker (p = 0.05) but otherwise no different. Transwomen: Compared with cismen, transwomen had 0.68 SD lower total vBMD (p=0.01) cortical vBMD was 0.70 SD lower (p < 0.01) cortical thickness was 0.51 SD lower (p < 0.04) cortical porosity was 0.70 SD higher (p < 0.01) Trabecular bone volume (BV/TV) was 0.77 SD lower (p < 0.01), with 0.57 SD fewer (p < 0.01) and 0.30 SD thicker trabeculae (p = 0.02). 0.56 SD greater trabecular separation (p = 0.01). Findings at the distal radius were similar.

Cardiovascular events and metabolism

Acute cardiovascular events

Author, reference	Ignacio et al 2022 (Ignacio, Diestro et al. 2022)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Risk for stroke
End of search	November 2020
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
	Metaanalysis (5 studies)
Population	MtF
Results/authors' conclusions	Results: Fourteen studies were included in the qualitative analysis while five studies were included in the quantitative analysis. A total of 109 MTF transgenders (Mean 14; range 1–53)
	suffered a cerebrovascular event. Random-effect modeling analysis showed an overall estimated frequency of 2% for cerebrovascular events in transgenders with a moderate degree of heterogeneity (I2 = 62%). Conclusion: Hormonal therapy in MTF transgenders may confer cardiovascular risks in this population. However, more population-based studies that include clinical characteristics and outcomes of chronic health diseases in MTF transgenders are warranted. Such studies may be crucial in directing future guidelines on the health care and management of MTF transgenders.
Comment	Two studies with ethinyl estradiol, three included in the metanalysis (of five studies) 'varied preparations of estrogen either orally, transdermally, or via injection with or without antiandrogens'.

Author, Year	Getahun et al 2018 (Getahun, Nash et al. 2018)
Title	Cross-sex Hormones and Acute Cardiovascular Events in Transgender Persons
	USA
Country Study docian	
Study design	Medical record-based cohort, 2006 - 2014
POPULATION (ages)	Age at index date*:
Age at start	18 to >55 years
Age in cohort	(mean age not indicated)
	*Index date: defined as the first recorded evidence of transgender status.
POPULATION (n)	4960 transgender
n patients	2842 transfeminine
natal male (M-t-F)	2118 transmasculine
natal female (F-t-M)	Matched to:
	48 686 cisgender men
	48 775 cisgender women
INTERVENTION	Feminizing drugs (estradiol and spironolactone) in a participant recorded as male at birth
(type)	masculinizing drugs (testosterone) in a participant documented as female at birth
Cross-sex hormone	
treatment (CSHT)	
INTERVENTION	Follow-up (average, years):
(time)	4.0 (SD 3.0) transfeminine group
HT duration	4.4 (SD 3.1) matched reference cohort
Follow-up times	3.6 (SD 2.7) transmasculine group
	3.9 (SD 2.9) matched reference cohort
OUTCOMES -	Acute Cardiovascular Events:
All reported	VTE
outcomes	ischemic stroke
	myocardial infarction events
	Body mass index
	blood pressure
	total blood cholesterol level
RESULTS –	Acute Cardiovascular Events since the index date:
Extracted outcomes	Transfeminine:
(95% CI if not	148 ACVE:
indicated otherwise)	61 VTE
,	54 ischemic stroke
	33 myocardial infarction
	Transmasculine:
	48 ACVE:
	23 VTEs
	16 ischemic strokes
	9 myocardial infarctions
	Transfeminine cohort:
	VTE post-index date incidence increase compared with either reference cohort:
	2-year risk difference: 4.1 (1.6 to 6.7) per 1000 persons relative to cisgender men
	2-year risk difference: 3.4 (1.1 to 5.6) per 1000 persons relative to disgender men
	8-year risk difference: 16.7 (6.4 to 27.5) per 1000 persons relative to disgender women
	8-year risk difference: 13.7 (4.1 to 22.7) per 1000 persons relative to cisgender men
	Ischemic stroke incidence was about the same in all 3 cohorts.
	Myocardial infarction incidence greater than in reference women but no different from reference men.

Authon Vor	Note at a 2010 (Note - Winning at al. 2010)
Author, Year	Nota et al 2019 (Nota, Wiepjes et al. 2019)
Title Country	Occurrence of Acute Cardiovascular Events in Transgender Individuals Receiving Hormone Therapy The Netherlands
Study design	Cohort study, review of medical records, 1972 – 2015
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort: (years, median)
Age at start	30 transwomen
Age in cohort	23 transmen
POPULATION (n)	6793 registered
n patients	3927 screened
natal male (M-t-F)	Included in cohort:
natal female (F-t-M)	3875
	2517 transwomen
	1358 transmen
	Reference group: Age groups comparable to those used by reference studies examining the occurrence of CVEs in the
	general Dutch or Norwegian populations
INTERVENTION	Puberty suppressors
(type)	From age 16:
Cross-sex hormone	estrogens (± antiandrogens)
treatment (CSHT)	testosterone
INTERVENTION	Transwomen:
(time)	9.07 years (SD 8.72) mean
HT duration	5.95 years (range 0.01–54.77) median [note range 3 days – 54 years]
Follow-up times	22 830 years total follow-up time Transmen:
	8.10 years (SD 8.82) mean
	4.10 years (range 0.02–41.66) median [note range 7 days – 41 years]
	11 003 years total follow-up time
OUTCOMES -	Acute cardiovascular events
All reported	
outcomes	
RESULTS –	Acute Cardiovascular Events
Extracted outcomes	Standardized incidence ratio (SIR (95% CI)):
(95% CI if not	Transwomen
indicated otherwise)	Transwomen: Stroke
	2.42 (1.65–3.42) ⁺ women as reference
	16.08 1.80 (1.23–2.56)† men as reference
	Myocardial infarction
	2.64 (1.81–3.72) ⁺ women as reference
	0.79 (0.54–1.11) men as reference
	Venous thromboembolism
	5.52 (4.36–6.90) ⁺ women as reference
	4.55 (3.59–5.69) ⁺ men as reference
	Transmen:
	Stroke
	1.72 (0.70–3.58) women as reference
	1.46 (0.59–3.04) men as reference
	Myocardial infarction
	3.69 (1.94–6.42) women as reference
	0.41 (0.07–1.37) women as reference
	0.41(0.07-1.37) women as reference
	1.00 (0.53–1.74) men as reference Venous thromboembolism

Blood pressure

Author, reference Publication type	Connelly et al. 2021 (Connelly, Clark et al. 2021) Systematic review
Question	Blood pressure
End of search	January 2020
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	Six hundred articles were screened, of which 14 studies were included in this systematic review encompassing 1309 individuals (approximately 50% transgender men and women) treated with gender affirming hormone treatment between 1989 and 2019. These articles were all pre–post observational studies without control groups. Mean ages ranged between 23.0–36.7 years (transgender men) and 25.2–34.8 years (transgender women). Interventions were diverse and included oral, transdermal and injectable hormonal preparations with 4 months to 5 years follow-up. Most studies in transgender men did not demonstrate a change in BP, whereas transgender women on GHT demonstrated both increases and decreases in SBP. These studies were heterogenous with significant methodological limitations and only two were determined to have a good quality rating. Conclusion: There is currently insufficient data to advise the impact of GHT on BP in transgender individuals.

Author, reference	Velho et al.2017 (Velho, Fighera et al. 2017)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Blood pressure
End of search	March 2017
Methodology	PRISMA, search limited to PUBMED and EMBASE
Synthesis	
Population	FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	 455 potentially eligible articles were identified; 438 were excluded after reading the abstracts and/or titles; and 17 articles were read in full. Thirteen articles were included in the systematic review Slight but significant increases in BMI were reported (from 1.3 to 11.4%). Three out of seven studies assessing the impact of different testosterone formulations on blood pressure detected modest increases or clinically irrelevant changes in this variable. In another study, however, two patients developed hypertension, which was resolved after cessation of testosterone therapy. Decreases in HDL-cholesterol and increases in LDL-cholesterol were consistently observed. Eight studies observed a relationship between testosterone and increased haemoglobin (range: 4.9–12.5%) and hematokrit (range: 4.4–17.6%), but discontinuation of androgen therapy was not necessary. Six studies assessing liver function showed slight or no changes. Overall, the quality of evidence was low, Exogenous testosterone administration to transgender men was associated with modest increases in BMI, haemoglobin/hematokrit, and LDL-cholesterol, and with decreases in HDL-cholesterol. Long-term studies are needed to assess the long-term risks.

Author, Year	Pyra et al 2020 (Pyra, Casimiro et al. 2020)
Title	An Observational Study of Hypertension and Thromboembolism Among Transgender Patients Using
	Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy
Country	USA
Study design	Retrospective cohort
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort (median):
Age at start	30 years (range 20-70) transwomen
Age in cohort	26 years (range 20-67) transmen
POPULATION (n)	4402 patients
n patients	2509 trans women (TW)
natal male (M-t-F)	1893 trans men (TM)
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	Hormone use (assessed by blood concentrations and prescriptions from electronic medical records):
Cross-sex hormone	Ever use estrogen:
treatment (CSHT)	99.0% (2485) TW
	8.0% (152) TM
	Ever use androgen antagonist/finasteride:
	94.2% (1364) TW
	4.6% (87) TM
	Ever use progestin:
	29.8% (748) TW
	2.8% (53) TM
	Ever use testosterone:
	1.6% (41) TW
	99.6% (1886) TM
INTERVENTION (time)	HT duration:
HT duration	Range: 0.5 – 12 years:
Follow-up times	Years since first hormone prescription (median, range)
	2.6 years (0.5-12.0) transwomen
	2.2 years (0.5-11.9) transmen
OUTCOMES -	Outcomes by ICD-10 codes in electronic medical records.
All reported outcomes	Associations between hormone treatment and hypertension and thromboembolism.
RESULTS –	Hypertension and Thromboembolism
Extracted outcomes	Transwomen (TW):
(95% CI if not	19 (0.8%) TE event
indicated otherwise)	49 (2.1%) hypertension development
	Associations with TE:
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations.
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations. Progestin prescriptions associated with increased odds of TE (aOR 2.95 [95% CI 1.02–8.57]), (possibly differential effects for medroxyprogesterone acetate versus micronized progesterone).
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations. Progestin prescriptions associated with increased odds of TE (aOR 2.95 [95% CI 1.02–8.57]), (possibly differential effects for medroxyprogesterone acetate versus micronized progesterone). Associations with hypertension:
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations. Progestin prescriptions associated with increased odds of TE (aOR 2.95 [95% CI 1.02–8.57]), (possibly differential effects for medroxyprogesterone acetate versus micronized progesterone). Associations with hypertension: Higher total testosterone blood concentrations associated with greater odds of hypertension
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations. Progestin prescriptions associated with increased odds of TE (aOR 2.95 [95% CI 1.02–8.57]), (possibly differential effects for medroxyprogesterone acetate versus micronized progesterone). Associations with hypertension:
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations. Progestin prescriptions associated with increased odds of TE (aOR 2.95 [95% CI 1.02–8.57]), (possibly differential effects for medroxyprogesterone acetate versus micronized progesterone). Associations with hypertension: Higher total testosterone blood concentrations associated with greater odds of hypertension (aOR 1.16 [95% CI 1.01–1.33]), after controlling for BMI. Ever having a progestin prescription was protective for hypertension (aOR 0.36 [95% CI 0.15–0.87]).
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations. Progestin prescriptions associated with increased odds of TE (aOR 2.95 [95% CI 1.02–8.57]), (possibly differential effects for medroxyprogesterone acetate versus micronized progesterone). Associations with hypertension: Higher total testosterone blood concentrations associated with greater odds of hypertension (aOR 1.16 [95% CI 1.01–1.33]), after controlling for BMI. Ever having a progestin prescription was protective for hypertension (aOR 0.36 [95% CI 0.15–0.87]). Transmen (TM):
	No association between TE and hormone treatment as assessed by blood concentrations. Progestin prescriptions associated with increased odds of TE (aOR 2.95 [95% CI 1.02–8.57]), (possibly differential effects for medroxyprogesterone acetate versus micronized progesterone). Associations with hypertension: Higher total testosterone blood concentrations associated with greater odds of hypertension (aOR 1.16 [95% CI 1.01–1.33]), after controlling for BMI. Ever having a progestin prescription was protective for hypertension (aOR 0.36 [95% CI 0.15–0.87]).

Thromboembolism

Author, reference	Kahn et al 2019 (Khan, Schmidt et al. 2019)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Deep venous thrombosis
End of search	April 2018
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF
Results/authors' conclusions	 952 abstracts were screened. Abstract screening indicated that 868 of the references were irrelevant; 84 references proceeded to full text re-view. Case reports and review articles were the most com-mon exclusion (n=18 each), while wrong outcome (n=10) and wrong study design (n=11) also excluded a significant percentage. After excluding commentaries (n=9), duplicates (n=4), and wrong patient population or wrong (n=1 each), 12 articles/abstracts remained for data extraction Our study estimated the incidence rate of venous thromboembolism in transgender women prescribed oestrogen to be 2.3 per 1000 person-years, but because of heterogeneity this estimate cannot be reliably applied to transgender women as a group. There are insufficient data in the literature to partition by subgroup for subgroup prohibiting the analysis to control for tobacco use, age, and obesity, which is a major limitation. Additional studies of current oestrogen formulations, modes of administration, and combination therapies, as well as studies in the aging transgender population, are needed to confirm thrombotic risk and clarify optimal therapy regimens.

Author, reference	Defreyne et al 2019 (Defreyne, Van de Bruaene et al. 2019)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Cardiometabolic risk factors and thrombosis
End of search	June 2018
Methodology	Prisma
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	After screening 734 abstracts, 77 full text articles were retained, of which 11 were review articles This review includes 4 original studies on cardiovascular mortality, 12 on cardiovascular morbidity, 12 on blood pressure, 25 on lipids, 24 on body composition and 19 on markers of increased thrombosis. Studies describing a higher risk for cardiometabolic and thromboembolic morbidity and/or mortality in transgender women (but not transgender men) mainly covered data on transgender women using the now obsolete ethinyl oestradiol and, therefore, are no longer valid. Currently, most of the available literature on transgender people adhering to standard treatment regimens consists of retrospective cohort studies of insufficient follow-up duration. When assessing markers of cardiometabolic disease, the available literature is inconclusive, which may be ascribed to relatively short follow-up duration and small sample size.

Author, reference	Kotamarti et al 2021 (Kotamarti, Greige et al. 2021)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Risk for Venous Thromboembolism in Transgender Patients Undergoing Cross-Sex Hormone Treatment:
End of search	March 2020
Methodology	PRISMA like/ PRISMA not mentioned specifically
	Review process not described
Synthesis	Metaanalysis
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	Overall, 22 studies were included with 11 reporting VTE rates among transgender patients, 6 in cis- female patients, and 5 in cis-male patients. Data from 9,180 transgender patients (6,068 assigned male at birth [AMAB] and 3,112 assigned female at birth [AFAB]) undergoing hormone treatment and 103,713 cis-gender patients (18,748 female and 84,965 male) undergoing HRT were pooled. The incidence of VTE was higher in AMAB patients compared to AFAB patients (42.8 vs 10.8 VTE per 10,000 patient years;(p= .02). The rate of VTE incidences in AMAB patients appears similar or higher than the rate demonstrated in cis-females on HRT.VTE incidence in AFAB patients, however, is similar to the published rates in cis- males on HRT. Clinical Implications: AMAB patients on hormone therapy have higher VTE rates than AFAB patients. AMAB and AFAB patients may have similar VTE incidence to cis-female and cis-male patients on hormone replacement therapy, respectively. Strengths & Limitations: This is the first study to aggregate and quantify the development of VTE events in association with hormone therapy in transgender patients. It places these values in the context of rates published in more widely studied populations. It is limited by its retrospective data and heterogenic data. Conclusion: Surgical planning regarding perioperative and postoperative VTE prophylaxis or cessation of hormone therapy should take into account each patient's Caprini risk assessment and the nature of each intervention.

Author, reference	Totaro et al 2021 (Totaro, Palazzi et al. 2021)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Risk of Venous Thromboembolismin Transgender People Undergoing Hormone Feminizing Therapy:
End of search	April 2021
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Metaanalysis and metaregression
Population	MtF
Results/authors' conclusions	The eighteen studies included gave information about 11,542 AMAB undergoing gender affirming hormone therapy. The pooled prevalence of VTE was 2%(95%CI:1-3%), with a large heterogeneity (I2= 89.18%, P<0.0001). Trim-and-fill adjustment for publication bias produced a negligible effect on the pooled estimate. At the meta-regression analysis, a higher prevalence of VTE was significantly associated with an older age (S=0.0063; 95%CI:0.0022,0.0104, P=0.0027) and a longer length oestrogen therapy (S=0.0011; 95%CI:0.0006,0.0016, P<0.0001). When, according to the meta-regression results, the analysis was restricted to series with a mean age≥37.5years, the prevalence estimate for VTE increased up to 3% (95%CI:0-5%), but with persistence of a large heterogeneity (I2= 88,2%, P<0.0001); studies on younger participants (<37.5 years) collectively produced a pooled VTE prevalence estimate of0% (95%CI:0-2%) with no heterogeneity (I2= 0%, P=0.97). Prevalence estimate for VTE in series with a mean length of estrogen therapy≥53 months was 1% (95%CI:0-3%), with persistent significant heterogeneity (I2= 84,8%, P=0.0006); studies on participants subjected to a shorter length of estrogen therapy (<53 months), collectively produced a pooled VTE prevalence estimate of 0% (95%CI:0-3%) with no heterogeneity (I2= 0%, P=0.76). Conclusions: The overall rate of VTE in AMAB trans people undergoing gender affirming hormone therapy was 2%. In AMAB population with <37.5 years undergoing estrogen therapy for less than 53 months, the risk of VTE appears to be negligible. Further studies are warranted to assess whether different types and administration routes of estrogen therapy could decrease the VTE risk in AMAB trans people over 37.5 years subjected to long-term therapy

Author, Year	Lim et al 2020 (Lim, Leemagz et al. 2020)
Title	Global Coagulation Assays in Transgender Women on Oral and Transdermal Estradiol Therapy
Country	Australia
Study design	Cross-sectional case-control
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort:
Age at start	32.8 years (26.7-44.7) transgender women
Age in cohort	28.7 years (24.9-57.3) cisgender male
Age in conort	44.9 years (25.5- 58.3) cisgender female
POPULATION (n)	26 transgender women
n patients	98 cisgender women
natal male (M-t-F)	55 cisgender men
. ,	
natal female (F-t-M)	estradiol valerate, oral (4-8 mg) (n=16)
(type)	estradiol transdermal (median 100 mcg/24 day) (n=10)
Cross-sex hormone	cyproterone acetate (mean 12.5 mg/day)
treatment (CSHT)	spironolactone (mean 100 mg/day)
INTERVENTION	Follow-up time:
(time)	25.5 months (22.5–31.2)
HT duration	
Follow-up times	
OUTCOMES -	Global coagulation assays profiles:
All reported	Thromboelastography (TEG)
outcomes	Calibrated automated thrombogram (CAT): thrombin generation
	Overall hemostatic potential: fibrin generation
	hormone levels
	blood examination
	renal and liver function tests
	coagulation studies
	von Willebrand studies
RESULTS –	Transgender women compared with cisgender men:
Extracted outcomes	Thromboelastography (TEG):
(95% CI if not	max amplitude +6.94 mm (3.55, 10.33)
indicated otherwise)	Calibrated automated thrombogram (CAT):
	endogenous thrombin potential (nM.min) +192.62 (38.33, 326.91)
	peak thrombin +38.10 nM (2.27, 73.94)
	Overall Hemostatic Potential (OHP):
	overall fibrinolytic potential increased (+4.89% (0.52, 9.25)
	No significant changes observed relative to cisgender women.
Comments	Not included in systametic review of Totaro 2021 or Kotamarti 2021.

Scheres et al 2021 (Scheres, Selier et al. 2021) Effect of gender-affirming hormone use on coagulation profiles in transmen and transwomen
The Netherlands
Before - after study 2012 - 2015
Age at start of CSHT: (mean (SD))
33.7 years (12.9) transwomen
26.9 years (9.7) transmen
98 transwomen (male sex at birth)
100 transmen (female sex at birth)
5 excluded due to anti-androgen monotherapy
transdermal estradiol (Systen [®] 100 mg twice a week)
oral estradiol valerate (Progynova [®] 2 mg twice a day)
oral anti-androgen, cyproterone acetate (Androcur [®] 50 mg daily)
intramuscular testosterone (Sustanon [®] 250 mg per 2 weeks or Nebido [®] 1000 mg per 2 weeks)
transdermal testosterone (Androgel [®] 50 mg per day)
CSHT duration:
12 months
Venous thromboembolism (VTE) risk
coagulation FII, coagulation FIX, coagulation FXI
fibrinogen
hematocrit
protein S, protein C
APCr
SHBG
BMI,height
Number thrombotic events during 12 months follow-up.
Coagulation profiles: pro-coagulant change, anti-coagulant change
Transwomen:
more procoagulant profiles (absolute mean paired difference (95% Cl):
FIX mean increase (relative change +7.8%) : 9.6 IU/dL (3.1-16.0)
FXI mean increase (relative change +11.6%) : 13.5 IU/dL (9.5-17.5)
Protein C mean decrease (relative change -7.1%) : -7.7 IU/dL (-10.1 to -5.2)
Changes in measures of coagulation influenced by route of administration and age
(reduced with transdermal administration and lower age).
Higher sex-hormone binding globulin (SHBG) level after 12 months associated with a
lower activated protein C resistance.
Transmen:
Changes were not procoagulant overall and influenced by age.
Small differences for route of administration.
Exclusion criteria: psychotic disorder Part of the European Network for the Investigation of Gender Incongruence (ENIGI)
-

Diabetes and insulin sensitivity

Author, reference	Spanos et al 2020 (Spanos, Bretherton et al. 2020)
Publication type	Systematic review
Question	Insulin residence
End of search	March 2019
Methodology	PRISMA
Synthesis	Narrative
Population	MtF and FtM
Results/authors' conclusions	The search strategy identified 221 studies. After exclusion of studies that did not meet inclusion criteria, 26 were included (2 cross-sectional, 21 prospective uncontrolled and 3 prospective controlled). 751 transgender males and 689 transgender females. Evidence in transgender men suggests that testosterone therapy increases lean mass, decreases fat mass, and has no impact on insulin resistance. Evidence in transgender women suggests that feminising hormone therapy (estradiol, with or without anti-androgen agents) decreases lean mass, increases fat mass, and may worsen insulin resistance. Changes to body composition were consistent across almost all studies: Transgender men on testosterone gained lean mass and lost fat mass, and transgender women on oestrogen experienced the reverse. No study directly contradicted these trends, though several small studies of short duration reported no changes. Results for insulin resistance are less consistent and uncertain. There is a paucity of prospective controlled research, and existing prospective evidence is limited by small sample sizes, short follow up periods, and young cohorts of participants.

Author, Year	Shadid et al 2020 (Shadid, Abosi-Appeadu et al. 2020)
Title	Effects of Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy on Insulin Sensitivity and Incretin Responses in Transgender
Country	People
,	,
Study design	Cohort, Before -After study, Part of European Network for the Investigation of Gender Incongruence (ENIGI)
POPULATION (ages)	Age at baseline:
Age at start	26.1 ± 1.3 TM (FtM)
Age in cohort	34.4 ± 1.5 TW (MtF)
POPULATION (n)	90
n patients	35 transgender men (TM)
natal male (M-t-F)	55 transgender women (TW)
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION	testosterone i.m. (1000 mg/12 weeks)
(type)	estradiol valerate oral (2 mg twice daily)
Cross-sex hormone	cyproterone acetate (50 mg/ day)
treatment (CSHT)	In patients > 45 years:
	estradiol patches (100 mg/72 h)
	transdermal gel (1.5 mg twice daily)
INTERVENTION	HT duration 1 y
(time)	
• •	Follow-up time 1y
HT duration	
Follow-up times	h - d
OUTCOMES -	body composition
All reported	body weight
outcomes	fat-free mass (FFM)
	waist-hip-ratio
	glucose
	insulin
	GLP-1
	GIP
RESULTS –	TM:
Extracted outcomes	Body weight, fat-free mass (FFM), and waist-to-hip ratio increased
(95% Cl if not	Fasting insulin (-1.46 ± 0.8 mU/L) decreased
indicated otherwise)	HOMA of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (2.26 \pm 0.3 vs. 1.86 \pm 0.2) decreased
	AUC for GIP and AUC for GLP-1 ([pmol/L] x min) increased
	Fasting glucose, glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide 1 unchanged.
	TW:
	Body weight increased
	FFM and waist-to-hip ratio decreased
	Insulin (3.4 ± 0.8 mU/L) increased
	HOMA-IR $(1.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ vs. } 2.4 \pm 0.2)$ increased
	Fasting GIP and AUC GIP decreased
	Fasting glucose and AUC GLP-1 unchanged

A 11 14	
Author, Year Title	Islam et al 2022 (Islam, Nash et al. 2022) Is There a Link Between Hormone Use and Diabetes Incidence in Transgender People?
Country	Data From the STRONG Cohort USA
Study design	Review of medical records 2006 -2014
POPULATION (ages)	Age at index date:
Age at start	range 18 - >55 years
Age in cohort	range 18-35 years: 54% of subjects*
	Index date was defined as the first recorded evidence of TGD status
POPULATION (n)	5002 transgender (TGD):
n patients	2869 transfeminine (TF)
natal male (M-t-F)	2133 transmasculine (TM)
natal female (F-t-M)	TF cohort matched to:
	28 300 cisgender females
	28 258 cisgender males
	TM cohort matched to:
	20 997 cisgender females
INTERVENTION	20 964 cisgender males CSHT: not reported.
(type)	Approximately 32% of TFs and 24% of TMs were on GAHT on or before the index date.
Cross-sex hormone	Data collection methods and determination of GAHT described in ref 18.
treatment (CSHT)	
	Follow-up time (mean) among transgender members who initiated GAHT after the index date
(time)	and who did not have a prior T2DM diagnosis:
HT duration	3.1 years for TF
Follow-up times	2.8 years for TM
	Follow-up times (median (IQR)) 2.5 (1.4, 4.1) years TF
	2.2 (1.1, 3.6) years TM
OUTCOMES -	T2DM incidence and prevalence.
All reported	Identification of diabetes: based on 2 or more hemoglobin A1c levels \geq 6.5% or 2 or more,
outcomes	fasting plasma glucose levels \geq 126 mg/dL no more than 2 years apart.
	T2DM timing of diagnosis
	BMI
RESULTS –	Diabetes (type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM):
Extracted outcomes	TGD cohort members with T2DM, n (%):
(95% CI if not	TF: 287 (10%*)
indicated otherwise)	TM: 131 (6%*)
	Timing of T2DM diagnosis: Number of patients with a diagnosis of T2DM:
	At baseline (on or before the index date):
	175/ 287 (61%) TF patients
	77 / 131 (59%) TM patients
	Incident cases within follow-up period:
	94 TF 44 TM
	Prevalent and incident T2DM more common in the transfeminine cohort relative to cisgender females:
	Odds ratio OR 1.3 (1.1-1.5)
	Hazard ratio HR 1.4 (1.1-1.8)
	No significant differences in prevalence or incidence of T2DM were observed across the remaining
	comparison groups, both overall and in TGD persons with evidence of GAHT receipt.
Comments	Study of Transition Outcomes and Gender (STRONG): electronic health record (EHR)-based cohort of TGD
	persons. Receipt of GAHT determined through EHR linkages to prescription data by using national drug
	codes.
	Identification of diabetes: "T2DM cases were ascertained using the Surveillance, Prevention, and
1	
	Management of Diabetes Mellitus (SUPREME-DM) Data Link algorithm, adopted by a consortium of multiple
	integrated health care systems that include the 3 KP health plans participating in STRONG. The SUPREME-DM
	integrated health care systems that include the 3 KP health plans participating in STRONG. The SUPREME-DM methods of T2DM identification have been described in detail previously (19). Briefly, the algorithm identifies
	integrated health care systems that include the 3 KP health plans participating in STRONG. The SUPREME-DM

Author, Year Title	van Velzen et al 2022 (van Velzen, Wiepjes et al. 2022) Incident Diabetes Risk Is Not Increased in Transgender Individuals Using Hormone Therapy					
Country Study design	The Netherlands Retrospective data linked to nationwide health data registry 1972 - 2018					
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of hormone therapy (mean ± SD)					
Age at start Age in cohort	30 years (23–41) transwomen 23 years (20–31) transmen					
POPULATION (n) n patients natal male (M-t-F) natal female (F-t-M)	8831 total population 3022 excluded (did not start hormone therapy) 1710 excluded (other reasons) 4099 included in study 2585 transwomen 1514 transmen					
INTERVENTION	No puberty blockers prior to the start of hormone therapy.					
(type) Cross-sex hormone	Transwomen:					
treatment (CSHT)	estradiol patches (50-150 μg/24 hours twice a week)					
	oral estradiol valerate (2-4 mg daily)					
	estradiol gel (0.75-1.5 mg daily) [1972 - until 2001, 2005, and 2014 respectively:					
	ethinyl estradiol (50-150 μg daily)					
	conjugated estrogens (0.625-2.5 mg daily) 17-beta estradiol implants (20-40 mg per 3 months)					
	Anti-androgen:					
	Cyproterone acetate (25-100 mg daily)					
	Transmen:					
	testosterone gel (20-60 mg daily) intramuscular testosterone undecanoate (1000 mg per 12-14 weeks)					
	oral testosterone undecanoate (40-240 mg daily)					
	intramuscular testosterone esters (250 mg or 125 mg every 2-3 weeks)					
	Surgery: (min age 18 and after at least one year of hormone therapy):					
	vaginoplasty with orchiectomy hysterectomy with oophorectomy					
	Gonadectomy (% yes)					
	63.4 % transwomen					
	61.4 % transmen					
	Follow-up period (median, IQR) from start of hormone therapy until censoring:					
(time) HT duration	11.3 years (3.6-22.4) in transwomen 5.2 years (2.2-16.4) in transmen					
Follow-up times	Effective median time under observation (from 2007 until censoring) in years:					
	9.0 years (3.3-12.0) in transwomen					
	4.9 years (2.2-12.0) in transmen					
	Age at end of study:					
	48 years (33-58) 32 years (24-49)					
	32 years (24-49)					
	Total number of effective person-years under observation (after 2007):					
	20 129 in transwomen 9492 in transmen					

Incidence of type 2 diabetes:				
occurrence of diabetes inferred from first dispense of a glucose-lowering agent				
identified by codes A10A and A10B using Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification.				
Standardized incidence ratios (SIR) computed for transwomen and transmen				
in comparison with the same birth sex from the general population.				
Body mass index (BMI)				
gonadectomy				
smoking habits				
alcohol consumption				
comorbidity				
comedication				
Incidence of type 2 diabetes:				
No difference in the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus was observed:				
90 type 2 diabetes cases in 2585 transwomen, SIR 0.94 (95% CI 0.76-1.14)				
32 type 2 diabetes cases in 1514 transmen, SIR 1.40 (95% CI 0.96-1.92)				
Mean age of individuals who developed type 2 diabetes:				
55 ± 11 years transwomen				
50 ± 13 years trans men				
Study design and initially included study population of cohort described in detail in other publication. Participants with at least one follow-up visit after initiation of hormone therapy were included. Excluded:				
if starting date of hormone therapy was unknown				
if alternating the use of testosterone and estradiol				
Distinction between type 2, type 1 diabetes, and gestational diabetes could not be made based on these data.				

Liver enzymes

Author, Year	Hashemi et al 2021 (Hashemi, Zhang et al. 2021)					
Title	Longitudinal Changes in Liver Enzyme Levels Among Transgender People Receiving Gender Affirming					
Country	Hormone Therapy					
, Study design	USA					
, 0	Longitudinal study, review of medical records 2006 - 2013					
POPULATION (ages)	Age at index date:					
Age at start	range 18 - >55 years					
Age in cohort	range 18-35 years: 64% of subjects*					
	index date (calendar year of the first recorded evidence of transgender status					
POPULATION (n)	1062 transgender subjects					
n patients	624 transfeminine (TF)					
natal male (M-t-F)	438 transmasculine (TM)					
natal female (F-t-M)	4090 cisgender males and					
	4797 cisgender females					
	Each transgender subject was matched to 20 cisgender subjects (10 female and 10 male)					
INTERVENTION (type)	CSHT: not reported.					
Cross-sex hormone	[Note: study based on prescriptions but prescriptions not indicated]					
treatment (CSHT)						
, , ,						
INTERVENTION (time)	Observation times:					
HT duration	from the first blood test to the date of the first filled GAHT prescription					
Follow-up times	from GAHT initiation to the most recent ALT or AST measurement					
OUTCOMES -	alanine aminotransferase (ALT)					
All reported outcomes	aspartate transaminase (AST)					
RESULTS –	Liver enzymes:					
Extracted outcomes	Transmasculine (TM): post GAHT ratios-of-ratios (95% CI):					
(95% CI if not	AST 1.61 (1.13, 2.31) relative to cisgender males					
indicated otherwise)	AST 1.57 (1.06, 2.31) relative to cisgender females					
	ALT 2.06 (1.67, 2.54) relative to cisgender males					
	ALT 1.90 (1.50, 2.40) relative to cisgender females					
	Transfeminine (TF) participants:					
	No statistically significant changes observed.					
	Other factors associated with higher liver enzyme levels included alcohol use/abuse and obesity.					
Comments	Details of the study (Study of Transition, Outcomes and Gender (STRONG)) described in other publications.					
	TM and TF assignment methodology described and validated in other publications.					
	Study based on prescriptions but prescriptions not indicated					
	Limitations:					
	lack of information on homeona locale					
	lack of information on hormone levels inability to take into account GAHT doses and routes of administration.					

Author, Year	Stangl et al 2021 (Stangl, Wiepjes C et al. 2021)				
Title	Is there a need for liver enzyme monitoring in people using gender-affirming hormone therapy?				
Country	The Netherlands				
Study design	Multicentre prospective study 2010 and 2020				
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort (median, IQR):				
Age at start	33 years (23–42) transwomen				
Age in cohort	26 years (20–29) transmen				
POPULATION (n)	2391 subjects				
n patients	458 excluded				
natal male (M-t-F)					
natal female (F-t-M)	1933 individuals in cohort				
	889 transgender women				
	1044 transgender men				
INTERVENTION (type)	Transgender women:				
Cross-sex hormone	oral oestradiol valerate (2–4 mg/ day)				
treatment (CSHT)	oestradiol patches (100 μg/24 h twice per week)				
	transdermal 17-β oestradiol gel (1.5 mg twice daily)				
	cyproterone acetate (25–100 mg daily)				
	-				
	Transgender men:				
	testosterone gel (50 mg/day)				
	testosterone esters injections (250 mg/2–3 weeks)				
	testosterone etnanthate injections (250 mg/2–3 weeks)				
	testosterone undecanoate injections (1000 mg/12 weeks)				
INTERVENTION (time)	CSHT duration: 12 months				
HT duration	Follow up: 3 months, and after 12 months of hormone therapy				
Follow-up times					
	381 individuals and analysed their measurements				
	36 months after start of hormone treatment				
OUTCOMES -	Incidence of liver injury				
All reported outcomes	alanine aminotransferase (ALT)				
	aspartate aminotransferase (AST)				
	alkaline phosphatase (ALP)				
	gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT)				
	Liver injury was defined as either an elevation (upper limit of normal (ULN))				
	2× ULN of ALP				
	3× ULN of ALT				
	3× ULN of AST				
	BMI (kg/m2)				
	SBP (mmHg)				
	alcohol (units/week)				
RESULTS –	Incidence of liver injury:				
Extracted outcomes	[within 12 months after start of hormone therapy, without attribution to alcohol abuse, medical history, or				
(95% CI if not	comedication]:				
indicated otherwise)	0.1 (95% Cl 0.0–0.7) and				
	0.0% in transgender women (according to female and male reference intervals)				
	0.6 (95% Cl 0.3–1.3) and				
	0.4% (95% CI 0.1–1.0) in transgender men (according to female and male reference intervals)				

Studies investigating regret, detransition and discontinuation of treatment

Adults ≥ 18 years Surgical reversal or application for surgical reversal						
Dhejne et al 2014 (Dhejne, Öberg et al. 2014) Sweden	1960– 2010	767 people (289 natal females 478 natal males) applied for legal and surgical sex reassignment. 681 persons were granted a new legal gender and had undergone sex confirmation surgery. Age range 16-65 years	Surgically reassigned (genital)	Application for reversal of sex reassignment.	Up to 50 years	15/ 681 applied for surgical reversal to initial sex.
Landen et al 1998 (Landen, Walinder et al. 1998) Sweden	1972– 1992	218 subjects with gender identity disorder who were approved for sex reassignment in Sweden	Surgical reassignment genital	Register	Time from application for reassignment and initial evaluation ranged from 4 to 24 years.	13/218, application for surgical reversa
Wiepjes et al 2018 (Wiepjes, Nota et al. 2018) The Netherlands	1972– 2015.	 6793 people (4432 birth-assigned male, 2361 birth-assigned female) visiting gender identity clinic. 2627 underwent gonadectomy 	Surgical reassignment, genital Gonadectomy	Chart review/ clinical follow- up	Not given Estimated median approximately 20 years	14/ 2627 10 underwent reversal surgery

Adults ≥ 18 years Expressed regret or detransitioned from hormonal treatment Author, Year Inclusion Population Treatment Follow-up Follow-up Regret period method Country time Blanchard et al Up to 134 -transsexuals Surgically Questionnaire, 1-13.6 years, 4/111 1989 oct 1985 mail or at 111 with follow-up 1 year reassigned: mean 4.4 clinical visit (Blanchard, Vaginoplasty for or more years Steiner et al. males and 1989) mastectomy for Canada females Bodlund et al 1989/ 19 transsexuals applying for 18 started Questionnaires At 5 years 1/19 regretted 1996 (Bodlund 1990 hormonal after start of after name shift sex reassignment and Kullgren treatments where hormonal but before 1996) of 12 had treatment surgery Sweden reassignment surgery (genital) de Cuypere et al 1986-107 Dutch- transsexuals Surgical Questionnaires Follow-up 0/107 2006 (de 2001 reassignment and personal time Cuypere, Elaut (genital) interviews mean (SD) et al. 2006) MtF 4.1 (3.8) FtM 7.6 (7.1) The Netherlands 25 FtM transexuals Mean time 0/25 Garcia et al Time Phalloplasty with Interviews 2014 (Garcia, period not post-surgery various Christopher et Means age 34 - 39 years techniques given 2.2 to 6.8 al. 2014) depending of surgical years The UK / USA technique Hall et al. (Hall. 2010-182 discharged from Hormonal Retrospective 7 to 8 years 12/175 Michell et al. 2017 review of detransitioned. service treatment and 2021) (sept 2017 to aug 2018) medical records "Regret was varying surgery The UK 175 completed specifically documented in assessments 2 cases" 67 transmen 108 transwomen "6 cases did not strictly meet the Median age criteria for 25 years 17 or older) detransitioning but showed some overlap of experience". 1992-Imbimbo et al 163 male patients had Surgical Questionnaire 1–15 years 8/139 2006 2009 (Imbimbo, reassignment from undergone gender-Verze et al. September transforming surgery (MtF) (genital) 2009) 2007 to March 2008 Italy Johansson et al Time 60 patients approved for Semi-structured After ≥ 5 in 0/60 Surgical sex reassignment in two 2010 period not reassignment or interview the process or (Johansson, geographical regions waiting for \geq 2 years after given. Sundbom et al. surgery completed 42 completed follow-up 2010) surgery assessments. Sweden Judge et al 2014 2005 -218 referred patients Retrospective 0–9 years 4/218 Hormonal or 2014 surgical from referral (Judge, review of O'Donovan et treatment medical records Median al. 2014) (various approximately procedures). 3 years (table Ireland 2)

Lawrence et al 2003 (Lawrence 2003) USA	1994– 2000	232 MtF transsexuals	Surgical reassignment genital	Written questionnaire	≥1 year after surgery	0/ 232
Author, Year Country	Inclusion period	Population	Treatment	Follow-up method	Follow-up time	Regret
Nelson et al 2009 (Nelson, Whallett et al. 2009) The UK	2000– 2005	17 patients FtM, identified retrospectively 12 responded	Reduction mammaplasty	Questionnaire	Mean follow- up after surgery 10 months (range 2-23 months)	0/ 12
Ott et al 2010 (Ott, van Trotsenburg et al. 2010) Germany	1998– 2008	32 FtM transsexuals Mean age 30.0±5.8 years.	Hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo- oophorectomy, bilateral mastectomy in one single operative	Clinical follow-up examinations	≥ 6 months after surgery	0/ 32
Richards & Doyle (Richards and Doyle 2019) 2019'	Time period not given.	303 transsexuals number FtM and MtF not given	Detransition (not specified)	Retrospective review of medical records	Not given	3/ 303 All re-transitioned at later time
The UK		Age not given				
Smith et al 2005 (Smith, Van Goozen et al. 2005) The Netherlands	Time period not given	325 consecutive adolescent and adult applicants for sex reassignment. M ean age 30.9 years (range 17.7–68.1 years)	188 completed various surgical reassignment. 103 patients never started hormone treatment.	Interview/quest ionnaire data were gathered from 126 adults	Mean time from surgery to follow-up 21.3 months (range 12–47)	2/ 126 103/ 325 (40%) did not start cross-sex hormonal treatment
van de Grift et al 2018 (van de Grift, Elaut et al. 2018)	2007–09	546 eligible persons 201 (37%) responded 136 had undergone gender affirming surgery	Various gender affirming surgery	Questionnaires	4–7 years	8/136 dissatisfaction 2/136 minor regret
Europe		Mean age 36 (17–63) years				
Vujovic et al 2009 (Vujovic, Popovic et al. 2009) Serbia	1987– 2006	71 MtF transsexuals and 76 FtM from persons applying for sex reassignment	Surgical reassignment, genital. 12% of MTF and 18% of FTM transsexuals were satisfied with hormonal treatment only	Retrospective, no information on method	no information on follow-up time.	0/ not defined in the paper
Zavlin et al 2018 (Zavlin, Schaff et al. 2018) Germany	Septembe r 2012– 2014	49 adult MTF transgender patients who underwent two-stage SRS followed prospectively	Surgical reassignment (genital)	40 patients filled out both parts of the questionnaire sets:1 day before the first stage surgery and 6 months after the second stage.	6 months	1/40

References

- Baker, K. E., L. M. Wilson, R. Sharma, V. Dukhanin, K. McArthur and K. A. Robinson (2021). "Hormone Therapy, Mental Health, and Quality of Life Among Transgender People: A Systematic Review." J <u>Endocr Soc</u> 5(4): bvab011.
- Blanchard, R., B. W. Steiner, L. H. Clemmensen and R. Dickey (1989). "Prediction of regrets in postoperative transsexuals." <u>Can J Psychiatry</u> **34**(1): 43-45.
- Bodlund, O. and G. Kullgren (1996). "Transsexualism--general outcome and prognostic factors: a five-year follow-up study of nineteen transsexuals in the process of changing sex." <u>Arch Sex Behav</u> **25**(3): 303-316.
- Bretherton, I., A. Ghasem-Zadeh, S. Y. Leemaqz, E. Seeman, X. Wang, T. McFarlane, C. Spanos, M. Grossmann, J. D. Zajac and A. S. Cheung (2022). "Bone Microarchitecture in Transgender Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study." J Bone Miner Res 37(4): 643-648.
- Brown, G. R. and K. T. Jones (2015). "Incidence of breast cancer in a cohort of 5,135 transgenderveterans." <u>Breast Cancer Res Treat</u> 149: 191-198.
- Connelly, P. J., A. Clark, R. M. Touyz and C. Delles (2021). "Transgender adults, gender-affirming hormone therapy and blood pressure: a systematic review." J Hypertens **39**(2): 223-230.
- de Blok, C. J., C. M. Wiepjes, D. M. van Velzen, A. S. Staphorsius, N. M. Nota, L. J. Gooren, B. P. Kreukels and M. den Heijer (2021). "Mortality trends over five decades in adult transgender people receiving hormone treatment: a report from the Amsterdam cohort of gender dysphoria." <u>Lancet Diabetes</u> <u>Endocrinol</u> 9(10): 663-670.
- De Blok, C. J. M., C. M. Wiepjes, N. M. Nota, K. Van Engelen, M. A. Adank, K. M. A. Dreijerink, E. Barbé, I. R. H. M. Konings and M. Den Heijer (2019). "Breast cancer risk in transgender people receiving hormone treatment: Nationwide cohort study in the Netherlands." <u>The BMJ</u> 365.
- de Cuypere, G., E. Elaut, G. Heylens, G. van Maele, G. Selvaggi, G. T'Sjoen, R. Rubens, P. Hoebeke and S. Monstrey (2006). "Long-term follow-up: psychosocial outcome of Belgian transsexuals after sex reassignment surgery." <u>Sexologies</u> 15: 126-133.
- de Nie, I., C. J. M. de Blok, T. M. van der Sluis, E. Barbe, G. L. S. Pigot, C. M. Wiepjes, N. M. Nota, N. M. van Mello, N. E. Valkenburg, J. Huirne, L. J. G. Gooren, R. J. A. van Moorselaar, K. M. A. Dreijerink and M. den Heijer (2020). "Prostate Cancer Incidence under Androgen Deprivation: Nationwide Cohort Study in Trans Women Receiving Hormone Treatment." J Clin Endocrinol Metab 105(9).
- de Nie, I., C. M. Wiepjes, C. J. M. de Blok, R. J. A. van Moorselaar, G. L. S. Pigot, T. M. van der Sluis, E. Barbe, P. van der Voorn, N. M. van Mello, J. Huirne and M. den Heijer (2022). "Incidence of testicular cancer in trans women using gender-affirming hormonal treatment: a nationwide cohort study." <u>BJU Int</u> 129(4): 491-497.
- Defreyne, J., L. D. L. Van de Bruaene, E. Rietzschel, J. Van Schuylenbergh and G. G. R. T'Sjoen (2019). "Effects of Gender-Affirming Hormones on Lipid, Metabolic, and Cardiac Surrogate Blood Markers in Transgender Persons." <u>Clinical Chemistry</u> 65(1): 119-134.
- Delgado-Ruiz, R., P. Swanson and G. Romanos (2019). "Systematic review of the long-term effects of transgender hormone therapy on bone markers and bone mineral density and their potential effects in implant therapy." Journal of Clinical Medicine **8**(6).
- Dhejne, C., K. Öberg, S. Arver and M. Landén (2014). "An analysis of all applications for sex reassignment surgery in Sweden, 1960-2010: prevalence, incidence, and regrets." <u>Arch Sex Behav</u> 43(8): 1535-1545.
- Dobrolinska, M., K. van der Tuuk, P. Vink, M. van den Berg, A. Schuringa, A. G. Monroy-Gonzalez, D. V. Garcia, W. Schultz and R. Slart (2019). "Bone Mineral Density in Transgender Individuals After Gonadectomy and Long-Term Gender-Affirming Hormonal Treatment." J Sex Med 16(9): 1469-1477.
- Fisher, A. D., G. Castellini, J. Ristori, H. Casale, E. Cassioli, C. Sensi, E. Fanni, A. M. Amato, E. Bettini, M. Mosconi, D. Dèttore, V. Ricca and M. Maggi (2016). "Cross-Sex Hormone Treatment and Psychobiological Changes in Transsexual Persons: Two-Year Follow-Up Data." J Clin Endocrinol Metab 101(11): 4260-4269.
- Garcia, M. M., N. A. Christopher, F. De Luca, M. Spilotros and D. J. Ralph (2014). "Overall satisfaction, sexual function, and the durability of neophallus dimensions following staged female to male genital

gender confirming surgery: the Institute of Urology, London U.K. experience." <u>Transl Androl Urol</u> **3**(2): 156-162.

- Getahun, D., R. Nash, W. D. Flanders, T. C. Baird, T. A. Becerra-Culqui, L. Cromwell, E. Hunkeler, T. L. Lash, A. Millman, V. P. Quinn, B. Robinson, D. Roblin, M. J. Silverberg, J. Safer, J. Slovis, V. Tangpricha and M. Goodman (2018). "Cross-sex Hormones and Acute Cardiovascular Events in Transgender Persons: A Cohort Study." <u>Ann Intern Med</u> 169(4): 205-213.
- Gooren, L. and A. Morgentaler (2014). "Prostate cancer incidence in orchidectomised male-to-female transsexual persons treated with oestrogens." <u>Andrologia</u> **46**(10): 1156-1160.
- Gooren, L. J., M. A. van Trotsenburg, E. J. Giltay and P. J. van Diest (2013). "Breast cancer development in transsexual subjects receiving cross-sex hormone treatment." J Sex Med **10**(12): 3129-3134.
- Hall, R., L. Michell and J. Sachdeva (2021). "Access to care and frequency of detransition among a cohort discharged by a UK national adult gender identity clinic: retrospective case-note review." <u>BJPsych Open</u> 7(e184): 1-8.
- Hashemi, L., Q. Zhang, D. Getahun, G. K. Jasuja, C. McCracken, J. Pisegna, D. Roblin, M. J. Silverberg, V. Tangpricha, S. Vupputuri and M. Goodman (2021). "Longitudinal Changes in Liver Enzyme Levels Among Transgender People Receiving Gender Affirming Hormone Therapy." <u>J Sex Med</u> 18(9): 1662-1675.
- Ignacio, K. H. D., J. D. B. Diestro, A. I. Espiritu and M. C. Pineda-Franks (2022). "Stroke in Male-to-Female Transgenders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." <u>Can J Neurol Sci</u> **49**(1): 76-83.
- Imbimbo, C., P. Verze, A. Palmieri, N. Longo, F. Fusco, D. Arcaniolo and V. Mirone (2009). "A report from a single institute's 14-year experience in treatment of male-to-female transsexuals." <u>Journal of Sexual</u> <u>Medicine</u> 6(10): 2736-2745.
- Islam, N., R. Nash, Q. Zhang, L. Panagiotakopoulos, T. Daley, S. Bhasin, D. Getahun, J. Sonya Haw, C. McCracken, M. J. Silverberg, V. Tangpricha, S. Vupputuri and M. Goodman (2022). "Is There a Link Between Hormone Use and Diabetes Incidence in Transgender People? Data From the STRONG Cohort." J Clin Endocrinol Metab 107(4): e1549-e1557.
- Johansson, A., E. Sundbom, T. Höjerback and O. Bodlund (2010). "A five-year follow-up study of Swedish adults with gender identity disorder." <u>Arch Sex Behav</u> **39**(6): 1429-1437.
- Judge, C., C. O'Donovan, G. Callaghan, G. Gaoatswe and D. O'Shea (2014). "Gender dysphoria prevalence and co-morbidities in an irish adult population." <u>Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)</u> **5**: 87.
- Karalexi, M. A., M. K. Georgakis, N. G. Dimitriou, T. Vichos, A. Katsimpris, E. T. Petridou and F. C. Papadopoulos (2020). "Gender-affirming hormone treatment and cognitive function in transgender young adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis." <u>Psychoneuroendocrinology</u> 119: 104721.
- Khan, J., R. L. Schmidt, M. J. Spittal, Z. Goldstein, K. J. Smock and D. N. Greene (2019). "Venous Thrombotic Risk in Transgender Women Undergoing Estrogen Therapy: A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis." <u>Clinical Chemistry</u> 65(1): 57-66.
- Kotamarti, V. S., N. Greige, A. J. Heiman, A. Patel and J. A. Ricci (2021). "Risk for Venous Thromboembolism in Transgender Patients Undergoing Cross-Sex Hormone Treatment: A Systematic Review." J Sex Med 18(7): 1280-1291.
- Kristensen, T. T., L. L. Christensen, J. Frystyk, D. Glintborg, G. T'Sjoen, K. K. Roessler and M. S. Andersen (2021). "Effects of testosterone therapy on constructs related to aggression in transgender men: A systematic review." <u>Horm Behav</u> 128: 104912.
- Landen, M., J. Walinder, G. Hambert and B. Lundstrom (1998). "Factors predictive of regret in sex reassignment." <u>Acta Psychiatr Scand</u> 97(4): 284-289.
- Lawrence, A. A. (2003). "Factors associated with satisfaction or regret following male-to-female sex reassignment surgery." <u>Arch Sex Behav</u> **32**(4): 299-315.
- Lim, H. Y., S. Y. Leemaqz, N. Torkamani, M. Grossmann, J. D. Zajac, H. Nandurkar, P. Ho and A. S. Cheung (2020). "Global Coagulation Assays in Transgender Women on Oral and Transdermal Estradiol Therapy." J Clin Endocrinol Metab 105(7).
- McFarlane, T., J. D. Zajac and A. S. Cheung (2018). "Gender-affirming hormone therapy and the risk of sex hormone-dependent tumours in transgender individuals—A systematic review." <u>Clinical Endocrinology</u> 89(6): 700-711.

- Motta, G., L. Marinelli, M. Barale, P. R. Brustio, C. Manieri, E. Ghigo, M. Procopio and F. Lanfranco (2020). "Fracture risk assessment in an Italian group of transgender women after gender-confirming surgery." J <u>Bone Miner Metab</u> 38(6): 885-893.
- Nelson, L., E. J. Whallett and J. C. McGregor (2009). "Transgender patient satisfaction following reduction mammaplasty." <u>J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg</u> 62(3): 331-334.
- Nobili, A., C. Glazebrook and J. Arcelus (2018). "Quality of life of treatment-seeking transgender adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis." <u>Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders</u> **19**(3): 199-220.
- Nota, N. M., C. M. Wiepjes, C. J. M. De Blok, L. J. G. Gooren, B. P. C. Kreukels and M. Den Heijer (2019). "Occurrence of Acute Cardiovascular Events in Transgender Individuals Receiving Hormone Therapy: Results from a Large Cohort Study." <u>Circulation</u> 139(11): 1461-1462.
- Nota, N. M., C. M. Wiepjes, C. J. M. de Blok, L. J. G. Gooren, S. M. Peerdeman, B. P. C. Kreukels and M. den Heijer (2018). "The occurrence of benign brain tumours in transgender individuals during cross-sex hormone treatment." <u>Brain</u> 141(7): 2047-2054.
- Ott, J., M. van Trotsenburg, U. Kaufmann, K. Schrogendorfer, W. Haslik, J. C. Huber and R. Wenzl (2010). "Combined hysterectomy/salpingo-oophorectomy and mastectomy is a safe and valuable procedure for female-to-male transsexuals." J Sex Med 7(6): 2130-2138.
- Pyra, M., I. Casimiro, L. Rusie, N. Ross, C. Blum, K. Keglovitz Baker, A. Baker and J. Schneider (2020). "An Observational Study of Hypertension and Thromboembolism Among Transgender Patients Using Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy." <u>Transgend Health</u> 5(1): 1-9.
- Richards, C. and J. Doyle (2019). "Detransition rates in a large national gender identity clinic in the UK." <u>Counselling Psychology Review</u> **34**(1): 60-66.
- Ristori, J., C. Cocchetti, G. Castellini, M. Pierdominici, A. Cipriani, D. Testi, G. Gavazzi, F. Mazzoli, M. Mosconi, M. C. Meriggiola, E. Cassioli, L. Vignozzi, V. Ricca, M. Maggi and A. D. Fisher (2020).
 "Hormonal Treatment Effect on Sexual Distress in Transgender Persons: 2-Year Follow-Up Data." J Sex Med 17(1): 142-151.
- Rowniak, S., L. Bolt and C. Sharifi (2019). "Effect of cross-sex hormones on the quality of life, depression and anxiety of transgender individuals: A quantitative systematic review." JBI Database of Systematic <u>Reviews and Implementation Reports</u> 17(9): 1826-1854.
- Scheres, L. J. J., N. L. D. Selier, N. M. Nota, J. J. K. van Diemen, S. C. Cannegieter and M. den Heijer (2021). "Effect of gender-affirming hormone use on coagulation profiles in transmen and transwomen." <u>J Thromb</u> <u>Haemost</u> 19(4): 1029-1037.
- Shadid, S., K. Abosi-Appeadu, A. S. De Maertelaere, J. Defreyne, L. Veldeman, J. J. Holst, B. Lapauw, T. Vilsboll and G. T'Sjoen (2020). "Effects of Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy on Insulin Sensitivity and Incretin Responses in Transgender People." <u>Diabetes Care</u> 43(2): 411-417.
- Silverberg, M. J., R. Nash, T. A. Becerra-Culqui, L. Cromwell, D. Getahun, E. Hunkeler, T. L. Lash, A. Millman, V. P. Quinn, B. Robinson, D. Roblin, J. Slovis, V. Tangpricha and M. Goodman (2017). "Cohort study of cancer risk among insured transgender people." <u>Ann Epidemiol</u> 27(8): 499-501.
- Singh-Ospina, N., S. Maraka, R. Rodriguez-Gutierrez, C. Davidge-Pitts, T. B. Nippoldt, L. J. Prokop and M. H. Murad (2017). "Effect of Sex Steroids on the Bone Health of Transgender Individuals: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." J Clin Endocrinol Metab 102(11): 3904-3913.
- Smith, Y. L., S. H. Van Goozen, A. J. Kuiper and P. T. Cohen-Kettenis (2005). "Sex reassignment: outcomes and predictors of treatment for adolescent and adult transsexuals." <u>Psychol Med</u> 35(1): 89-99.
- Spanos, C., I. Bretherton, J. D. Zajac and A. S. Cheung (2020). "Effects of gender-affirming hormone therapy on insulin resistance and body composition in transgender individuals: A systematic review." <u>World</u> journal of diabetes 11(3): 66-77.
- Stangl, A. T., M. Wiepjes C, J. Defreyne, E. Conemans, D. F. A, T. Schreiner, G. T'Sjoen and M. den Heijer (2021). "Is there a need for liver enzyme monitoring in people using gender-affirming hormone therapy?" <u>Eur J Endocrinol</u> 184(4): 513-520.
- Totaro, M., S. Palazzi, C. Castellini, A. Parisi, F. D'Amato, D. Tienforti, M. G. Baroni, S. Francavilla and A. Barbonetti (2021). "Risk of Venous Thromboembolism in Transgender People Undergoing Hormone Feminizing Therapy: A Prevalence Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Study." <u>Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)</u> 12: 741866.

- van de Grift, T. C., E. Elaut, S. C. Cerwenka, P. T. Cohen-Kettenis, G. De Cuypere, H. Richter-Appelt and B. P. C. Kreukels (2017). "Effects of Medical Interventions on Gender Dysphoria and Body Image: A Follow-Up Study." <u>Psychosom Med</u> 79(7): 815-823.
- van de Grift, T. C., E. Elaut, S. C. Cerwenka, P. T. Cohen-Kettenis and B. P. C. Kreukels (2018). "Surgical Satisfaction, Quality of Life, and Their Association After Gender-Affirming Surgery: A Follow-up Study." Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy 44(2): 138-148.
- van Heesewijk, J. O., K. M. A. Dreijerink, C. M. Wiepjes, A. A. L. Kok, N. M. van Schoor, M. Huisman, M. den Heijer and B. P. C. Kreukels (2021). "Long-Term Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy and Cognitive Functioning in Older Transgender Women Compared With Cisgender Women and Men." J Sex <u>Med</u> 18(8): 1434-1443.
- van Velzen, D., C. Wiepjes, N. Nota, D. van Raalte, R. de Mutsert, S. Simsek and M. den Heijer (2022).
 "Incident Diabetes Risk Is Not Increased in Transgender Individuals Using Hormone Therapy." J Clin Endocrinol Metab 107(5): e2000-e2007.
- Velho, I., T. M. Fighera, P. K. Ziegelmann and P. M. Spritzer (2017). "Effects of testosterone therapy on BMI, blood pressure, and laboratory profile of transgender men: a systematic review." <u>Andrology</u> 5(5): 881-888.
- Vlot, M. C., C. M. Wiepjes, R. T. de Jongh, G. T'Sjoen, A. C. Heijboer and M. den Heijer (2019). "Gender-Affirming Hormone Treatment Decreases Bone Turnover in Transwomen and Older Transmen." <u>J Bone</u> <u>Miner Res</u> 34(10): 1862-1872.
- Vujovic, S., S. Popovic, G. Sbutega-Milosevic, M. Djordjevic and L. Gooren (2009). "Transsexualism in Serbia: a twenty-year follow-up study." J Sex Med 6(4): 1018-1023.
- White Hughto, J. M. and S. L. Reisner (2016). "A Systematic Review of the Effects of Hormone Therapy on Psychological Functioning and Quality of Life in Transgender Individuals." <u>Transgend Health</u> 1(1): 21-31.
- Wiepjes, C. M., N. M. Nota, C. J. M. de Blok, M. Klaver, A. L. C. de Vries, S. A. Wensing-Kruger, R. T. de Jongh, M. B. Bouman, T. D. Steensma, P. Cohen-Kettenis, L. J. G. Gooren, B. P. C. Kreukels and M. den Heijer (2018). "The Amsterdam Cohort of Gender Dysphoria Study (1972-2015): Trends in Prevalence, Treatment, and Regrets." J Sex Med 15(4): 582-590.
- Zavlin, D., J. Schaff, J. D. Lellé, K. T. Jubbal, P. Herschbach, G. Henrich, B. Ehrenberger, L. Kovacs, H. G. Machens and N. A. Papadopulos (2018). "Male-to-Female Sex Reassignment Surgery using the Combined Vaginoplasty Technique: Satisfaction of Transgender Patients with Aesthetic, Functional, and Sexual Outcomes." <u>Aesthetic Plastic Surgery</u> 42(1): 178-187.